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## Section 1: Introduction

1.1 This statement has been prepared to comply with the requirements of Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulation 2012.
1.2 This Consultation Statement provides a summary of the representations received on the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) published in November 2015.
1.3 The process of producing the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) has been informed by a number of public consultation events, namely:

- Town Centre Area Action Plan Issues and Options 2007
- Seafront Area Action Plan Issues and options version 2007
- Central Area Masterplan 2007
- SCAAP Issues and Options June 2010
- SCAAP (Superseded) Proposed Submission September 2011
- SCAAP Preferred Approach November 2015
1.4 Earlier SCAAP consultation statements published in December 2015 and September 2011, available on the Council's website, summarise the representations of these earlier events: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap.


## Section 2: Consultation under Regulation 19 Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach (2015)

2.1 The Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Preferred Approach was published so that representations could be made between 18th December 2015 and $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016. This was extremely valuable and provided the Council with a number of helpful suggestions that would then improve the plan.
2.2 The Preferred Approach consultation was carried out in line with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement (2013) and relevant planning regulations. During the 8 week consultation the plan was publicised in the local press, the council's website www.southend.gov.uk/scaap and was available to view at the Council offices and all local libraries. Consultation response forms were also available.
2.3 Appendix 1 set outs the list of consultees contacted and Appendix 2 sets out a copy of the consultation material used during the Preferred Approach publication period. Table 1 below sets out details of the consultation methods used to engage the resident and business community in the preparation of the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan.
2.4 In total 33 organisations and individuals made 543 representations on the Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach. However, one such submission represented the views of over fifty businesses located within the central seafront area. Of the 543 duly made representations, 44 were considered as 'objections' to the plan and 157 supported the plan or parts of it. There were also 342 general comments. There were no 'not duly made' representations received.
2.5 Table 2 below summarises the type of representations made on the Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach. A detailed summary of the 543 representations received and the Council's response to these is set out in Appendix 3. Appendix 3 i provides an addendum to the Councils response to representations made on parking and related matters.
2.6 In addition to these representations received, a further 400 comments were made by organisations and individuals on the Preferred Approach following the holding of a series of workshops as part of the consultation methods used. A number of those making comments also made written representations on the Plan.
2.7 The workshops were held on $20^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016 at Park Inn, Palace Hotel located within the plan area. The workshops sought to further engage the local business community and local residents and included a detailed look at the proposed Policy Areas as outlined in the SCAAP. Six separate sessions were held over the two days. Two each were targeted at specific groups, namely local businesses, the local community and Southend elected Members.
2.8 Table 3 below summarises the type of representations made at the workshops whilst Appendix 4 provides a detailed summary of the comments and the Council's response to these.

Table 1: Consultation Methods (Carried out during Southend Central Area action Plan Preferred Approach Consultation)

| Method |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |
| Direct Consultation with |
| Specific, General and |  |
| Other Consultees |  |
| including |  |
| hardcopies/electronic |  |
| copies of the consultation |  |
| document where |  |
| appropriate |  |

## Action Taken

Letter sent on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 to all contacts on the LDF database to inform them that the SCAAP Preferred Approach consultation document was published for consultation. The database contains 700 consultees representing Specific, General and Other Consultees.
Hard copies of the document were printed and made available on request.
Letters and hard copies of the SCAAP Preferred Approach consultation document were sent to all of the Southend-on-Sea Borough Councillors on $18^{\text {th }}, 21^{\text {st }}$ December and $15^{\text {th }} 20^{\text {th }}$ January 2016.
Letters were sent to all residents living within or adjacent to the Opportunity Sites set out within the SCAAP Preferred Approach version on $13^{\text {th }}$ January 2016.
An email was sent to all of Southend-on-Sea Borough Council's Corporate Directors informing them of Consultation and requesting dedicated officer for a response on $6^{\text {th }}$ January and $1^{\text {st }}$ February 2016. Hard Copies were supplied on request.
An email newsletter was sent to the Southend Tourism Partnership informing them of the consultation and public workshops on $14^{\text {th }}$ January 2016.
An email was sent to the BID partnership informing them of the consultation and public workshops on $13^{\text {th }}$ and $18^{\text {th }}$ January 2016.

An email was sent to the Southend Planning and Developers Forum informing them of the consultation and workshop on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015.
Inspection copies were made available at all of the public libraries in the Borough and at the Civic Centre

Copies of the SCAAP Preferred Approach consultation document with posters and leaflets were placed at all libraries and Council Offices on 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ December 2015.

The SCAAP Preferred Approach consultation document was published on the Southend-on-Sea Borough Council website with a JDi on line consultation facility and ability to download document on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015. Information was provided on how to obtain hard copies and/or view at deposit points.
Leaflets produced providing advice on the on-line consultation system and left at deposit points/exhibitions.
Publication of Newsletters
$21^{\text {st }}$ December 2015 consultation leaflets were printed advertising and/or Leaflets as the public consultation workshops (see below).

| Method | Action Taken |
| :---: | :---: |
| appropriate | Poster and Leaflets deposited at all Doctors Surgeries on $21^{\text {st }}$ December 2015 in order to potentially target some of the harder to reach groups. |
|  | Consultation information included within the Southend Business Partnership Newsletter, $11^{\text {th }}$ January 2016, and published on the Business on Sea website. |
| Press Release + newspaper notice | Press Release to local papers issued 18 December 2015 and 14 January 2016. Supported by Twitter and Facebook activity. |
|  | Advert about public consultation and information about public workshop event in Town Centre (see below) in local press on Friday $15^{\text {th }}$ and $22^{\text {nd }}$ January 2016 [Yellow Advertiser]. |
| Banners | Banners placed in the Civic Centre and at The Forum (public library in the Town Centre) on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015. |
| Area Forums/ Workshops/ Presentations | Public consultation workshop in Park Inn Palace Hotel on 20th and $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016 to target Residents, Business and Elected Councillors. |
|  | Informed the BID Committee on $14^{\text {th }}$ January 2016 about the SCAAP Preferred Approach document. |
| Community Groups | Letter sent on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 to all on LDF database to inform that the SCAAP Preferred Approach consultation document is published for consultation - includes comprehensive coverage of resident / tenants / community associations and societies across the Borough. |
| Councillors | Local Development Framework Working Party briefed about consultation on the SCAAP Preferred Approach consultation document on $15^{\text {th }}$ September 2015. |
|  | An email was sent to all of Southend-on-Sea Borough Council's Councillors informing them of Consultation, Drop-in Sessions and Workshops on $18^{\text {th }}, 21^{\text {st }}$ December 2015, with follow-up emails sent on $15^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ January 2016. Hard Copies were supplied on request. |
|  | Councillor Drop-in sessions $20^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016. |
| Duty to Cooperate | Letter sent on $25^{\text {th }}$ January 2016 by email to neighbouring authorities and authorities within Thames Gateway South Essex advising them of the consultation and inviting discussion and comment on the SCAAP. |
| Feedback form to assess effectiveness of engagement activity | The Council's online system for making representations also includes an equalities feedback form. |
|  | Document placed on the Council's website (www.southend.gov.uk) for inspection and downloading. The Borough Council encourage comments online via our EConsultation service in order to make commenting on documents easier and straightforward. |

Table 2: Summary of Representations Received during the Consultation Period (18th December 2015 and $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016) on the Preferred Approach

Total individual respondents was 33

| Southend Central Area Action Plan DPD | Support | Object | Comment | Total Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section 1-Introduction |  |  |  |  |
| Question 1: SA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Question 2: Policies Map | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Section 2 - Visions and Objections |  |  |  |  |
| Question 3: Vision | 4 | 1 | 5 | 10 |
| Question 4: Strategic Objectives | 16 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| Section 3 - Central Area Strategy |  |  |  |  |
| Question 5: Central Area Strategy | 6 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| Section 4 - Criteria Based Policies |  |  |  |  |
| Question 6: Policy DS1 Retail | 10 | 3 | 25 | 38 |
| Question 7: Policy Options DS1a, DS1b, DS1c | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
| Question 8: Employment Section | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Question 9: Housing Allocation of residential sites with planning permission | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Question 10: Housing Section | 8 | 0 | 7 | 15 |
| Question 11: Culture, Leisure, Tourism \& Recreation Section | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| Question 12: Historic Environment Section | 7 | 1 | 6 | 14 |
| Question 13: Open and Green Space section | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Question 14: Policy DS2: Key Views | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Question 15: Policy DS3: Landmarks and Landmark Buildings | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| Question 16: Policy DS4: Floodrisk, SuDS | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| Question 17: Policy DS5: Transport, Access, Public Realm | 6 | 13 | 46 | 65 |
| Question 18: Infrastructure Section | 4 | 0 | 9 | 13 |
| Section 5 - Policy Areas and Site Allocations |  |  |  |  |
| Question 19: Site Allocation Indicative Capacity Table | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Question 20: Policy PA1 High Street | 9 | 1 | 24 | 34 |
| Question 21: Policy PA2 London Road | 4 | 4 | 23 | 31 |
| Question 22: Policy PA3 Elmer | 3 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| Question 23: Policy PA4 Queensway | 3 | 0 | 11 | 14 |
| Question 24: Policy PA5 Warrior Sq | 1 | 0 | 13 | 14 |
| Question 25: Policy PA6 Clifftown | 6 | 2 | 16 | 24 |
| Question 26: Policy PA7 Tylers | 2 | 0 | 16 | 18 |
| Question 27: Policy CS1 Central Seafront | 22 | 7 | 45 | 74 |
| Question 28: Policy CS2 Nature Conservation \& Biodiversity | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
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| Question 29: Policy CS3 Waterfront | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 30: Policy PA8 Victoria Gateway | 3 | 2 | 18 | 23 |
| Question 31: Policy PA9 Sutton Gateway | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
| Section 6 - Delivery of the SCAAP |  |  |  |  |
| Question 32: Phasing of Development Table | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Question 33: Useful to include indicative figures <br> for potential development in this section | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Question 34: Useful to set out a series of <br> projects and tasks for the Plan - linked to funding | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Question 35: Overall approach for the <br> Implementation Plan | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Question 36: Monitoring Framework | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Question 37: General Comments | 3 | 1 | 27 | 31 |
| Total | 157 | 44 | 342 | 543 |


| Policy <br> Area/Representation |  | PA1: <br> High <br> Street | PA2: London Road | PA3: <br> Elmer <br> Square | PA4: <br> Queensway | PA5: <br> Warrior Square | PA6: Clifftown | PA7: <br> Tylers | CS1: <br> Central <br> Seafront | PA8: <br> Victoria Gateway |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support/ Like | Total | 9 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 98 |
|  | Public | 6 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 55 |
|  | Business | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
|  | Member | 3 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| What is Missing | Total | 12 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 68 |
|  | Public | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 40 |
|  | Business | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
|  | Member | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| What can be Improved | Total | 36 | 18 | 7 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 45 | 24 | 11 | 196 |
|  | Public | 19 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 25 | 16 | 5 | 119 |
|  | Business | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 35 |
|  | Member | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 42 |
| Other Issues | Total | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 38 |
|  | Public | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 27 |
|  | Business | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Member | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | Total | 62 | 41 | 27 | 31 | 26 | 30 | 35 | 90 | 45 | 13 | 400 |
|  | Public | 34 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 22 | 23 | 50 | 32 | 5 | 241 |
|  | Business | 10 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 32 | 9 | 0 | 75 |
|  | Member | 18 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 84 |
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## Section 3: Key Issues Identified

3.1 The following information provides a list of some of the main issues raised by the representations on the preferred approach of the Plan and each of its policy provisions as part of the consultation process, including the workshops held with the local business and resident community and elected Members. This list is not intended to be exhaustive.
3.2 Appendix 3 of this report provides a summary of each duly made representation and Appendix 4 details those comments made at the workshops.
3.3 Appendices 3 and 4 also provide a summary of how the Council responded to the issues raised through consultation on the Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach.
3.4 The following information provides a list of some of the issues raised by the representations on each proposed policy. This list is not intended to be exhaustive. Appendix 3 of this report provides a summary of each representation made. Full comments made during the consultation on the Preferred Approach can be viewed here: http://southend.jdi-consult.net/localplan/

## General Approach:

- Vision, Strategic Objectives and Strategy well supported
- Approach to employment development supported
- Residential development in central area supported
- Approach to culture, leisure, tourism, historic environment and open space generally well supported
- Concern regarding lack of emphasis in Plan on importance of tourism to Southend and the importance of the areas historic past
- Need to ensure high quality design in new developments


## Policy DS1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre

- Support for maintaining High Street as a prosperous sub-regional centre
- Recognition that High Street needs to adapt to changing retail patterns and be more flexible in its approach and diversity to encourage restaurants, cafes and similar uses

Policy DS2: Key Views

- Policy provisions well supported

Policy DS3: Landmarks and Landmark Buildings

- Provisions welcomed but also concern that places emphasis on landmark buildings rather than best quality design

Policy DS4: Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage

- Policy provisions welcomed
- Concerns raised regarding surface water disposal - policy changes proposed as a result

Policy DS5: Transport Access and Public Realm

- Provisions for sustainable transport welcomed
- Concern that additional residential development should make adequate provision for residents car parking
- Need to maintain car parking capacity at a level that supports the vitality and viability of centre
- Problems of accessibility to centre and limited car parking provision preventing further investment in tourism facilities
- Need for additional car parking provision in central seafront tourist areas
- Congestion/poor accessibility resulting in shoppers/visitors not returning to town
- Should be like for like car parking provision on Opportunity Sites which are currently used for car parking with additional provision for development proposed on site
- Concern whether 'mixed mode' transport provision is safe
- Needs of vulnerable road users, cyclists and motor cyclists must be taken into full account
- Road safety/connectivity improvements needed through improved road crossing facilities


## Policy PA1: High Street

- Policy provisions generally supported
- Recognition of need to improve public realm, landscaping etc to create a quality pedestrian environment
- Need to improve signage and wayfinding
- Need to improve connectivity, particularly to seafront
- Need to improve High Street offer

Policy PA2: London Road

- Need to improve Victoria Circus
- No retail frontage to Queensway
- Pedestrianisation generally welcomed but concerns about mobility issues

Policy PA3: Elmer Square

- Policy provisions welcomed

Policy PA4: Queensway

- Policy provisions generally welcomed
- Concerns regarding road safety and access

Policy PA5: Warrior Square

- Need to improve connectivity and enhance urban greening
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Policy PA6: Clifftown

- General support for policy provisions
- Concerns raised regarding traffic movement in area
- Need for greater consideration to be given to future use of Empire Theatre site
- Need for better connectivity to railway station

Policy PA7: Tylers

- Support for the relocation of the Travel Centre
- Need for better connectivity and facilities at Travel Centre
- Need for improved linkages to High Street and Seafront


## Policy CS1: Central Seafront

- Good support for policy provisions
- Concerns relating to adequacy of car parking in area to support tourism facilities and level of traffic in area
- Need for improved signage and connectivity to High Street and surrounding areas
- Need to ensure Seaway Opportunity Site provides a quality gateway to the seafront
- Concerns regarding heights of buildings in new development


## Policy CS2: Nature Conservation and Biodiversity

- Policy wording not considered adequate -policy changes proposed

Policy CS3: The Waterfront

- Policy provisions generally supported

Policy PA8: Victoria Gateway

- Regeneration of area welcomed
- Need to make adequate provision for residential parking
- Consideration should be given to school and health needs
- Improve connectivity to High Street
- Concern regarding potential redevelopment of Roots Hall Football Ground resulting in out of town retail development to detriment of central area
- Baxter Avenue site should be allocated as Opportunity Site - now proposed to be included

Policy PA9: Sutton Road

- General support for regeneration of area
- Guildford Road site should be allocated as Opportunity Site - now proposed to be included


## Section 4: Consultation under Regulation 19 Southend Central Area Action Plan (Revised Proposed Submission Document)

## Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) Town and County Planning

 (Local Planning) Regulations 2012: Regulation 194.1 Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Revised Proposed Submission version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) as part of its Local Planning Framework, which it proposes to submit to the Secretary of State under Regulation 22 of the above Regulations.
4.2 The SCAAP Revised Proposed Submission updates the Preferred Approach version of the document (published December 2015), taking into account representations made and additional evidence.
4.3 The SCAAP (Revised Proposed Submission Document) and accompanying documents have been published in order for representations to be made prior to the submission of the Southend Central Area Action Plan to the Secretary of State for independent examination.
4.4 The Plan sets out detailed policies for a wide range of issues for the Central Area of the town, against which planning applications will be assessed, including shopping, housing, transport and the natural environment. It also identifies a number of Opportunity Sites for development. These will replace a number of Saved Policies from the 1994 Borough Local Plan.
4.5 Representations can be made during the publication period which begins on $3^{\text {rd }}$ November 2016 and ends on 5pm 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ December 2016.
4.6 Only representations received during this consultation period will be considered. Late responses will not be accepted.
4.7 Representations must relate to 'soundness' and legal compliance, and should be made using the Council's online interactive consultation system, which can be found at http://southend.jdi-consult.net/ldf/. Alternatively, representations may be submitted using the Response Form, available on request, by the following means:

- e-mail to ldf@southend.gov.uk or
- in writing to the Corporate Director, Department for Place, PO Box 557,Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend-on-Sea, SS2 6ZF.


## Section 5: Statement of Fact - details of the Preferred Approach Consultation

5.1 The Revised Proposed Submission Southend Central Area Action Plan, Revised Policies Map and accompanying documents, alongside a statement setting out how representations can be made, are available for inspection from $3^{\text {rd }}$ November 2016 to $16^{\text {th }}$ December 2016 at the following locations:

- Southend Council's website: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Southend Borough Council Contact Centre, Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend on Sea between 8.45am and 5.15pm (Monday to Friday); and
- All Southend Libraries during normal opening hours:
o Southend Forum, Elmer Square, Southend
o Southchurch Library, Lifstans Way, Southend
o Kent Elms Library, Prince Avenue, Leigh
o Thorpedene Library, Delaware Road, Shoebury
o Friars Library, The Renown, Shoebury
o Westcliff Library, London Road, Westcliff
o Leigh Library, Broadway West, Leigh
5.2 Hard copies can be purchased for $£ 5$ by contacting the Performance Team by telephone on 01702215004 ext. 5408 or email Idf@southend.gov.uk

Appendix 1: Consultees (Preferred Approach Stage, December 2015)

## LDF 2016 - Specific Consultees (ALL)

## Organisation

Aldi Foodstore Ltd
AMEC Environment \& Infrastructure UK Ltd
Anglian Water Services
Arriva Southern Counties
Arriva Southern Counties Ltd
Asda Superstores
Barling Magna Parish Council
Basildon Borough Council
British Wind Energy Association
BUPA Wellesley Hospital
c2c Rail \& National Express East Anglia
CAA Safety Regulation Group
Castle Point Borough Council
CPREssex
Dartford Borough Council
Defence Infrastructure Organisation
East of England Ambulance Service
EDF Energy
EDF Energy (Renewables)

## EE

English Heritage East of England
Environment Agency
Environment Agency
Environment Agency
Essex Chambers of Commerce - South Essex Office
Essex Council Council
Essex County Council
Essex County Council
Essex Fire \& Rescue Service HQ

## Essex Police

Essex Police Community Safety Dept
Essex Police, Headquarters
Essex Wildlife Trust
First Essex Buses Ltd
Foulness Parish Council
Friends, Families, Travellers \& Travellers Community
Great Wakering Parish Council
Guide Dogs for the Blind Association
HM Customs \& Excise
Highways Agency
Highways Agency (Network Strategy)
Highways England
Historic England
Hockley Parish Council
Leigh Town Council
London Southend Airport
MOA (Mobile Operators Association)
National Grid
Natural England
Natural England Consultation Service
NHS England, Essex Area Team
Planning Potential on behalf of Aldi Stores
Public Health
QineteQ
Resident Association Watch
Rochford District Council
Rochford Parish Council
South East Local Enterprise Partnership

Organisation
Sport England
Southend University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
The National Trust
National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups
The Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings
The Planning Inspectorate
Thurrock Council
Three
Thurrock Unitary Council
Traveller Law Reform
Town Centre Partnership
University of Essex
UK Power Network
Vodaphone and O2

## LDF General Consultees

A W Squier Ltd
AC Taxis
Age Concern
Arriva Southern Counties Ltd
Association of Jewish Refugees
Barton Wilmore
Belfairs Gardens Residents Association
Braintree District Council
BRE Global
Brentwood Borough Council
British Hardware Federation
British Horse Society
Burges Estate Residents Association (BERA)
Bus \& Rail User Group
c2c Rail
Campaign to Protect Rural Essex
(CPREssex)
Canewdon Parish Council
Chalkwell Ward Residents Association
Chart Plan (2004) Ltd
Chelmsford Borough Council
COBRA (Coalition of Borough Residents
Associations
Conservation Association Westcliff
Seaboard
County Hotel
CPRE Southend Area
Crest Nicholson
Crime Prevention Panel (Leigh)
Crown Estate Office
Cycling Touring Club (CTC)
Darby \& Joan Organisation
DIAL Southend
English Sports Council (East)
Essex \& Suffolk Water
Essex Amphibian \& Reptile Group
Essex Badger Protection Group
Essex Biodiversity Project
Essex Bridleways Association
Essex Racial Equality Council
Essex Wildlife Trust
Essex Wildlife Trust - Southend and
Rochford Group
Estuary Housing Association
Ethnic Minority Forum

Federation of Small Businesses
Fusion Online Ltd
GreenKeeper
Hamlet Court Road Business Association
Hanson Quarry Products
Harlow District Council
Hawkwell Parish Council
Heaton Planning
Herbert Grove Residents Association
Hindu Association (Southend \& District)
Hobbs Parker
Home Builders Federation (HBF)
Horse Owners and Riders (SE Essex)
Hullbridge Parish Council
Iceni Projects Ltd
Indigo Planning
IPECO
J.C Gibb Chartered Surveyors

Januarys
John Grooms Association
Kent County Council
Lambert Smith Hampton
Lancashire Digital Technology Centre
Landmark Town Planning Group
Leigh Cliff Association
Leigh Seafront Action Group
Leigh Society
Leigh Traders Association
Leigh-on-Sea Crime Prevention Panel
Lidl UK Ltd
Maldon District Council
Milton Community Partnership
Milton Conservation Society
Moat Homes
National Express East Anglia
National Federation for the Blind
National Rivers Authority Anglian Region
Network Rail (Town Planning Team)
Network Rail Property
NIBS
North Crescent \& Feeches Rd Residents
Association
Older Peoples Federation
Olympus KeyMed
OPA

Paglesham Parish Council
Parklife
Pebbles 1
Persimmon Homes (Essex) Ltd
Peter Harris Associates
Phase 2 Planning and Development
Planning Perspectives LLP
Planning Potential
Planware Ltd
Port of London Authority
Powergen Plc
Prospects College
Qinetiq
Ramblers Association (Southend Unitary
Authority)
Rayleigh Town Council
Residents Association of Westborough
(RAW)
RIBA South East Chapter
Royal Association For Deaf People (RAD)
Royal Bank of Scotland (RBS)
Royal Mail Group Property
Royal National Lifeboat Institution -
Southend Branch
SAEN
Sanctuary Group
Shoebury Residents Association
Shoebury Society
Shoebury Traders Association
Smart Planning Ltd
Society for the Protection of Undercliff
Gardens
SOS Domestic Abuse Projects
South East Essex Archaelogical Society
South East Essex Archaeological and Historical Society
South East Essex College
South East Essex Friends of the Earth
South Essex Area Health Authority
South Essex Natural History Society
South Westcliff Community Group
Southend \& District Aid Society
Southend \& District Pensioners
Campaign
Southend \& Leigh Fishermans Association
Southend \& Surrounds Cycling
Campaign
Southend Adult Community College

Southend and Westcliff Hebrew
Congregation
Southend Animal Aid
Southend Area Bus Users Group
Southend Association of Voluntary
Services
Southend Blind Welfare Organisation
Southend Hospital NHS Trust
Southend Islamic Trust
Southend Mencap
Southend Mind
Southend Ornithological Group
Southend Primary Care Trust (PCT)
Southend Properties (Guernsey) Ltd
Southend Sports Council \& Southend
Wheelers Cycling Club
Southend Taxi Drivers Association
Southend Tenants and Residents
Federation
Southend Town Centre Business Group
Southend University Hospital
Southend Wheelers
Southend YMCA
Southend Youth Council
Southend-on-Sea Arts Council
Southend-on-Sea Guild of Help and
Citizens Advice Bureau
Southend-on-Sea Sports Council
Sport England East
SSA Planning
St. Matthew's Christian Spiritualist Church (1999) Ltd.

Stambridge Parish Council
Stephensons of Essex
Stewart Ross Associates
Stock Woolstencroft Architecture and
Urbanism
Stockdale Group of Companies
Strutt and Parker
SUSTRANS Essex
Sutton Parish Council
Tarmac Southern Lłd
Tattersall Gardens Residents Group
Tendring District Council
Terence O'Rourke
Tesco Stores Ltd
Tetlow King Planning

Thames Gateway South Essex Partnership<br>Ltd<br>Thames Water Property Services<br>The Guinness Trust<br>The Planning \& Development Partnership<br>The Planning Bureau Ltd<br>The Salvation Army Leigh on Sea<br>The Southend Pier Museum Trust Ltd<br>The Southend Society<br>The Theatres Trust<br>The Victoria Shopping Centre<br>Tolhurst House Residents Association<br>Trust Links<br>University of Essex Southend<br>Uttlesford District Council, Planning<br>Department

## Businesses invited to participate in the workshops and consultation by Southend Bid

Company Registered Name
07 Heaven Design
4 Seasons Complementary Health Practice
4Site Implementation Ltd
A \& J TOWELLING PRODUCTS
A PASS 4 U
A R Photography
A1 Designs Fabrication \& Welding
A1 Stallard Electrical
A2B LUXURY TRANSFERS
ABACUS TRAINING
Abbeyfield Southend
Abbotts
ABERCROMBIE LALIS
aComms
Action Damp
AdaVista
Adecco
Adelphi Executive Hire
Admin Services
Admiral Court
ADVANCED INTEGRATED SECURITY
Advice by Telephone Ltd
affinity mortgages
Afforedable services LTD
AGH Event Consultants
Al Security
Aim for total health
Aiming Hire Mini Buses
Airport Lettings Stansted Ltd
AIS
AJ Chambers Specialist Recruitiment Ltd
AJR Eco LTD
Alan Blunden Insurance Brokers
ALBION COOLING SYSTEMS LTD
Aldermore
Allied Irish Bank
Aloe Vera Products
Alpha3 Training
AMBLESIDE SOCIAL CLUB
Amygdala Press
Andromeda
Angela Kimberley Associates
Angela Kimberley Associates
Angela Kimberley Ltd
Anglia Battery \& Filter Co
Anglia Ruskin University
ANGLO EUROPEAN CLINIC
ANNABEL BARRY HYPNOTHERAPY
AnnaHeimOnline
ANNANDALE CLINIC LTD
Apcoa
APPLE DRIVING SCHOOL LTD
Appointmoor Estate Agents
AQMS
AR Lee \& Co
Arbonne International
Arriva
Arriva (Bus)
Martin Engleman Business Solutions
Masterpart Distribution
MaxCleavage Ltd
Mayas Restaurant
Mayhem Nightclub
Mayura Yoga Ltd
MCB Restaurants
Meades Florist
Measured Designs
Media Corp
Medical Innovations
Memory House
Mercedes Benz
Merenda \& Company Ltd
merenda insurance
MERIDIAN ELECTRICAL EASTERN LTD
Messiter Creative Services
Metal Culture Ltd
metro bank
Metrow Foods LTD
Michael Dempsey ICT
Miles Design
Millennium Challenge Ltd
Miller and Carter
Mindfulness
MINI FOOTIE FOOTBALL ACADEMY
Mitchell and Butlers- Site name is $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ 'Neills
Mobile Mechanic
Monster Parties Limited
Moody Marketing
MORTGAGE CONCIERGE LTD
MOTOR \& ARMATURE REWINDS LTD
MouseTrap Innovation Ltd
Move It Removals
Mr Window
MUGHAL DYNASTY
Mullis \& Peake Ltd
My Buggy Buddy
My HR Business Partner
NANDOS
NAT WEST
Neil Clare Professional Driving Instructors
Nelly McNally Hair
net paper
NETS GALORE
New Business
New Business -
New Business - Call Centre
New Business - new product to start business rucksack
New Business Start-up
New start-up business
New Town Films Ltd
Newman \& Partners Accountants
Newood Transmissions
Newsquest
NHS South East Essex
Nilpat LTD
North Essex Finance Ltd

Arts \& Crafts shop
AS Services Plumbing and Maintenance Ltd
Asda
ASHINGDON HALL CARE LTD
ashleigh stone
ASHLEY FINANCE
ATC Lasham
Atelier 73
ATHENA FLOORING \& RUGS
AutoCAD
AutoEmailer
Avionicare
Avionicare Ltd
AW Squier Ltd
Ayers \& Cruiks
Ayers and Cruiks
Azzurro
Balfour Beatty Regional Civil Engineering
BALI-HAI CARE HOME
BAR VIC
Barclays
Barclays Bank
Barnes \& Taylor Solicitors
Barry Richardson Counselling Services
Basildon and District Local Enterprise Agency
Bath Store
BATTLESBRIDGE TILING SERVICES
BBC Essex
Beach Hut cafe
Beardwell Construction Ltd
Beauty By Stella
BELINDA JANES
belle rose florists
Belle Vue Motors
Bellinis
BGA Architects
BGA Architects
Bibby Financial Services
Big local app
Bird Luckin
BirdLuckin
bitc
BIZphit
Blades Hair Company
blatella productions
BLOOD SWEAT \& INK TATTOOS
Blue47
Bluebird Care
Bluebird Care
Bobby Jos 50's Diner
Boots UK
BOSCOMBE JOINERY \& MOULDINGS LTD
Brand Identity
brandyhole
Brighten LED
Brighter Green
BrilleMusic
BROADGATE PAPER CO.LTD
BROADWAY CARS

NQE
Nutrition Matters 4u
NUWAVE INTERIORS
NWES
Odeon Cinema
Older Person's Assembly
Olympus Keymed
One to One Personnel Limited
Online Business
optimum facilities management
Oracle
Organisation for Responsible Businesses
Organisation for Responsible Businesses Ltd
Osborne Plumbing
P J Stibbards Denture Clinic
P M B ELECTRICAL LTD
Pace Plc
Pageantry Postcards
Palmers Solicitors
Pandora in the Royals and Niche in Leigh Jewellers
paparazzi
Park Inn by Radisson
Park Inn Palace
Party shop 121
PASS \& CO
Paul Lucas Bathroom Supplies
paulgeeentertainments
Payeday Solutions Ltd
PB Polishers LTd
pds digital Itd
PEACOCK DEVELOPMENT
Pebbles Guesthouse
PEMXQ
People Need Skills
Peterboat
Pete's Tackle
Phoenix Electrical
photoreceptorcell
PHS Besafe
piccolo
Pickles \&Sons Builders
Pits Dental Labarories Chemicals
Pixel Work
Planet Leasing
PM Leisure/ Chinnerys
POLARIS TRAINING \& DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS
Pouch of Douglas
Power Roofing Ltd
Practical HR
Premier Inn
PREMIER SCREEN PRINTING
Premier Travel Ltd
PRESSING MATTERS
Presstige dry cleaners
pride \& joy classic cars Itd
Primary Image
Princes Trust
Priority
Pro-Actions

Broadway Estates
Broadway Grill
brook street (uk) limited

BRS Associates Ltd
Bryman Recruitment
burnt mills tyres
Bushukan Bonsai
Business Connected
Business Doctors
Business Hub
BUSYBEADS
Butlers Fresh Fish
Butterfly Effect Coaching
Buzz Box
Buzz Box Ltd
C N Metals LTD
C W Construction Ltd
C2C Rail Ltd
cafe ciao
Cafe Kia Ltd
Cakes By Gemma
Capital Enterprise Centres Ltd
Career SOS Limited
Casa Bonita
castle point avs
Castle Point Borough Council
CBHC LLP Chartered Accountants
Cedar Hall Clinic
Chairman
Chalkley Solutions Limited
Chalkwell Auctions
CHALKWELL INSURANCE SERVICES
Champagne
Chargecrest Security
Charles Derby
Charles Electrical Ltd
Charter House Property Management
Chase High School
Chase Sport and Fitness Cetre
CHC CONSTRUCTION
Cheerful Childminding
Children's Entertainer
chip and pin solutions Itd
Chloe Elizabeth Interiors
Chorus Business Advisers
Chorus Business Advisers Ltd
Cl-Connect
City Electrical Factors
CJM Electrical Ltd
CLARENCE HOUSE JEWELLERS
Clarence Restaurant
Classic Carpets
Cliffords Barber Shop
Cliffs Pavillion Palace Theatre
CME Personnel Consultancy
cockle
Comfy Saddle
Community Sports Network

Professional Tiling Ltd
Profile Clothing
ProFit recruitment, accountant and finance staff recruitment
specialists
Profusion
Profusion plc
Progress Building Contractors Ltd
Prospects College of Advanced Technology
Public Health
quadrant security services
Qualitas Inspection Services Ltd
Quattro Recruitment
Queens
Quick fit services
R \& Y TYERS REMOVAL CONTRACTORS
R B Emerson Group
R Hartcare Ltd
Rachael Pereiera
Ravens
Raybrook sheet metal work
RAYLEIGH MOWER SERVICES
RBS
Reach out therapy
Red Wine Media
REDLINE INDUSTRIAL SUPPLIES
Reed Residential
Reflections
Renalls Trading \& Pawnbrokers
RENT A TOY
resinfo-tech
Retro Central
Revivo Therapy Ltd
Rickard Keen
Rikard Keen
Rimingtons Finance \& Insurance Brokers
Robert Leonard Group PLC
Rochford District Council
Rochford Hundred Golf Club
Roslin Hotel
ROSSIS ICE CREAM
Rowallan Group
Royal Bank of Scotland
Royal Hotel
Roydon Academy of Performing arts
RSW Partnership
Russia Local
S K Signs \& Lables LTD
S M G PAINTING \& DECORATING
Sainsbury's
Salvation Army
Sams Child Minding Service
Samuels Associates
Sandbanks
Santander
Santry Davis Accountants
Saphire Computer Systems Itd

## SAVS

Sayer Complementary Health

Connect Interiors
Connexions
Conridge LTD
Consultant
Contrast LTD
Converso
Corks N Cans
Corner Shop
Costcutter
Cottis House Ltd
Countrywide
Couture Cakes by Kosta
CRB MODELS
CREST
Crossways Consultancy (Int'I) Limited
Crown College
Crown Vocational Training
CROWSTONE PREPARATORY SCHOOL
CUMBERLAND PACKAGE
Cumberland Packaging Group
CURA - Passion for Ales of the UK
CXD World
Cyberdan Ltd
Cycle Southend/Ideas In Motion
D \& G MOTORS
Dallas Packaging
Darby \& Joan Organisation
Davenport
DAVID PETHERBRIDGE UPHOLSTERY
De Vere Care
Dedman
Dedman Property Services
Deep Cleen
Delicious PR
Devine Financial Management
DG Servicing
Diamond Property Cleaning
Digital Exploration Centre
DISCOUNT COMPUTER REPAIRS
dphotos
Dr Zaidi
Dragon Enterprise Centre
DRIVERSHIELDS (UK) LTD
Earth Zest
Easy Jet
EBS Consulting
ec2i
Echo
ECLIPTECH LTD
Eco clothing
Edes Electrical and Homewares
Editions Alecto
EGL Homecare
EIC Management Limited
ELC Property Recruitment
eLignum
Eliza Boutique
Elliots Printing
Energy Fitness For Women

Scape Interiors
Scott \& Mears
Scott \& Stapleton
Seabourne Day Nursery
Secure Sitting Service
SEEDBED Centre
Seetec
SEEVIC College
Self Defence Essex
Self Employed
Service Garage MOT \& Repair Centre LTD
Sexuality and Gendery Identity
SGM DESIGN LTD
shapers of westcliff
Shaw Trust Employment
Shawtrust
Shore Beauty
Shout design
Siesta Therapies
Silkstream
Silver City Estates
SILVERSEA LODGE
Simply Better
Simply Words Editing Services
Simply-Better
Six Red Squares
SJPP
Slade Decorating Services
SNAKES ALIVE
snap.
SNAPS NURSERY TRADING AS SNN LTD
Social Fair
Social Media
Solicitor
somerstime
SOS Locksmiths
Sounds-D-Light
South East \& Central Essex Mind
South East Essex College
South East Essex Practice Nurse Group
South Essex
South Essex College
south essex homes
South Records Limited
Southbourne Physiotherapy Limited
Southchurch Business
Southchurch Convenient Store
southend \& local plumbing co
Southend Adult Community College
Southend Adult Community College (SACC)
Southend Advocacy for Older People
Southend and Chelmesford Radio
Southend Business Support
Southend Carnival Association
Southend Cliffs Pavillion
Southend Enterprise Accreditation Ltd
Southend Enterprise Society
Southend Ethnic Minority Forum
Southend Football Supporters Social Club LTD

ENGRAVING SERVICES
ENS Recruitment \& Training Services
Enter Africa
Enterprising UK Ltd
Environmental Consultant
Epiphany Training Ltd
Equinox World Wide Limited
Erbil Gulhan
Essensys
Essex Asian Womens Association
Essex Bookeeping Company
Essex Chamber of Commerce
Essex Chambers of Commerce
Essex Countryside
Essex County Cricket Club
Essex County Fire \& Rescue Service
Essex Enquirer
Essex Financial Planners
Essex GAS SHOWROOMS
Essex Injection Mouldings
Essex Interior designers
Essex LPC
Essex Pest Proof Limited
Essex Police
Essex Property Solutions
Essex Search \& Rescue
Essex Wildlife Trust
Estate Planning Consultant
Estuary Housing Association
Eva Design
Evolution Tennis Academy.Com Ltd
Evolve telecommunications
EXCELSIOR LANGUAGE SPECIALISTS
Exemplas
Fabricake sugarcraft limited
Fair Havens Hospice
Fairfield Motors
Fairview Residential Home
Farmers Choice
Ferderation of Small Business
FIBRE FIX
Figure of Eight Media
Fikay Eco Fashion
First Data Corporation
First Essex Buses
First Group (Bus)
Fitness First Southend
Fitness Focus
FLORA'S CHILDCARE
Flowers by sophie wilson
fluidata
Focal Point Gallery
Foot Clinic
Foot Stop
FOREST GARDEN SERVICES
forever living
Formara Ltd
Forum of Private Business
Foundation East

Southend Hospital
Southend Hotel \& Catering Association
Southend Kitesurfing
Southend Leisure and Tennis Centre
Southend LPA
Southend MUAY THAI
Southend Osteopath
Southend Radio
Southend Rugby Club
Southend TAGB
Southend Teacher Training Partnership
Southend Team Ministry
Southend TOTAL ENGINEERING \& FABRICATION
Southend united com and edu trust
Southend United Community \& Educational Trust
Southend United Football Club
Southend University Hospital
Southend YMCA
Spares4Appliances Ltd
spark digital marketing Itd
Spectrum
Spider
SPINK
Spinnaker Consulting Ltd
Spire Wellesley Hospital
Sponsorpack Ltd
SPRING CLEAN CLEANING SERVICES
Square Halo
St Ann's Building Supplies
st bernards high school
st james place
St Lukes HLC
stark salon
Stephensons of Essex
Steve's Self Drive
Stobart Air
Stonedene LTD
Storm Media
Strawberry Cafe
Studio Nine
Studio21
Subject Leader - Business Studies Chase High School
SummerCare
summit tiling
Sunshine Day nursery 2003 LTD
Sweetcheeks Trees
Talking Cures
Taylor and Son
TCHC
team green
Temple Blake Solutions
Tempus Facilities Management
Tent park
Tessera Group Plc
TFS Loans LTD
The Arlington
The Arlington Ballroom
The Atrium Clinic \& Therapy Centre
The Best of Southend
fp guiver \& sons
freshworks ( WEST END) Itd
Funky Medical Accessories LTD
Fuse
G Casino
Gardener \& Co LTD
General Engineering Treatments
Genting Club Westcliff
Geoff Rhodes Associates
George Turner Models
Gerard Rayment
Giblicom Ltd
Giles Wilson
Gleneagles Guesthouse
GLOBEAID LTD
GO-TRAIN LEARNDIRECT
grace corporate insurance
Grateful Heads
GreenSpur
Gregory Dean
Greybox Design
Grosvenor Casino Southend
Groundworks
Guardian
H\&T Pawnbrokers Southend
Hair \& Son
Hamlet Court Road Business Association
Handelsbanken
Handmade Weddings
Harbour Financial
HART WHOLESALE
Harts Consultancy Limited
Harvey Star Ltd
Hatton Jewellery
HDA - Web and Ink Design
Headway Essex
health and wellness business
Health Watch
Heart FM
heart radio
HIDDEN HEARING LTD
hightec membrane roofing
HILLS OF SHOEBURYNESS LTD
HIM
Hi-Tec Sports UK LTD
hoboken kids Itd
Holiday Inn Southend
Home Care Professionals
Home Instead
home sweet home
Homebase
Hood Group Ltd
Hottwerk IIP
House Man Water Treatment
House of the rising sun tattoo parlour
HSBC
Hunt Roche
HYGIENE N CLEAN
I LOVE BLINDS

The Blue Jelly Cafe Limited
The Boatyard
The Body Matters
The Book Inn \& Book Café
The castle
THE CHARTWELL GALLERY
THE CO-OPERATIVE FOOD
The CPL Group
The Draughtsman
The Echo
THE EDUCATORS
The Enterprise Foundation
The Exchange Public House
THE FIELD LANE FOUNDATION
The Garrison Bakery
The Grove
The Hive Enterprise Centre
The Hockett
The Hollies
The Hub London
The Ice Cream Factory
The IT CO
THE LILACS RESIDENTIAL HOME
The Livemore Partnership LLP
The Meeting Place Restaurant
The Mosaic Studio
The Nova Partnership
The Oncrowd
The Papworth Trust
The Pickle Shed
THE POLASH
The Practice
The Ragged Priest
The Royals Shopping Centre
THE SIGN FACTORY
The Squeeze
The Sutton Arms
The Tead Remedy Shop
the travelling bar
The Victoria
The Visible Woman
The Vitamin Service Ltd (FSB)
The yacht club @ brandy hole
thedeanesonline
theosteelltd
therapy life centre
This Way Belton Corner
Thus Plc
TIME
TJ PHOTOGRAPHY
tmays
Tolhurst Fisher
Tom Humble Photography
Tomassi's Restaurant \& Ice-Cream Shop
Totally Sound Ltd
Train to Gain
Travel Counsellors
Traveller Dave
Treaders Kynastons DIY

I Love Fancy Dress Ltd
Ian Sanders Ltd
Icarus Training
iComputeIT Community Interest Company
IDEALS GP LIMITED
Immervox Ltd
Inflite
Ingeus UK Ltd
Ingleton Wood
Inner Body
Insure and Go
Interiors By Heather
Intraining
Ipeco Holdings Ltd
Ixion
Ixion Holdings
J Birch \& Son Builders LTD
J.B August

Jasmine day spa
JD Weatherspoon PLC
jeffries law
JEGS
JENNIFER'S NATURAL HEALTH \& BEAUTY
Jerry Bell Hairdressing Ltd
Jewish Care
JHP Training
Jo Curtis Photography
Job Centre Plus
Jobcentre Plus
Jobentre Plus
John Keeble Photography
johnston watches of leigh
JRD GARDENING \& FLORISTRY
JS Accountancy \& Payroll Services
Junior Cooks
Just Fiestas.com
Just Grow
JUST THE JOB
k barbers emporium
kat securities
Kayes Textiles
KENTES LTD
Kestrel Printing
KeyWorkers Direct
KFC
Kingdom Group
Kinggs Lettings
Kingsbury Screens
KIP McGrath Southend East
Kirby and Lewis LTD
Kiss Kiss Fashion Boutique
KLW Business Evolution Ltd
KOROKOR
Kumpania Consulting
Kursaal Function Suite
KURSALL PLANT HIRE LTD
Last Post
LAWNSCIENCE

Trustlinks
TTC wetranslate Ltd
Twincliff Scaffolding Limited
ugos cafe and bar
UK Export Finance
UKERC
UKTI
Unit10 Art
University of Essex
Upfront Marketing
Uptomen
Urban Edge
urban printing and design Itd
Urban Utopia Hairdressing
utility warehouse
Utility Warehouse Discount Club
veno viro
Ventrica
Ventrica
VENTURA STUDIOS LTD
Victoria Shopping centre
Vincent McCarthy Solicitors
Visualmark
VOA
Vocational Training Services
Vurchoo Ltd
W L Copley and Son Ltd
Waitrose
WATCH YOUR CLOCK
WATSON MOBILE PLASTERING
WDC Physiotherapy and Sports Injury Clinic
We Want 2 Work
Web Stop Shop
West Cliffe Travel
West House Nursing Home
WEST WAY TRAVEL
Westcliff Boxing Academy
westcliff travel service
Wheeldon and Deacon
wheeler construction
Whitebus
Whitebus Company
Wickham Engineering
Winns Security
WINNS SECURITY SERVICES LTD
Wire fish Services
WIREFISH
Wish
Woofco
Worby Ltd
World of Braiding \& Extensions Ltd
Worsley Wines LTD
WV Lemon Fencing Ltd
Wyles Tiles
Yellow Advertiser
Yoga Dharma Limited
Youth \& Connexions Service
ZU

LC Cleaning
Leigh and Southend Times
Leigh Nails
LEIGH PC SERVICES
Leigh Print \& Packing LTD
Leigh-on-Sea Shellfish Merchants Association
Leigh-on-Sea Town Council
LeopardCandi
Liberty Hygiene Services
Liberty I-Zone Ltd
Library Services
Liddell Solicitors
Lighter Life
Lime Scale Solved
Lions Club of Southend on Sea
LITTLE MONKEEZ
Living Aquarium
Lloyds Bank
Lloyds TSB
Local MP
Locallife
Lollipop Local
London Southend Airport
London Southend Airport Consultative Committee
Low Carbon Business Team
Lynmar Solutions Ltd
Lynn Tait Gallery
M.D Martek Services Ltd

Made@94
Maison Renouf
Mallies
Mamma Mia
Manufacturing Advisory Service
Maplewood Investment Ltd
Maplin House
Marsh Ltd
Martin Dawn plc

SCAAP - Extra Consultees

Added specifically for this document (correspondence sent as per LDF database, Table 1: Consultation methods).

Beaches
CGG
Chinnerys
Genting Club
Gleneagles Guest House
Happidrome
Hedgeway Development
Heygate Residents Associations Papillion
Pebbles One

## Southend Planning and Developers Forum 2015

Alan Shaw Architects
Alex Baldwin
Amar Trivedi
APS Design Associates
Architectural Design \& Plan
Barratt Homes
Bernard Gooding Associates
Bob Scott
Building Design Associates CET
Architectural Design Charter
Projects
Clark Partnership
Colin Millard
Country \& Metropolitan Homes
Dave Grew
David Turner Associates
DBS Property Designs Ltd
DC Planning
Design Associates
Design Works
Ergo Planning
Estuary
Fulcrum Building Designs Ltd
Graham Jolley
Heart Contracts Ltd
Invent ID
John Jackson
Knight Gratrix Architects
Landmark Planning
Lap Architects
Leigh Planning Services Ltd
Lindsey Wislocki
Marcus Bennett Associates Mark
Hipsey
McCarthy and Stone
MHS Projects
Mike Gray
Mr M Snellgrove
New World Designers
NPS Group
Paul Aldridge
Paul Henry Architects
rcmk
Richard Dean
Robert Leonard
Sam Milne
Sime Solutions
SKA Architects
Slee Architectural Services Smith and Metson Architects Stome Me Ltd
TC Matthew Chartered Architect
The Draughtsman
The Livemore Partnership
Tim Knight Architects

Trudys Architectural Services
Welton Bremner
Weston Homes

Appendix 2: Copy of Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach (December 2015) Consultation Material

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council 

Department for Place
Head of Planning and Transport - Peter Geraghty
Our ref: TP/100/459/ds Telephone: 01702215408
Your ref:
Date: $\quad 18$ December 2015
E-mail: debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk
Contact Name: D Skinner

## Dear Consultee

## Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) - Preferred Approach

## Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

Residents, businesses and other stakeholders across the Borough are invited to help shape the Council's vision for the future development of the Town Centre and central Seafront over the next five years.

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP). The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and gateway neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton.

It contains proposals for 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and an excellent place to live.
It also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm and access improvements.

The Preferred Approach version of the SCAAP is accompanied by a Policies Map, a Sustainability Appraisal Report and non-technical summary, a Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www.southend.gov.uk/scaap. Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan. The quickest and easiest way to submit comments is via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdi-consult.net/.

Comments may be submitted by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time you will need to register on the system.

This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

We recognise that not everyone has access to the Internet and that it is important that no one is excluded from participating. Copies of the Response Form are also available from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

There are also two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1 - 21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2 - 21st January, 18:00-20:30

To have your say and view the full reports:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using Response Form:
o online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
o via email: ldf@southend.gov.uk
o Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF
Yours faithfully

Debee Skinner
Business Intelligence Officer

## Email to Statutory Consultees

Debee Skinner

From:
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To:
Subject:

Importance:

LDF
18 December 2015 15:28
Debee Skinner
Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

High

## Dear Consultee

## Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

Residents, businesses and other stakeholders across the Borough are invited to help shape the Council's vision for the future development of the Town Centre and central Seafront over the next five years.

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP). The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and gateway neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton.

It contains proposals for 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and an excellent place to live.
It also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm and access improvements.

The Preferred Approach version of the SCAAP is accompanied by a Policies Map, a Sustainability Appraisal Report and non-technical summary, a Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www.southend.gov.uk/scaap.
Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan.
The quickest and easiest way to submit comments is via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdiconsult.net/.

Comments may be submitted by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

We recognise that not everyone has access to the Internet and that it is important that no one is excluded from participating. Copies of the Response Form are also available from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

There are also two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1 - 21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2 - 21st January, 18:00-20:30

To have your say and view the full reports:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using Response Form:
o online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
o via email: ldf@southend.gov.uk
o Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF
Kind regards
Debee Skinner | Business Intelligence Officer | Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
Creating a Better Southend
Phone: 01702215408 | Email: debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk debeeskinner@southend.gcsx.co.uk | Website: www.southend.gov.uk
Department for Place | Southend on Sea Borough Council| Floor 13 Civic Centre | Victoria Avenue | Southend on Sea \| SS2 6ER
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## Dear Consultee

## Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

Residents, businesses and other stakeholders across the Borough are invited to help shape the Council's vision for the future development of the Town Centre and central Seafront over the next five years.

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP). The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and gateway neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton.

It contains proposals for 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and an excellent place to live.
It also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm and access improvements.

The Preferred Approach version of the SCAAP is accompanied by a Policies Map, a Sustainability Appraisal Report and non-technical summary, a Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www.southend.gov.uk/scaap.
Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan.
The quickest and easiest way to submit comments is via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdiconsult.net/.

Comments may be submitted by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

We recognise that not everyone has access to the Internet and that it is important that no one is excluded from participating. Copies of the Response Form are also available from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

There are also two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1 - 21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2 - 21st January, 18:00-20:30

To have your say and view the full reports:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using Response Form:
o online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
o via email: ldf@southend.gov.uk
o Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF
Kind regards
Debee Skinner | Business Intelligence Officer | Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
Creating a Better Southend
Phone: 01702215408 | Email: debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk debeeskinner@southend.gcsx.co.uk | Website: www.southend.gov.uk
Department for Place | Southend on Sea Borough Council| Floor 13 Civic Centre | Victoria Avenue | Southend on Sea \| SS2 6ER

Before printing, please think about the environment.

## Email to Developers Forum

Debee Skinner

| From: | LDF |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | 18 December $201515: 32$ |
| To: | Debee Skinner |
| Subject: | Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central <br>  <br>  <br> seafront |
| Importance: | High |

## Dear Consultee

## Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

Residents, businesses and other stakeholders across the Borough are invited to help shape the Council's vision for the future development of the Town Centre and central Seafront over the next five years.

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP). The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and gateway neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton.

It contains proposals for 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and an excellent place to live.
It also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm and access improvements.

The Preferred Approach version of the SCAAP is accompanied by a Policies Map, a Sustainability Appraisal Report and non-technical summary, a Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www.southend.gov.uk/scaap.
Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan.
The quickest and easiest way to submit comments is via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdiconsult.net/.

Comments may be submitted by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

We recognise that not everyone has access to the Internet and that it is important that no one is excluded from participating. Copies of the Response Form are also available from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

There are also two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1 - 21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2 - 21st January, 18:00-20:30

To have your say and view the full reports:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using Response Form:
o online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
o via email: ldf@southend.gov.uk
o Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF
Kind regards

Debee Skinner | Business Intelligence Officer | Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
Creating a Better Southend
Phone: 01702215408 | Email: debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk debeeskinner@southend.gcsx.co.uk | Website: www.southend.gov.uk
Department for Place | Southend on Sea Borough Council | Floor 13 Civic Centre | Victoria Avenue | Southend on Sea \| SS2 6ER

Before printing, please think about the environment.

## Debee Skinner

## From:

## Sent:

To:
Subject:

## Attachments:

Importance:
26 October 2016 10:16
$\square$
FW: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Preferred Approach Public Consultation
Southend_Central_Area_Action_Plan_Exec_Summary_Dec_2015.pdf; List of officers invited to Internal Workshop - SCAAP.docx

High

So the original was on the 6th Jan but then there was a follow up.
From:
Sent: 01 February 2016 11:00
To: Heads Of Service
Cc: Directors
Subject: FW: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Preferred Approach Public Consultation
Dear colleagues
Please see email below from the $6^{\text {th }}$ January 2016.
Just a further reminder that the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) consultation ends on the $15^{\text {th }}$ February $\underline{2016}$ and the Strategic Planning team would very much welcome comments and input from groups and teams whose work areas relate to the SCAAP area.

The SCAAP will provide more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and the gateway neighbourhood of Victoria and Sutton.

There is an internal workshop/discussion organised for officers who may have a direct interest in proposed regeneration and development in the SCAAP area at 2.30pm on the $10^{\text {th }}$ February 2016 in Committee Room 4. Invites have been sent out for this.

Council officers are encouraged to submit their representations on the SCAAP by the 15th February 2016 to help inform its preparation and to ensure it is aligned with other council plans and strategies for the Southend central area.

After the consultation closes the SCAAP will then be prepared for a pre-submission consultation, taking account of any further evidence and representations received prior to an examination (in public) with an independent planning inspector in the autumn. This will determine whether the SCAAP is considered to be 'sound' in planning terms and may be adopted by Full Council.

Thank you
Kind regards

Matthew

Creating a Better Southend



IMPORTANT NOTE: The Council adopted a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule on $23^{\text {rd }}$ July 2015, which took effect from Monday $27^{\text {th }}$ July 2015. Therefore, any planning applications granted planning permission from Monday $\mathbf{2 7}^{\text {th }}$ July 2015 (no matter when the application was submitted) may be liable to pay a CIL charge. On all CIL liable planning applications, to enable the Council to calculate the levy liability an Additional Information Requirement form will be required to validate applications. Further details including the CIL Charging Schedule can be found at: www.southend.gov.uk/cil

## From:

Sent: 06 January 2016 12:06
To: Heads Of Service
Cc: Directors
Subject: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Preferred Approach Public Consultation Importance: High

Dear colleagues
Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Preferred Approach Public Consultation
You may be aware that the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) was agreed by Full Council on $22^{\text {nd }}$ October 2015 to be published for public consultation.

The public consultation commenced on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 for an 8 weeks period ending $15^{\text {th }}$ February and an officer workshop (list of offices invited has been included as an attachment) has been organised to inform colleagues who may have an interest in the preparation of the SCAAP to have the opportunity to discuss matters related to their area of expertise.

There are also 4 workshops organised on the $20^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Park Inn. Two will be organised for the businesses community, and will be publicised in conjunction with Southend Business Partnership, and two other workshops will for members of the public to provide their feedback on the SCAAP. These workshops are being advertised in the local press and banners have been placed in the Forum and Civic Centre. In addition, a further workshop will be organised internally for Southend Borough Council officers.

There are also two drop in sessions for councillors at the same venue. Councillors have also been sent invites. The first councillor drop in session will take place from 5pm until 8 pm on $20^{\text {th }}$ January 2016 at the Laurel and Hardy Room at the Park Inn Place Hotel. The second opportunity to attend an identical drop in session will be from 2 pm until 4pm on the $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016.

As background, once adopted, the SCAAP will provide more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and the gateway neighbourhood of Victoria and Sutton. Please see the attached executive summary to view map of the boundary of the SCAAP and 'Policy Areas'. The final version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) will be available at the workshop for review and discussion. It will provide the development principles against which future development will be determined up to 2021.

The SCAAP also contains a number development proposals within the 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' i.e. site allocations aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and a place to live. Furthermore, the SCAAP also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm, access and transport improvements.

This workshop is intended to provide officers with the opportunity to discuss the development principles and proposals with the planning team and put forward comments and observations. Officers are also encouraged to submit their representations on the SCAAP by the $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016 to help inform its preparation and to ensure it is aligned with other council plans and strategies for the Southend central area. The planning team would very much welcome comments and input from groups and teams whose work areas relate to the SCAAP area.

To make a representation and view the full version of the SCAAP and related documents:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Respond using Response Form:
- online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
- via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk

further clarification about the officer workshop or other events detailed herein.

Thank you

Kind regards

Matthew



Department for Place I Southend-on-Sea Borough Council | Civic Centre


IMPORTANT NOTE: The Council adopted a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule on $23^{\text {rd }}$ July 2015, which took effect from Monday $27^{\text {th }}$ July 2015. Therefore, any planning applications granted planning permission from Monday $27^{\text {th }}$ July 2015 (no matter when the application was submitted) may be liable to pay a CIL charge. On all CIL liable planning applications, to enable the Council to calculate the levy liability an Additional Information Requirement form will be required to

## From:

Sent:
To:
Subject:

Debee Skinner
21 December 2015 08:28
Debee Skinner
Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

## Dear Consultee

## Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

Residents, businesses and other stakeholders across the Borough are invited to help shape the Council's vision for the future development of the Town Centre and central Seafront over the next five years.

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP). The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and gateway neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton.

It contains proposals for 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and an excellent place to live.
It also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm and access improvements.

The Preferred Approach version of the SCAAP is accompanied by a Policies Map, a Sustainability Appraisal Report and non-technical summary, a Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www.southend.gov.uk/scaap.
Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan.
The quickest and easiest way to submit comments is via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdiconsult.net/.

Comments may be submitted by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

We recognise that not everyone has access to the Internet and that it is important that no one is excluded from participating. Copies of the Response Form are also available from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

There are also two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1 - 21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2 - 21st January, 18:00-20:30

To have your say and view the full reports:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using Response Form:
o online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
o via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
o Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF
Kind regards

Debee Skinner | Business Intelligence Officer | Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
Creating a Better Southend
Phone: 01702215408 | Email: debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk debeeskinner@southend.gcsx.co.uk | Website:
www.southend.gov.uk
Department for Place | Southend on Sea Borough Council | Floor 13 Civic Centre | Victoria Avenue | Southend on Sea \| SS2 6ER

类 Before printing, please think about the environment.

| From: | Debee Skinner |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | 21 December 2015 11:47 |
| To: | Councillors Distribution List |
| Subject: | Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop in Sessions and Workshops - |
|  | Preferred Approach Consultation December 2015 to February 2016 |
|  |  |
| Importance: | High |

Email sent on behalf of Team Leader Strategic Planning
Dear Councillor

Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop In Sessions and Workshops - Preferred Approach Consulltation December 2015 to February 2016

As you will be aware the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) was agreed by Full Council on $22^{\text {nd }}$ October 2015 to be published for public consultation.

The public consultation will commence on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 for an 8 weeks period ending $15^{\text {th }}$ February and a number of workshops are planned as well as two drop in sessions with Southend Borough Council councillors. The first councillor drop in session will take place from 5pm until 8pm on $20^{\text {th }}$ January 2016 at the Laurel and Hardy Room at the Park Inn Place Hotel. Please come at any time between 5pm and 8pm and you are welcome to stay for the whole or part of the session. The second opportunity to attend an identical drop in session is from $2 p m$ until 4 pm on the $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016. At the drop in session, Councillors will be able to review and provide valuable feedback for each 'Policy Area' under the Southend Central Area Action Plan. Officers will be present to answer any queries. There is no need for councillors to attend both sessions as the same information will be presented at both events, unless you wish to do so. Invitations have also been sent to representatives of the Youth Council for Southend. Refreshments will be provided at the venue.

As mentioned above there are also 4 workshops organised on the $20^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the same venue. Two will be organised for the businesses community, and will be publicised in conjunction with Southend Business Partnership, and two other workshops will for members of the public to provide their feedback on the SCAAP. These workshops will be advertised in the local press and banners will be place in the Forum and Civic Centre. In addition, a further workshop will be organised internally for Southend Borough Council officers.

As background, once adopted the SCAAP will provide more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and the gateway neighbourhood of Victoria and Sutton. Please see attached map of the boundary of the SCAAP and 'Policy Areas'. It will provide the development principles against which future development will be determined up to 2021.

The SCAAP also contains a number development proposals within the 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' i.e. site allocations aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and a place to live. Furthermore, the SCAAP also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm, access and transport improvements.

These drop-in sessions will provide councillors with the opportunity to discuss the development principles and proposals with officers and put forward comments and observations. Councillors are also encouraged to submit their representations on the SCAAP by the $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016.

To have your say and view the full version of the SCAAP and related documents:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Respond using Response Form:
- online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
- via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
- Post:

FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF

Please contact if you require any
further clarification about the drop in sessions for councillors. If you require a hard copy of the document please email debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk or call 01702215408.

Thank you

Kind regards

Matthew

Department for Place | Southend on Sea Borough Council | Floor 13 Civic Centre | Victoria Avenue | Southend on Sea | SS2 6ER

Before printing, please think about the environment.

## From:

Sent:
To:
Subject:

Importance:

Debee Skinner
15 January 2016 11:17
Councillors Distribution List
FW. Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop in Sessions and Workshops Preferred Approach Consultation December 2015 to February 2016

Dear Councillor

Just a follow up reminder of the 'drop in' sessions next week in relation to the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) consultation.

Many thanks
Debee

Debee Skinner I Business Intelligence Officer Iext: 5408
Department for Place
Before printing, please think about the environment.

From: Debee Skinner
Sent: 21 December 2015 11:47
To: Councillors Distribution List
Subject: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop in Sessions and Workshops - Preferred Approach
Consultation December 2015 to February 2016
Importance: High
Email sent on behalf of Team Leader Strategic Planning
Dear Councillor

Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop In Sessions and Workshops - Preferred Approach Consulltation December 2015 to February 2016

As you will be aware the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) was agreed by Full Council on $\mathbf{2 2}{ }^{\text {nd }}$ October 2015 to be published for public consultation.

The public consultation will commence on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 for an 8 weeks period ending $15^{\text {th }}$ February and a number of workshops are planned as well as two drop in sessions with Southend Borough Council councillors. The first councillor drop in session will take place from 5pm until 8pm on $20^{\text {th }}$ January 2016 at the Laurel and Hardy Room at the Park Inn Place Hotel. Please come at any time between 5pm and 8pm and you are welcome to stay for the whole or part of the session. The second opportunity to attend an identical drop in session is from 2 pm until 4 pm on the $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016. At the drop in session, Councillors will be able to review and provide valuable feedback for each 'Policy Area' under the Southend Central Area Action Plan. Officers will be present to answer any queries. There is no need for councillors to attend both sessions as the same information will be presented at both events, unless you wish to do so. Invitations have also been sent to representatives of the Youth Council for Southend. Refreshments will be provided at the venue.

As mentioned above there are also 4 workshops organised on the $20^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the same venue. Two will be organised for the businesses community, and will be publicised in conjunction with Southend Business Partnership, and two other workshops will for members of the public to provide their feedback on the SCAAP. These
workshops will be advertised in the local press and banners will be place in the Forum and Civic Centre. In addition, a further workshop will be organised internally for Southend Borough Council officers.

As background, once adopted the SCAAP will provide more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and the gateway neighbourhood of Victoria and Sutton. Please see attached map of the boundary of the SCAAP and 'Policy Areas'. It will provide the development principles against which future development will be determined up to 2021.

The SCAAP also contains a number development proposals within the 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' i.e. site allocations aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and a place to live. Furthermore, the SCAAP also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm, access and transport improvements.

These drop-in sessions will provide councillors with the opportunity to discuss the development principles and proposals with officers and put forward comments and observations. Councillors are also encouraged to submit their representations on the SCAAP by the $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016.

To have your say and view the full version of the SCAAP and related documents:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Respond using Response Form:
- online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
- via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
- Post:


## FAO Debee Skinner

Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF
Please contact $\square$ if you require any further clarification about the drop in sessions for councillors. If you require a hard copy of the document please email debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk or call 01702215408.

Thank you

Kind regards

Matthew

Department for Place | Southend on Sea Borough Council| Floor 13 Civic Centre | Victoria Avenue | Southend on Sea | SS2 6ER

Before printing, please think about the environment.

## Second email reminder to Councillors

Debee Skinner

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Importance:

Debee Skinner
20 January 2016 08:35
Councillors Distribution List
FW: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Workshops - 20th \& 21st January

High

Dear Councillors

Just a follow up reminder of the 'drop in' sessions today and tomorrow in relation to the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) consultation.

The first councillor drop in session will take place from 5pm until 8pm on $\mathbf{2 0}$ th January 2016 at the Laurel and Hardy Room at the Park Inn Place Hotel. Please come at any time between 5 pm and 8 pm and you are welcome to stay for the whole or part of the session. The second opportunity to attend an identical drop in session is from $2 p m$ until 4 pm on the $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016.

Hope to see you there.

Many thanks
Debee

Debee Skinner | Business Intelligence Officer | ext: 5408
Department for Place
Before printing, please think about the environment.

From: Debee Skinner
Sent: 15 J anuary 2016 11:17
To: Councillors Distribution List
Subject: FW: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop in Sessions and Workshops - Preferred Approach Consultation December 2015 to February 2016
I mportance: High

## Dear Councillor

Just a follow up reminder of the 'drop in' sessions next week in relation to the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) consultation.

Many thanks
Debee

Debee Skinner | Business Intelligence Officer | ext: 5408
Department for Place
Before printing, please think about the environment.

From: Debee Skinner
Sent: 21 December 2015 11:47
To: Councillors Distribution List
Subject: Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop in Sessions and Workshops - Preferred Approach Consultation December 2015 to February 2016
I mportance: High

Dear Councillor

## Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Drop In Sessions and Workshops - Preferred Approach Consultation December 2015 to February 2016

As you will be aware the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) was agreed by Full Council on $22^{\text {nd }}$ October 2015 to be published for public consultation.

The public consultation will commence on $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 for an 8 weeks period ending $15^{\text {th }}$ February and a number of workshops are planned as well as two drop in sessions with Southend Borough Council councillors.

The first councillor drop in session will take place from 5 pm until 8 pm on $20^{\text {th }}$ January 2016 at the Laurel and Hardy Room at the Park Inn Place Hotel. Please come at any time between 5 pm and 8 pm and you are welcome to stay for the whole or part of the session. The second opportunity to attend an identical drop in session is from 2 pm until 4 pm on the $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016. At the drop in session, Councillors will be able to review and provide valuable feedback for each 'Policy Area' under the Southend Central Area Action Plan. Officers will be present to answer any queries. There is no need for councillors to attend both sessions as the same information will be presented at both events, unless you wish to do so. Invitations have also been sent to representatives of the Youth Council for Southend. Refreshments will be provided at the venue.

As mentioned above there are also 4 workshops organised on the $20^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the same venue. Two will be organised for the businesses community, and will be publicised in conjunction with Southend Business Partnership, and two other workshops will for members of the public to provide their feedback on the SCAAP. These workshops will be advertised in the local press and banners will be place in the Forum and Civic Centre. In addition, a further workshop will be organised internally for Southend Borough Council officers.

As background, once adopted the SCAAP will provide more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and the gateway neighbourhood of Victoria and Sutton. Please see attached map of the boundary of the SCAAP and 'Policy Areas'. It will provide the development principles against which future development will be determined up to 2021.

The SCAAP also contains a number development proposals within the 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' i.e. site allocations aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and a place to live. Furthermore, the SCAAP also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm, access and transport improvements.

These drop-in sessions will provide councillors with the opportunity to discuss the development principles and proposals with officers and put forward comments and observations. Councillors are also encouraged to submit their representations on the SCAAP by the $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016.

To have your say and view the full version of the SCAAP and related documents:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Respond using Response Form:
- online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations
- via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
- Post:

FAO Debee Skinner<br>Department for Place<br>Southend-on-Sea Borough Council<br>PO BOX 5557<br>Civic Centre Victoria Avenue<br>Southend-on-Sea<br>Essex SS2 6ZF

If you require a hard copy of the document please email debeeskinner@southend.gov.uk or call 01702215408.

Thank you

Kind regards

Matthew

Department for Place \| Southend on Sea Borough Council| Floor 13 Civic Centre | Victoria Avenue | Southend on Sea | SS2 6ER

参 Before printing, please think about the environment.

## Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Department for Place

| Peter Geraghty Head of Planning and Transport |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Our ref: | TP/100/489/DS | Telephone: | 01702215000 |
| Your ref: |  | Fax: |  |
| Date: 13 January 2016 E-mail: |  |  |  |
| Contact   |  |  |  |



Various addresses


Dear Occupier

## Have your say on our vision for the town centre and seafront

We are writing to invite you to have your say on our vision for the development of Southend's town centre, seafront and neighbouring areas over the next five years.

Our vision is contained within a document called the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) and is available online at: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap

In this document, we have set out our preferred approach to the future development of the Southend Central Area, which includes the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and adjacent neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton. We are asking local residents and business share their views by 15 February, so that we can take these into account as we fine-tune this document.

We have divided the Southend Central Area into a number of 'Policy Areas', each with its own set of policies and proposals. We have also identified a number of individual 'Opportunity Sites', whose development would benefit the town. The SCAAP also seeks to protect and enhance green space and other environmental features of the town and foreshore, as well as bring about more attractive public spaces and improve access and transport.

A map displaying the location of all Opportunity Sites can be found online here: http://www.southend.gov.uk/downloads/file/4016/map_a_\�\�\�_scaap_policy_area s site_allocations_and_outstanding sites

Your property or premises has been identified as being near OS11 - Victoria Avenue and as such this public consultation may be of interest to you and you may wish to comment on the draft Plan

## Attend a public workshop

There are two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1-21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2 - 21st January, 18:00-20:30

Here you will be able to find out more about the SCAAP and how it affects you. You will meet members of the planning team and your feedback will help shape our final proposals.

## Comment online

You can also submit comments via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdiconsult.net/.

You can send us your comments by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time, you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system, you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

## Other ways to have your say

Copies of the Response Form are also available online at www.southend.gov.uk/scaap and from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

You can also have your say in the following ways:

- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using a Response Form:
- via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
- Post: FAO Debee Skinner Department for Place Southend-on-Sea Borough Council PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF

Yours faithfully


## Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Department for Place<br>Peter Geraghty Head of Planning and Transport<br>Telephone: Fax<br>E-mail: DX 2812 Southend

01702215000
council@southend.gov.uk

The Occupier


## Dear Occupier

## Have your say on our vision for the town centre and seafront

We are writing to invite you to have your say on our vision for the development of Southend's town centre, seafront and neighbouring areas over the next five years.

Our vision is contained within a document called the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) and is available online at: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap

In this document, we have set out our preferred approach to the future development of the Southend Central Area, which includes the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and adjacent neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton. We are asking local residents and business share their views by 15 February, so that we can take these into account as we fine-tune this document.

We have divided the Southend Central Area into a number of 'Policy Areas', each with its own set of policies and proposals. We have also identified a number of individual 'Opportunity Sites', whose development would benefit the town. The SCAAP also seeks to protect and enhance green space and other environmental features of the town and foreshore, as well as bring about more attractive public spaces and improve access and transport.

A map displaying the location of all Opportunity Sites can be found online here: http://www.southend.gov.uk/downloads/file/4016/map a \%E2\%80\%93 scaap policy area s site allocations and outstanding sites

Your property or premises has been identified as being within OS10-Woodgrange Drive (Kursaal) Estate and as such this public consultation may be of interest to you and you may wish to comment on the draft Plan

## Attend a public workshop

There are two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1-21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2-21st January, 18:00-20:30

Here you will be able to find out more about the SCAAP and how it affects you. You will meet members of the planning team and your feedback will help shape our final proposals.

## Comment online

You can also submit comments via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdiconsult.net/.

You can send us your comments by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time, you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system, you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

## Other ways to have your say

Copies of the Response Form are also available online at www.southend.gov.uk/scaap and from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

You can also have your say in the following ways:

- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using a Response Form:
- via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
- Post: FAO Debee Skinner Department for Place Southend-on-Sea Borough Council PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF

Yours faithfully

Matthew Team Leader
Strategic Planning

## From:

Sent:
To:
Subject:

Sensitivity:
SCAAP Consultation - Southend Tourism Partnership

Private

## Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

Residents, businesses and other stakeholders across the Borough are invited to help shape the Council's vision for the future development of the Town Centre and central Seafront over the next five years.

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP). The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and gateway neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton.

It contains proposals for 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and an excellent place to live.
It also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm and access improvements.

The Preferred Approach version of the SCAAP is accompanied by a Policies Map, a Sustainability Appraisal Report and non-technical summary, a Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www.southend.gov.uk/scaap.
Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan.
The quickest and easiest way to submit comments is via our online system at this link: http://southend.jdiconsult.net/localplan.

Comments may be submitted by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

We recognise that not everyone has access to the Internet and that it is important that no one is excluded from participating. Copies of the Response Form are also available from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

There are also two public workshops planned on $21^{\text {st }}$ January at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public workshop 1 - 21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2-21st January, 18:00-20:30
- Business workshop 1- 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ January, 15:00-16:00
- Business workshop - $21^{\text {st }}$ January, 08:00-09:00

To have your say and view the full reports:

- Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
- Call 01702215408 and request a printed copy
- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using Response Form:
o online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations.
o via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
o Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF
Please contact or Debee Skinner
on debeeskinner@southend.qov.uk ifyou would like to book a place on any of the above workshops.

Lauren


Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
Creating a Better Southend


Department for Place I Southend-on-Sea Borough Council I Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend on Sea, Essex
SS2 6ER

## Peter Geraghty Head of Planning and Transport

| Our ref: |  | Telephone: 01702215004 - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Your ref: |  | Fax: |
| Date: | 25 Jan 2016 | E-mail: |
| Contact Name: |  | DX 2812 Southend |



Dear-

## Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) - Preferred Approach

You will be aware, following the notification given at the Thames Gateway South Essex Duty to Co-operate meeting on $11^{\text {th }}$ January 2016, that Southend-on-Sea Borough Council is consulting on the SCAAP Preferred Approach.

The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, which includes the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and 'gateway neighbourhoods' of Victoria and Sutton.

As the SCAAP seeks to deliver the growth targets as identified by the Southend adopted Core Strategy (2007), we do not envisage there being any new cross boundary issues arising from the document. However, if you feel that proposals within the document give rise to cross-boundary issues, we would like to give you the opportunity to meet representatives of Southend-on-Sea Borough Council to discuss the Plan.

If you and I or your Members would like to meet to discuss any potential cross-boundary issues I would be happy to arrange such a meeting. Alternatively, if you have any questions or wish to make any observations please contact me.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www.southend.qov.uk/scaap.
Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan.

Yours faithfully,

Senior Planner, Strategic Planning, Department for Place

## NOTICE OF PUBLICATION OF THE SOUTHEND CENTRAL AREA ACTION PLAN (SCAAP) (DPD) (PREFERRED APPROACH VERSION DECEMBER 2015)

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) as part of its Local Planning Framework.

The Southend Central Area Action Plan DPD sets out the Borough Council's policies for positively managing development in Southend-on-Sea and delivering the vision, objectives and policies of the adopted Core Strategy. It will be used to assess and determine planning applications in association with other adopted Local Development Documents in the LDF.

The Southend Central Area Action DPD Preferred Approach is accompanied by a Proposals Map, the Sustainability Appraisal Report and its nontechnical summary, the Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

Following the consultation the Borough Council will submit the documents, Proposals Map, accompanying documents and the representations received, to the Secretary of State for an independent examination. This examination will consider the 'soundness' of the DPD and whether or not it complies with legal requirements.

The consultation period for the document will run from Friday $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 until Monday $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016.

The Southend Central Area Action Plan DPD: Preferred Approach Version, Proposals Map, accompanying documents, together with the Response Form can be viewed on the Council's website (www.southend.gov.uk/scaap) and at the following locations.

Only representations received during this time will be considered. Late responses will not be accepted.

The Southend Central Area Action Plan DPD, Policies Map and accompanying documents, alongside a statement setting out how representations can be made, are available for inspection from $18^{\text {th }}$ December 2015 - $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016 at the following locations:

- Southend-on-Sea Borough Council's website: www.southend.gov.uk/developmentmanagementdpd
- Southend-on-Sea Borough Council Contact Centre, Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend on Sea between 8.45 am and 5.15 pm (Monday to Friday); and
- All Southend Libraries during normal opening hours.

Hard copies can be purchased at a cost of $£ 5$ by contacting the Southend-on-Sea Borough Council by telephone on 01702215004 ext. 5408 or email Idf@southend.gov.uk

Representations should be made using the Council's online interactive consultation system, which can be found at www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations. Alternatively, representations may be submitted using the Response Form, available on request, by the following means:
e-mail: ldf@southend.gov.uk
Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO Box 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF


Regular vaccinations help protect your pet against disease.

A grand day ou at Tudor palac
BUILT around 1520 , Layer Marney Tower, six miles south Marney Tower, six miles south
of Colchester, is the tallest Tudor gatehouse in England. The building is principally the creation of Henry 1st Lord the creation of Henry 1 st Lord
Marney, a close friend of King Marney, a close friend of King
Henry VIII (who came to stay Henry
in 1522). in 1522).
Henry Marney as Lord Privy Seal, Captain of the Bodyguard and many other influential positions clearly intended to display his status through his new building.
Many other courtiers wished to do the same, and just as they rivalled each other for influence and power at court, so they tried to outdo each other in the splendour of their buildings.
The Marneys enthusiastically entered this game of oneupmanship, building tall, with lavish use of terracotta and stucco, together with decorative detailing derived from Italy.
After his death in 1523, his son John continued the building work but died two years later, leaving no male heirs to continue the family line or the construction.
What was completed was the main range measuring some 300 ft longe measuring some 300 ft long, the principal gate(well worth the climb for the

spectacular view of the Essex countryside it offers), a fine array of outbuildings and a new church.
After the death of John, the house passed to Sir Brian Tuke, Treasurer to the Royal Household and Governor of the King's Posts.
His widowed daughter-in-law entertained Queen Elizabeth I for two days in 1579, the Queen most probably staying in what is now the billiard room on the first floor of the gatehouse.
The house has passed through many different fami-
lies over the last five some only staying years and others fo generations.
It came to the Cl family in the mid-20 and has been occupie ever since.
Today it is a fan dence, conference ce ular wedding venue a attraction.
For more informat all the places men History on Your Doo other places of histo est in Essex, go to itessex.com.
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S35 Southchureh 535 Southchurch Road.
Southendion-Sea. Essex. 551 2AY Call 01702466718 or visit www.vets4pets.com/southend-on-sea
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# HELP US BUILD A BETTER <br> a <br> southend onsea TOWN CENTRE AND SEAFRONT 



Consultati closes
15th Februar

We have an ambitious vision of Southend as a prosperous and thriving City by the Sea. But we need your views and local knowledge to shape our long-term plans for the town's development. The Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) sets out detailed policies and proposals for positively managing development and assessing planning applications in the Southend central area, including central seafront.

Please come to our public workshops at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, on one of the following sessions to make your mark on the future of the Southend Central Area.

Public Workshop 1-21 January, 10:00-12:30• Public Workshop 2 - 21 January, 18:00-20:30
To have your say and view the full reports: Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
Visit your local library
Visit the Southend Civic Centre
Respond using Response Form: online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk Post: FAO Debee Skinner, Department for Place, Southend-on-Sea Borough Council, PO BOX 5557,
Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend-on-Sea, Essex SS2 6ZF


- Visit our showroom
- Extensive range of kitchen \& bedroom doors, all sizes available
- Huge choice of worktops, sinks, taps \& appliances
- Full fitted kitchens \& bedrooms
- Free estimating, planning \& design
- Compare our price quality and service
www.yellowad.co.uk
NEWS
Policewoman sacked for lying

A POLICE officer has been sacked for lying ab
took time off work.
Essex Police did not name the officer, saying bosses thought the female PC might appeal the decision and should remain
anonymous in the meantime.
A misconduct panel, chaired
by Assistant Chief Constable Maurice Mason, found the officer had breached standards of behaviour in relation to honesty, integrity, duties, responsi bilities and conduct.
A force spokesman said: "The panel heard that between September 2014 and January

2015 she requested a number of absences from work and lied about the reasons why. She was dismissed without notice." Mr Mason said: "This officer has been found to lack honesty integrity and confidentiality which are fundamental values police officers need.'

## 5 DAY COACH BREAK

 TO SCOTLAND - £184MONDAY 15 TH FEBRUARY

| Ardgartan Hotel Loch Lomond National Park |
| :--- |

## WINTER

WARMER
An early season 5 day coach break, offering exceptional value for money staying at our Ardgartan Hotel There will be an excursion everyday including City of Glasgow and the Riverside Museum, Oban, Inveraray, Callander and the Trossachs.
.4 Nights Haff Board - Nightly - Excursions - Excursions
$\qquad$ Shoeburyness, Westcliff-on-Sea, Chalkwell Park, Leigh-on-Sea, Hadleigh Essex,
South Benfleet, Basildon, Stanford Grays, Birchanger Services Holidays are fully bonded.
School Road, Gartocharm School Boaa
G838RW

Valentine 's Dag Messages.. To Jasen 1 loov you frour Violy From only $£ 5$

| Your message will appear in your local Yellow Advertiser |
| :--- |
| edition 8th February 2016. |
| Piease send this coupon with a cheque |
| made payable to: |
| The Yellow Advertiser Newspaper, |
| Acorn House, Great Oaks, Basildon. |
| AS14 1AH |

## HELP US BUILD A BETTER TOWN CENTRE AND SEAFRONT

We have an ambitious vision of Southend as a prosperous and thriving City by the Sea. But we need your views and local knowledge to shape our long-term plans for the town's development. The Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) sets out detailed policies and proposals for positively managing development and assessing planning applications in the Southend central area, including central seafront.
Please come to our public workshops at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, on one of the following sessions to make your mark on the future of the Southend Central Area.
Public Workshop 1-21 January, 10:00-12:30 • Public Workshop 2 - 21 January, 18:00-20:30
To have your say and view the full reportst Head online and visit: www.southend.gov.uk/scaap
Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
Visit your local library
Visit the Southend Civic Centre
Respond using Response Form: online at: www.southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
Post: FAO Debee Skinner, Department for Place, Southend-on-Sea Borough Council, PO BOX 5557 ,
Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend-on-Sea, Essex SS2 6ZF

## HELP US BUILD A BETTER TOWN AND SEAFRONT

We have an ambitious vision of Southend as a prosperous and thriving City by the Sea.


But we need your views and expert local knowledge to shape our long-term plans for the town's development.

Please come to our public workshops at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, on one of the following days to make your mark on Southend's future.

Public Workshop 1-21st January, 10:00-12:30 Public workshop 2-21st January, 18:00-20:30 Refreshments provided
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Have your say on future developement of Southend's town centre and seafront.

Here at Southend BID we are keen to offer a unified voice for you, the businesses. Therefore, we are sending to you the letter below on behalf of Southend Borough Council. The Council are eager to hear your views on the Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) which is now out for public consultation. More information and details regarding the SCAAP are outlined in the letter.

Please do note two Public Workshops on 21st J anuary 2016 are planned as part of the consultation exercise. Full details included below.

Dear Consultee
Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and central seafront

Residents, businesses and other stakeholders across the Borough are invited to help shape the Council's vision for the future development of the Town Centre and central Seafront over the next five years.

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council has prepared a Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP). The purpose of the SCAAP is to give more detailed consideration to how and where regeneration and growth can sustainably be accommodated in the Southend Central Area, including the Town Centre, Central Seafront Area and gateway neighbourhoods of Victoria and Sutton.

It contains proposals for 'Policy Areas' and 'Opportunity Sites' aimed at strengthening and transforming Southend Town Centre's sub-regional role as a successful retail and commercial destination, cultural hub and educational centre of excellence, leisure and tourist attraction and an excellent place to live.
It also seeks to safeguard, conserve and enhance the significant biodiversity, green space and other environmental resources in the area and on the foreshore, as well as to bring about public realm and access improvements.

The Preferred Approach version of the SCAAP is accompanied by a Policies Map, a Sustainability Appraisal Report and non-technical summary, a Consultation Statement and relevant supporting background documents.

The Plan can be viewed and commented upon by visiting www. southend.gov. uk/scaap. Southend Borough Council would welcome your views on the draft SCAAP by 15th February 2016. Your comments will then help shape the production of the final version of the Plan.
The quickest and easiest way to submit comments is via our online system at this
link:http:// southend.jdi-consult.net/ localplan/

Comments may be submitted by selecting the relevant document then clicking on the pen symbol next to the section of the document on which you wish to comment. Before you submit comments for the first time you will need to register on the system. This is a simple process requiring a valid email address. If you are already registered on the online consultation system you can use the same login and do not need to re-register.

We recognise that not everyone has access to the Internet and that it is important that no one is excluded from participating. Copies of the Response Form are also available from Southend Civic Centre, or on request by calling 01702215408.

There are also two public workshops planned on 21st J anuary at the Laurel \& Hardy Room, Park Inn Palace, where members of the public can learn more about the Action Plan and shape its content.

- Public Workshop 1-21st January, 10:00-12:30
- Public workshop 2-21st January, 18:00-20:30

To have your say and view the full reports:

- Head online and visit: www. southend.gov.uk/ scaap
- Call 01702215004 and request a printed copy
- Visit your local library
- Visit the Southend Civic Centre
- Respond using Response Form: o online at: www. southend.gov.uk/planningpolicyconsultations o via email: Idf@southend.gov.uk
o Post: FAO Debee Skinner
Department for Place
Southend-on-Sea Borough Council
PO BOX 5557
Civic Centre
Victoria Avenue
Southend-on-Sea
Essex SS2 6ZF


## separator

| footer center |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| www.southendbid.com |  |  |  |

Have your say on future development of Southend's town centre and seafront

Last week we sent you information from Southend on Sea Borough Council detailing proposed development for our town.

As was previously mentioned, Southend Council is eager to hear your views on the Preferred Approach Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) which is now out for public consultation and can be viewed here.

We suggested two sessions on Thursday 21 J anuary that you may wish to attend. Please be aware, Southend Borough Council has also suggested two additional workshops, targetted specifically at the business community (as below). For this reason you may prefer to attend at one of the following times.

Wednesday 20 J anuary, $3 \mathrm{pm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$
Thursday 21 I anuary, 8am-9am

Both sessions will be held at the Park Inn Palace Hotel

Please email krithikaramesh@southend.gov.uk or call 01702212156 for further information and to confirm your attendance.

## separator



Company number: 8346559, registered in England.
Registered address: 7 Nelson Street, Southend on Sea, Essex, SS1 1EH

## Appendix 3: Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach (2015) - Detailed Summary of Representations Received During the Consultation Period (18th December 2015 to $15^{\text {th }}$ February 2016)

The below provides a summary of each representation made on the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Acton Plan (SCAAP). Full submissions made during the consultation can be viewed on the Councils website.

SCAAP - Representations for SCAAP Preferred Approach

| Policy, Para, Section, or Question | Respondent (Name) [No] | Rep <br> No | Object/ Support | Summary of Representation | Response to Representation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Part A: The Plan and its Context |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sustainability Appraisal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 1: <br> Sustainability <br> Appraisal | Belfairs Garden Residents Association (Barbara Armitage) [511] | 2330 | Comment | Sustainability information not available | The SA was made available for public comment as an integral part of the SCAAP consultation process. |
| Policies Map |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 2: <br> Policies Map | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1935 | Support | Support the Policies map as set out | Noted. |


| Question 2: <br> Policies Map | The Cooperative Group (Mr A Thompson) [473] | 1974 | Object | The Co-operative Group would wish to see the inclusion of land at 53-57 Sutton Road Southend within the SCAAP as an additional Opportunity Site. | The Council is considering inclusion of this site as an opportunity site. This will be assessed against information supplied by the owners of the site to demonstrate that development is deliverable by 2021 . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 2: <br> Policies Map | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 1992 | Comment | There is nothing in the document which justifies the Policies Map boundaries and they do seem somewhat arbitrary with the inclusion of vast swathes of residential areas which are unlikely to be the subject of significant change in the plan timescale. Large parts of areas of Victoria Gateway, Sutton Gateway and Kursaal estate are examples. On the other hand I would draw attention to the exclusion of St Marys Church from the Victoria area. The church and the adjoining properties on the corner of this important intersection are key elements in any junction improvement and should be included. | The SCAAP boundary has evolved through consultation and evidence, including the Central Area Masterplan, as well as previous versions of the SCAAP. <br> St Marys Church is referenced in Policy DS2 - Key views, and Policy DS3 - Landmarks and Landmark Buildings, and therefore these policies will be taken into account in respect to any proposals that may impact upon it. |


| Question 2: <br> Policies Map | Capitia <br> Property Infrastructure On behalf of Genesis Housing [465] | 2030 | Comment | The SCAAP preferred approach is supported. However, Capita P\&I and Genesis consider that the OS11 site does not extend far enough, and that the adjacent Genesis site at Baxter Avenue should be incorporated within the OS1 1 site boundary. There are several reasons as to why, these are all explored in the supporting document. These considerations are: <br> - The overall shortfall in housing supply and how the development of the site can help deliver the target; <br> - The policy compliance of the proposal; <br> - The removal of low quality housing; <br> - Given the area of the site, a coherent regeneration masterplan approach should be adopted in accordance with OS11; <br> - The site is well positioned on an access vista and therefore well located for a housing led regeneration initiative. <br> The site is available, achievable and deliverable. <br> The redevelopment of the site would allow for the residential density of the site to be optimised, whilst also providing a quality mixed use development with active frontages. | The Council is considering inclusion of this site as an opportunity site. This will be assessed against information supplied by the owners of the site to demonstrate that development is deliverable by 2021. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vision |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 3: <br> Vision | Essex <br> Chambers of <br> Commerce <br> (Mr John <br> Dallaway) <br> [452] | 1936 | Support | Support the proposed vision as set out | Noted. |


| Question 3: Vision | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 1993 | Comment | I have no problem with the Vision put forward for the centre, but I would question the rationale when it states that the regeneration of the centre will be led by the Uni campus. I have seen nothing in the document or elsewhere to suggest that the scale of activity, investment, etc. by the University would be such as to lead the way. | Noted, the rationale will not be included in the final version of the Plan. Growth of the university is regarded as one of the key elements which will lead to the successful regeneration of the town centre, as recognised by the Core Strategy DPD (Policy KP1). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3: Vision |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2047 | Support | Support the aspiration for Southend to be a City by the Sea and be a prosperous, vibrant, safe, thriving regional Centre as the cultural hub within the Thames Gateway and a great place to live, work and visit. | Noted. |
| Question 3: <br> Vision | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2048 | Comment | Would argue that in relation to the Strategic Vision the Sea is what gives Southend its Unique Selling Point (USP) and this needs to be a key theme in relation to future planning policy supporting the continued growth, regeneration and reinvestment. | Noted. |


| Question 3: Vision | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2149 | Support | Support the aspiration for Southend to be a City by the Sea and be a prosperous, vibrant, safe, thriving regional Centre as the cultural hub within the Thames Gateway and a great place to live, work and visit. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 3: Vision | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2150 | Comment | Would argue that in relation to the Strategic Vision the Sea is what gives Southend its Unique Selling Point (USP) and these need to be a key theme in relation to future planning policy supporting the continued growth, regeneration and reinvestment. | Noted. |
| Question 3: Vision | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2238 | Support | Southend BID received a $100 \%$ consultation responses having sent the Pro Forma out to 50 plus business consultees. The results of these can also be found in the tables in Appendix 4. Overwhelmingly the business consultees supported the SCAAP aspiration for Southend to be a prosperous, vibrant, safe cultural hub and City by the Sea. 94\% of respondents supported that. In relation to the SCAAP's aspirational growth in homes in the Central Area $74 \%$ of the consultees supports the Councils vision. In relation to the job growth within the SCAAP area $90 \%$ of the respondents supported the Councils aspiration. | Noted |
| Question 3: <br> Vision | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2331 | Comment | The vision is too vague to make a judgement. <br> This 2015 document only mentions people as categories. It mentions building on car parks and creating more precincts without any consideration of how people of all ages and abilities including elderly and/or disabled, (blind, deaf, restricted mobility, learning disabled) mums with children and buggies are going to access and move in this changed and regenerated town centre or how it will cater for all kinds of visitors. Where is the statement of Equality duty? | The vision is considered to be an appropriate statement of what the Borough Council wishes to achieve in the central area of the town. <br> Details of movement and function are contained in the policy provisions of the Plan. An Equalities Impact Assessment will be carried out for the Proposed Submission version of the Plan. |
| Question 3: Vision | Southend and District <br> Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2360 | Object | We do not agree with the vision of Southend as a "City by the Sea". We resent the amount of public money being spent on Bids to become a City. There is no evidence that the residents desire this status or indeed that Her Majesty would be inclined to support it. The image of the town already attracts over 6 million visitors a year, and it will always be perceived as a 'down market seaside resort ${ }^{\prime}$. What needs changing is the economy. More well paid jobs in modern hi-tech industries. This we believe is planned | The vision sets out the Council's long term view and aspirations for the central area of the town. This is considered to be an ambitious and appropriate vision to work towards in the interests of improving the vitality and viability of the area. No changes proposed. |


| Question 3: Vision | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2398 | Comment | We would suggest that the issue of heritage is translated into the vision (paragraph 25) through this addition: "As a prosperous and thriving regional centre with a rich heritage, it will be an area..." | Noted. It is accepted that the vision does not make reference to heritage which is vitally important to the central area. It is therefore proposed that the words 'heritage and' be added after the words 'rich in'. The vision in paragraph 25 would then read 'Our vision for Southend Central Area, which includes the Town Centre and Central Seafront Area, is for it to be a City by the Sea. As a prosperous and thriving regional centre and resort, it will be an area that is vibrant, safe and hospitable, rich in heritage, commerce, learning and culture and an attractive, diverse place where people want to live, work and visit for both day trips, overnight and longer stays.' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategic Objectives |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1937 | Support | Support the Strategic Objectives as set out in the document | Noted. |
| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 1994 | Comment | Similarly I have no problem with the Strategic objectives with the exception of one fundamental addition. All efforts to promote design excellence, quality developments and use of sustainable materials will be for nothing without continuing effective maintenance and upkeep. Where the Council has the opportunity and that is especially work in the public realm, routine and timely maintenance to retain the intrinsic value of the work is essential. The designs of today are our heritage of tomorrow. | Noted. |
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| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 5 4}$ | Support | Support the improvements to the transformation on economic, <br> vitality, viability and diversity of Southend Central Area and the <br> encouragement of a wide range of homes, businesses and retail. <br> It would also support the opportunity for additional learning, <br> recreation and leisure. | Noted. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2055 | Support | Support the Councils aspiration for design excellence and good <br> quality development proposals and significant public realm <br> improvements to reinforce the sense of place to compliment the <br> new and existing infrastructure and townscape of the Central <br> Area. | Noted. |  |
| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2056 | Support |  | Supports the Councils aspiration to establish Southend as low <br> carbon City providing that it doesn't have any adverse impact in <br> terms of access, connectivity and parking allocations within the <br> Central Area. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Noted. |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) | $\mathbf{2 0 6 9}$ | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive <br> Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from <br> retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which <br> is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. <br> Recognise that the High Street should be a social place that <br> makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening <br> economy. | Noted. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [483] |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Question 4: Strategic Objectives | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2171 | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. <br> Recognises that the High Street should be a social place that makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening economy | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4: Strategic Objectives | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2239 | Support | Southend BID received a $100 \%$ consultation responses having sent the Pro Forma out to 50 plus business consultees. The results of these can also be found in the tables in Appendix 4. Overwhelmingly the business consultees supported the SCAAP aspiration for Southend to be a prosperous, vibrant, safe cultural hub and City by the Sea. 94\% of respondents supported that. In relation to the SCAAP's aspirational growth in homes in the Central Area 74\% of the consultees supports the Councils vision. In relation to the job growth within the SCAAP area $90 \%$ of the respondents supported the Councils aspiration. | Noted |
| Question 4: Strategic Objectives | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2471 | Comment | A number of strategic objectives are set out within the SCAAP which include improving and transforming the economic vitality, viability and diversity of Southend Central Area by encouraging the establishment of a wider range of homes, businesses and shops whilst providing new opportunities for learning, recreation and leisure. We suggest that a further strategic objective be included that seeks to maintain and protect existing shops and town centre uses in the Southend Central Area. | Strategic Objective 1 seeks to improve and diversify the town centre to ensure its future economic vitality and viability. To protect existing shops and other uses per se is not considered appropriate if a flexible approach to the future development of the central area is to be achieved. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 4: Strategic Objectives | Bowhill <br> Planning <br> Partnership <br> (Anthony <br> Bowhill) [474] | 2321 | Support | Objective 1-Currently the High Street is run-down. This is partly due to the recession (2008-2014) but more fundamentally to the restricted hinterland of The Centre which only has two main sides. This means that the shopping draw is limited as testified by the growing number of vacancies. <br> Thus a wider range of uses in the High Street providing diversity and assisting viability and vitality is to be welcomed. I, therefore support Objective 1 with its emphasis on "a wider range of...." which would help to increase the draw of the shopping centre. | Noted. |


| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Bowhill <br> Planning <br> Partnership <br> (Anthony <br> Bowhill) [474] | 2322 | Support | Objective 8 is welcomed by bringing more people into the centre to live who will be able to supports its vitality. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2332 | Comment | Too generalised for comment. | The strategic objectives set out the main direction for the Plan. The details are contained within its policy provisions. |
| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2397 | Support | Welcome the identification of Southend's heritage in the context and issues (paragraph15) and its inclusion as Strategic Objective 7. | Noted |
| Question 4: Strategic Objectives | Environment Agency (Miss Lizzie Griffiths) [334] | 2419 | Comment | Strategic Planning Context <br> We are pleased that Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage is included within the Context and Issues for the Southend Central Area, and in particular, that reference is made to the key challenge of addressing climate change. This section discusses the risk of tidal flooding to the Borough, although it should be noticed that there are other sources of flood risk which will need to be considered too. | Noted, additional text will be included in Issues I of 'Context and Issues for the Southend Central Area' to outline that the SCAAP area is also susceptible to surface water flooding as follows: 'Southend has been identified by the Environment Agency as susceptible to local surface water flooding under conditions of extreme rainfall.' |
| Question 4: <br> Strategic <br> Objectives | Southend and District <br> Pensioners <br> Campaign <br> (Mr Robert <br> Howes) [476] | 2361 | Support | Yes we agree | Noted. |


| Part B: Development Strategy |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Area Strategy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 5: <br> Central Area <br> Strategy | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1938 | Support | Agree with the proposed Central Area Strategy as set out | Noted. |
| Question 5: <br> Central Area <br> Strategy | Mr Michael Davies [493] | 2036 | Comment | An important question is- Will current and future generations thank us for the new plans in years to come? High-rise developments like these may be typical of a large city, but l'm not sure that many residents of Southend want to live in a 'City by the sea'. I think they'd want Southend to retain some of its 'seaside town' charm. London is only an hour's train ride away; let's keep it that way, and not let London engulf the area. A vibrant, but charming town is the best thing to aim for, in my view. | Noted. The Plan puts in place a number of policy provisions to protect the central areas heritage assets and the character and setting of the area. Furthermore, Policy DM4 of the Development Management Document sets out provision for managing tall and large buildings. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 5: Central Area Strategy | Mr Michael Davies [493] | 2039 | Comment | Have the Council considered a Park \& Ride for Southend, to ease the long traffic queves along the A127 at busy times? It works well in Chelmsford and other towns. | Park and Ride schemes have been considered a number of times in recent years but have not been considered feasible given the limited land available and linear peninsula geography of the town. The provision of Park and Ride would only be feasible outside the SCAAP boundaries. Such options will be kept under review as part of the on-going Local Transport Plan provisions and development of the Southend Local Plan. No changes are proposed. |
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| Question 5: Central Area Strategy | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2070 | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. Recognise that the High Street should be a social place that makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening economy. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5: Central Area Strategy | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2172 | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. Recognises that the High Street should be a social place that makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening economy | Noted. |
| Question 5: Central Area Strategy | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2240 | Support | Southend BID received a 100\% consultation responses having sent the Pro Forma out to 50 plus business consultees. The results of these can also be found in the tables in Appendix 4. Overwhelmingly the business consultees supported the SCAAP aspiration for Southend to be a prosperous, vibrant, safe cultural hub and City by the Sea. 94\% of respondents supported that. In relation to the SCAAP's aspirational growth in homes in the Central Area 74\% of the consultees supports the Councils vision. In relation to the job growth within the SCAAP area 90\% of the respondents supported the Councils aspiration. | Noted |
| Question 5: Central Area Strategy | Bowhill <br> Planning Partnership (Anthony Bowhill) [474] | 2323 | Support | The Strategy is supported and every effort should be made to bring forward various identified sites particularly for new residential | Noted. |


| Question 5: <br> Central Area <br> Strategy | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2333 | Comment | Too broad to comment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {is }}$ | The central area strategy is a broad statement of what the Plan is trying to achieve. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 5: <br> Central Area <br> Strategy | Southend and District Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2362 | Support | Yes we agree Note | Noted. |
| Criteria Based Policies |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre - Policy DS1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1939 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to maintaining a prosperous retail centre | Noted. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Mr Jason Pilley [469] | 1965 | Comment | I would like to make the comment that attempting to move shops out of the High Street towards outlying areas of the town would be a bad idea on many levels. For one thing it would increase people's reliance on cars, which isn't just environmentally unsound but is also an example of poor land-use planning, we ought to be making it easier for people to get to shops, not harder; we ought to be building up a strong central community, not dissipating it. | Policy DS 1 makes no provision for out of centre retail. Wider retail policy for the Borough is set out within the adopted Core Strategy within the framework of which the SCAAP has been prepared. Retail development outside the SCAAP area will be considered against the adopted Core Strategy and national planning policy. These contain a town centre first approach to retail and other town centre proposals. Significant out of town retail development proposals will have to satisfy a sequential test (i.e. looking at town centre sites first) and be subject to an impact assessment. |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS 1 | Mr Jason Pilley [469] | 1966 | Comment | The character and soul and reputation of towns and cities are determined by their centre, not by their outskirts. A High Street full of pawn shops and cheapo stores and closed-down restaurants won't be doing anyone any favours | See comments in relation to Rep 1965 |
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| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Burges Estates Residents Association [176] | 1995 | Comment | Maintaining a prosperous retail centre begs the question as to how prosperity is measured. Does the retail turnover statistics for the centre show a level of prosperity that is considered adequate since the policy options seek only to maintain the current prosperity not improve or enhance it? Anecdotal evidence suggests otherwise with vast sums of disposable income from Southend residents increasingly spent at Bluewater, Lakeside, Westfield and even Chelmsford as Southend has slowly declined with many poor quality, here today gone tomorrow, shops. | Noted, it is proposed to remove the word 'maintaining' from the title of Policy DS1 and to amend this to read 'A Prosperous Retail Centre'. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2044 | Comment | Based on various research and commissioned reports there is substantive evidence to indicate that the High Street is mainly singular, and due to change in customer expectations and behaviour the type of High Street is no longer viable. | Policy PA1 provides for a flexible approach to the future development of the High Street incorporating mixed use development and public realm improvements that contribute to the vitality and viability of the centre. Furthermore, Policy DS 1 allows for a greater mix of town centres uses, such as cafes and restaurants. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2045 | Comment | Support the Council's view that spatially the High Street and connections to the seafront are an inappropriate configuration for regeneration and commercial vitality. | Noted. |


| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2046 | Support | Support idea of the Central Seafront Area achieving a compact defined prime retail core in the Town Centre with a mixture of uses and peripheral areas made over to complimentary uses and in particular support the intensification of the growth of housing in the Central Area. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2059 | Support | Recognise the importance of the Town Centre in viability and vitality terms and the need to enhance the existing markets where appropriate and introduce and create new markets. | Noted. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2062 | Comment | High Streets Adapting for Change (RICS) introduces the Governments recognition that our High Streets have to offer something new and different that neither the shopping centres nor the internet can match. They need to offer an experience that goes beyond retail and they need to be a destination for the socialising culture, health, well being, creativity and learning. Offices alongside shops, alongside housing, alongside eateries. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. |


| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2065 | Comment | Changes in consumer behaviour, the growth in car ownership and its impact on accessibility of in and out of Town Centre shopping are reasonably well understood. This is particularly likely to be an issue should the Council grant the Fossetts Farm application to subsequently increase a retail offer in an out of town centre location. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2067 | Comment | The growth of out of town shopping centre has been widely blamed for Town Centre decline and planning policies have attempted to restrict this growth, which the Stockvale Group wish to see reflected in the Council's determination of planning applications at Fossetts Farm. (The outcome of Planning Applications for extensive retail at Fossetts Farm will determine the value of the Council progressing the SCAAP process. If Fossetts Farm retail development is approved the Stockvale Group feel the SCAAPs aspirations will be undeliverable. | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundary. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact was taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy provisions and require a further retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2071 | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. <br> Recognise that the High Street should be a social place that makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening economy. | Noted. |


| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2073 | Comment | Given that there is a shortage of housing and surplus retail property, there is no doubt that conversion to residential use should form part of the future of the Town Centre. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. In relation to ground floor conversion, this would be outside of designated shopping frontage and in accordance with national policy. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2075 | Comment | SCAAP should be seeking diversification of some of the retail uses on the ground floor as conversion to residential uses, providing attractive exit strategies for the asset managers and investors. This needs careful consideration in terms of how spatially to organise the retail uses | Policy DS 1 seeks to protect retail and town centre uses on the ground floor in identified shopping areas in order to maintain the vitality and viability of the centre. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS 1 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) [483] | 2077 | Comment | Many of our High Streets have been shaped by their past; however they are now trapped in their current configurations and often in poor shape to face the future. In relation to Southend on Sea, this is certainly the case. The High Street in particular has a linearity with no social space for congregation, interaction and the alternative commercial uses that would reactivate these spaces such as cafes, coffee shops, office space and importantly a high intensification of residential uses both at ground level and above The SCAAP and the Stockvale Group recognise that the High Street in particular requires a restructuring on a significant scale. | The SCAAP recognises the need to enhance and broaden the offer in the High Street and seeks to do this by providing a more flexible approach in the determination of planning applications to encourage a mix of retail, cafe and restaurant uses. The Plan also seeks to enhance and promote new public spaces within the centre. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 6; <br> Policy DS1 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 1 4 5}$ | Comment | Based on various research and commissioned reports there is <br> substantive evidence to indicate that the High Street is mainly a <br> singular and due to change in customer expectations and behaviour <br> the type of High Street is no longer viable. | Policy PA1 provides for an approach to the future <br> development of the High Street that incorporates mixed <br> use development and public realm improvements that <br> contribute to the vitality and viability of the centre. No <br> changes are proposed. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS1 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 1 4 6}$ | Comment | Support the Council's view that spatially the High Street and <br> connections to the seafront are an inappropriate configuration for <br> regeneration and commercial vitality. | Noted. |  |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS1 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 1 4 8}$ | Support | Support idea of the Central Seafront Area achieving a compact <br> defined prime retail core in the Town Centre with a mixture of uses <br> and peripheral areas made over to complimentary uses and in <br> particular support the intensification of the growth of housing in the <br> Central Area. | Noted. |  |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS1 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 1 6 1}$ | Support | Recognise the importance of the Town Centre in viability and vitality <br> terms and the need to enhance the existing markets where appropriate <br> and introduce and create new markets | Noted. |  |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS1 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 1 6 4}$ | Comment | High Streets Adapting for Change (RICS) introduces the Governments <br> recognition that our High Streets have to offer something new and <br> different that neither the shopping centres nor the internet can match. <br> They need to offer an experience that goes beyond retail and they <br> need to be a destination for the socialising culture, health, well being, <br> creativity and learning. Offices alongside shops, alongside housing, <br> alongside eateries. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. |  |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS1 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 1 6 7}$ | Comment | Changes in consumer behaviour, the growth in car ownership and its <br> impact on accessibility of in and out of Town Centre shopping are <br> reasonably well understood. This is particularly likely to be an issue <br> should the Council grant the Fossetts Farm application to subsequently <br> increase a retail offer in an out of town centre location. | Noted. |  |


| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2169 | Comment | The growth of out of town shopping centre has been widely blamed for Town Centre decline and planning policies have attempted to restrict this growth, which the Stockvale Group wish to see reflected in the Council's determination of planning applications at Fossetts Farm. (The outcome of Planning Applications for extensive retail at Fossetts Farm will determine the value of the Council progressing the SCAAP process. If Fossetts Farm retail development is approved the Stockvale Group feel the SCAAPs aspirations will be undeliverable). | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact was taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). <br> Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy and require a further retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2173 | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. Recognises that the High Street should be a social place that makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening economy | Noted. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2175 | Comment | Given that there is a shortage of housing and surplus retail property, there is no doubt that conversion to residential use should form part of the future of the Town Centre. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. In relation to ground floor conversion, this would be outside of designated shopping frontage and in accordance with national policy. |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2177 | Comment | SCAAP should be seeking diversification of some of the retail uses on the ground floor as conversion to residential uses, providing attractive exit strategies for the asset managers and investors. This needs careful consideration in terms of how spatially to organise the retail use. | Policy DS 1 seeks to maintain retail uses or other town centre uses that provide an active frontage and contribute to the vitality of the town centre. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2179 | Comment | Many of our High Streets have been shaped by their past, however they are now trapped in their current configurations and often in poor shape to face the future. In relation to Southend on Sea, this is certainly the case. The High Street in particular has a linearity with no social space for congregation, interaction and the alternative commercial uses that would reactivate these spaces such as cafes, coffee shops, office space and importantly a high intensification of residential uses both at ground level and above. The SCAAP and the Stockvale Group recognise that the High Street in particular requires a restructuring on a significant scale. | The SCAAP recognises the need to enhance and broaden the offer in the High Street and seeks to do this by encouraging a mix of retail, cafe and restaurant uses. The Plan also seeks to enhance and promote new public spaces within the centre. No changes are proposed. |
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| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2244 | Comment | Retail provision achieved $82 \%$ top score high priority. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2261 | Comment | Whilst the BID do not object or have any particular concern regarding the moving of the Southend Football Club the move is predicted on the suggested development requirement to combine a significant number of retail outlets. This is being presented as a financial necessity to allow the Club to move to new premises, however, if this is supported many if not all the High Street chains are likely to follow. | Noted. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2263 | Object | In relation to the Fossetts Farm development. Proposals to have a large quantum of Al retail provision would have a major impact on the Town Centre which is highly likely to lead to a further decline of an already struggling retail offer within the High Street and surrounding environs. Furthermore, the highway connection and infrastructure would not support the level of traffic journeys that the proposals at Roots Hall are likely to generate. <br> The BID would ask that the Council ensure that in accordance with advice in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) a sequential test is undertaken and would like to be informed of the conclusions in relation to the impact on the vitality and viability of the Town Centre. The BID are rightly concerned that the Fossetts Farm proposals will have negative impact on the future of the High Street and the existing retail economy of the SCAAP area. | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact was taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). <br> Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm, which includes a significant amount of retail development, will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy, satisfy a sequential test and require a retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS 1 | Indigo Planning on behalf of Royals <br> Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2300 | Support | Valad (Europe) largely agree to the proposed approach to maintaining a prosperous retail centre, however, a number of amendments are suggested: | Noted. |
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| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Indigo Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2301 | Object | Part 7 of Policy DS1 states that the Council will encourage the landowner/landlord of a unit with little prospect of being occupied in the primary or secondary frontage to display local art. This should be removed. If this situation arises, the Council should liaise with the landowner/landlord and ask if this could be provided. It is not appropriate to set this out in policy. | The policy wording is considered to be appropriate as it seeks to 'encourage' landlords. This would necessitate consultation with the landlord/landowner. The policy merely sets out the Council's intent in such matters. However, it is considered that this statement can be moved to the supporting text. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Indigo Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2302 | Object | Policy DS 1 seeks to ensure that new retail development is well integrated and closely linked with the Town Centre Primary Shopping Frontage and that proposals for retail development inside or outside the Primary Shopping Area will be determined in accordance with Core Strategy Policy CP2 (relating to Town Centre and Retail Development). The policy should be amended to state that any out of centre retail will be determined in accordance with the NPPF and Policy CP2 of the Core Strategy (in so far as it conforms with the NPPF). Policy CP2 was drafted before the publication of the NPPF and is out of date in some respects, referring to the needs test, for example. | It is accepted that the Core Strategy was adopted before the publication of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). It is therefore proposed that the following words are added to the end of Policy DS 1 point 2 as follows: 'and the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)'. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Belfairs Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) [511] | 2334 | Support | Yes if improvements to shopping area are made. A bright and clean shopping area will attract custom but much of the shopping area is uneven and dirty. | Noted. |
| Question 6; <br> Policy DS 1 | Southend and District Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2363 | Support | Yes - need reliable buses | Noted. |


| Question 6; Policy DSI | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2385 | Comment | The city centre lacks large retailers such as John Lewis etc and the shopping centres are outdated and house little of use to the population. Smaller retailers should be housed in the more traditional road side areas as opposed to shopping centres. A regular farmers market should be promoted further in the pedestrian centre and local Essex produce promoted. This should run over the weekend to allow workers to take advantage of this useful and enhancing function. Chelmsford has a new John Lewis and a thriving farmers market and the town centre is better for it. | The SCAAP in Policy DS 1 seeks to provide for a prosperous retail centre and promotes the provision of street markets. No changes proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2386 | Comment | Shop fronts should have strict planning permission on them and rid the town of dilapidated and tacky cheap plastic oversized advertising frontage. This will enhance the areas look (Bury St Edmunds), assist with job creation and the local economy. | Policy DS 1 6. Seeks to ensure that shop fronts are of a high standard of design. The adopted Design Guide provides for appropriate shop front design. Policy DM5 sets out provision for frontages of townscape merit. No changes proposed. |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2399 | Comment | Note that the current wording does not explicitly set out the importance of roof scape as a part of overall building frontages in paragraph 6. | Noted. It is proposed that the word 'roofscape' be inserted into Policy DS1 6. So that it reads: 'All new shop frontages will be of a high standard of design that is compatible with the architectural style, roofscape and character of the building and surrounding area....' |
| Question 6; Policy DS 1 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2427 | Comment | All shops in the High street should have flat entrances and therefore be totally accessible for all customers including disabled people. Shops should not have A-boards or other obstacles outside them, restricting the safe passage of pedestrians especially Blind people. If restaurants and cafes want to have tables outside then they must have a metre high barrier, preventing blind people from walking in to them. <br> When market stalls are positioned in the high street it is very difficult and dangerous for blind and partiality sighted people to walk. | Noted. Access arrangements to shops are considered as part of the design stage of planning applications to ensure accessibility for all users. No changes to policy are proposed. <br> The Council seeks to discourage the use of ' $A$ ' Boards as outlined in the Streetscape Guide SPD. |


| Managing Primary Shopping Frontages - Policy Options DS 1 a, DS 1 b and DS 1 c |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DSIa, DSIb and DS1c | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 1996 | Comment | On balance Option B is to be preferred for providing the greatest flexibility in what is a fast changing situation. There is also the question mark about one or two centres. The plan makes implicit reference to reinforcing routes to the two main areas which suggests that the middle might become less of a Class A1 retail use. Overall while there is a case for upgrading and improving the shopping environment both in terms of public space and retail stores, the overall volume of retail space should not increase. | Noted. |
| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DSIa, DS1b and DSIc | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2303 | Object | Three policy options are presented which seek to maintain a prosperous retail centre. In the first instance, further clarity is required as to how the length of frontage should be calculated when assessing the percentage of $A 1$ units. | The Policy options refer to length of measured frontage which is depicted on the Policies Map. This is considered to be clear in its intent and has been successfully implemented as Council policy for over 20 years. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DSIa, DSIb and DS1c | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2304 | Support | Valad (Europe) support Option C as it will allow for more restaurant (A3) uses which the town centre is currently lacking. More A3 uses will increase footfall and linked trips and support the night time economy thus adding to the vitality and viability of the town centre. Further flexibility should be built into this policy to allow other town centre appropriate uses to be permitted providing there is not an over concentration of these uses within a certain length of the frontage. <br> It is widely acknowledged that the nature of retail is changing. It must be acknowledged that retail frontage policy needs to change, to allow capacity for other, new innovative uses, as well as other leisure and supporting uses which will create vitality in the borough's centres. | Noted. |


| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DS1a, DSIb <br> and DS1c | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2305 | Comment | The Council should also consider directing new large comparison retail developments onto existing car parks in order to help strengthen the town centre and prevent it from going into decline. This would achieve the town centre first approach to retail of the NPPF. Car parking could then be re-provided in the form of under croft or multi storey parking facilities. This would assist in relieving pressure on existing parking facilities whilst bolstering the town centre, thus enhancing its vitality and viability. | The policy provisions in the Plan promote mixed use developments on the existing car parks which would not preclude retail development if this were to come forward for consideration. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DSIa, DSIb <br> and DS1c; <br> para 47, <br> para 48 | Bowhill <br> Planning <br> Partnership <br> (Anthony <br> Bowhill) [474] | 2319 | Comment | It is clear (paras 47 \& 48) that vacancy is higher than the average national town centre rate. While this may partly be as a result of the high level of vacancies in the Victoria Shopping Centre, inspection shows that there are also many vacant units in the High Street itself. In relation to this the increasing flexibility with regard to non-retail floor space set out in policy options DS1a-c provides a pragmatic approach to ensuring vacant units are used in an appropriate way, particularly for restaurant use. | Noted. |
| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DS1a, DSIb <br> and DS1c | Bowhill <br> Planning <br> Partnership <br> (Anthony <br> Bowhill) [474] | 2320 | Support | DS la-c provides a pragmatic approach to ensuring vacant units are used in an appropriate way, with each option providing greater flexibility. Policy DS1c is to be supported as it provides the greatest flexibility, thus allowing more restaurants. The increase in the number of restaurants and cafes are to be welcomed as they will encourage shoppers to remain longer. | Noted. |


| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DS1a, DSIb <br> and DSIc | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2335 | Support | Option B supported. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 7: <br> Managing <br> Primary <br> Shopping <br> Frontages; <br> Policy <br> Options <br> DS1a, DSIb <br> and DS1c | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2387 | Comment | Quality restaurants should be promoted in the area and quiet bars should also be promoted instead of chain sports bars which degrade the area. Bars etc should not be concentrated in one area as this will again cause degradation and poor maintenance. This never works and if you look at union street in Plymouth and Botchergate in Carlisle a concentration of Lively bars ruins an area, promoting drugs, prostitution and dilapidated buildings. | Policy DS 1 seeks to retain a balanced mix of uses within the town centre. |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 8 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1940 | Support | Agree with proposed approach to employment development as it seeks to take advantage of the important opportunities that the central area has over the coming years. | Noted. |
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| Question 8 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2040 | Support | The aspirations and preferred options are supported in relation to the further regeneration, renewal and economic growth in the SCAAP area. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 8 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2084 | Support | Wholeheartedly support the encouragement and expansion of businesses in the Southend Central Area, although note that the issues around transport, access and parking need further consideration and understanding. | Noted. |
| Question 8 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2141 | Support | The aspirations and preferred options are supported in relation to the further regeneration, renewal and economic growth in the SCAAP area. | Noted. |
| Question 8 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2187 | Support | Wholeheartedly support the encouragement and expansion of businesses in the Southend Central Area, although note that the issues around transport, access and parking need further consideration and understanding. | Noted. |
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$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Question } 8 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Southend Bid } \\ \text { (Mr S } \\ \text { Kearney) } \\ \text { [496] }\end{array} & \mathbf{2 2 4 1} & \text { Support } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Southend BID received a 100\% consultation responses having } \\ \text { sent the Pro Forma out to 50 plus business consultees. The } \\ \text { results of these can also be found in the tables in Appendix 4. } \\ \text { Overwhelmingly the business consultees supported the SCAAP } \\ \text { aspiration for Southend to be a prosperous, vibrant, safe cultural } \\ \text { hub and City by the Sea. 94\% of respondents supported that. In } \\ \text { relation to the SCAAP's aspirational growth in homes in the } \\ \text { Central Area 74\% of the consultees supports the Councils vision. } \\ \text { In relation to the job growth within the SCAAP area 90\% of the } \\ \text { respondents supported the Councils aspiration. }\end{array} & \text { Noted }\end{array}\right\}$

| Question 10: <br> Residential <br> Development <br> (proposed <br> approach) | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) | $\mathbf{2 0 7 4}$ | Comment |  | Given that there is a shortage of housing and surplus retail <br> property, there is no doubt that conversion to residential use <br> should form part of the future of the Town Centre. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. In relation to ground <br> floor conversion, this would be outside of designated shopping <br> frontage and in accordance with national policy. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [483] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Question 10: <br> Residential <br> Development <br> (proposed <br> approach) | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 8 7}$ | Support |  | Support a higher intensification of residential uses in the Central <br> Area together with a broader mix of commercial uses ranging <br> from A1 retail, A3 restaurant café, D2 leisure and B1 offices to <br> provide a more diverse multi-use High Street and Central <br> Seafront. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Question 10: <br> Residential <br> Development <br> (proposed <br> approach) | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2182 | Support | As noted in the British Property Federation Report 'Meeting the Town Centre Challenge' Town Centres are accessible places suitable for densification and accommodating more housing. In this regards the BID supports the aspirations of the Local Planning Authority through the SCAAP. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 10: Residential Development (proposed approach) | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2190 | Support | Support a higher intensification of residential uses in the Central Area together with a broader mix of commercial uses ranging from A 1 retail, A 3 restaurant café, D2 leisure and B1 offices to provide a more diverse multi-use High Street and Central Seafront. | Noted. |
| Question 10: Residential Development (proposed approach) | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2197 | Support | The BID understand the concept of a much greater residential intensification of the SCAAP area and would wholeheartedly support the Councils aspirations for an additional 4000+ homes however, this must be in the context of insuring there is suitable amenity and infrastructure. The intensification together with a greater mix of uses in the Town Centre and Central Seafront create a much more buoyant and sustainable economy and the BID welcome the Councils proposals as part of the SCAAP planning document. | Noted. |
| Question 10: Residential Development (proposed approach) | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2242 | Support | Southend BID received a 100\% consultation responses having sent the Pro Forma out to 50 plus business consultees. The results of these can also be found in the tables in Appendix 4. Overwhelmingly the business consultees supported the SCAAP aspiration for Southend to be a prosperous, vibrant, safe cultural hub and City by the Sea. 94\% of respondents supported that. In relation to the SCAAP's aspirational growth in homes in the Central Area 74\% of the consultees supports the Councils vision. In relation to the job growth within the SCAAP area $90 \%$ of the respondents supported the Councils aspiration. | Noted |
| Question 10: Residential Development (proposed approach) | Bowhill <br> Planning <br> Partnership <br> (Anthony <br> Bowhill) [474] | 2324 | Support | It is crucial that more housing be provided in and close to the town centre. This is because Southend is ringed by the green belt and thus there is no room for outward expansion. <br> Every effort should be made to find suitable new sites, including the use of redundant office blocks which are now no longer required. Owners and developers should be encouraged to bring these forward with the emphasis on the lower end of the market. | Noted. |


| Question 10: <br> Residential <br> Development (proposed approach) | Southend and District Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2364 | Comment | We must have more affordable family homes in the Borough of two storeys with gardens | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 10: <br> Residential <br> Development (proposed approach) | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barry Evans) [513] | 2376 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea central residential area is dilapidated due to poor land lord management. Houses should be returned from multiple occupancy to private family homes. The property management companies and landlords in Southend are in it for pure profit, and many don't even live in Southend. This culture has been proven to bring down the standard of living in an area which is demonstrated all over Southend. The planning department should be promoting family owned homes in central Southend allowing private money to turn the dilapidated properties back in to quality family homes steering away from flats and multiple occupancy. This would attract London professionals who have the disposable income to significantly invest in their own properties, providing employment for local tradesmen. | A key aspect of the SCAAP is to promote residential development in the central area to provide a range of dwelling types suited to housing needs. Policy DM7 of the Development Management Document also seeks to promote family accommodation. No changes proposed. |
| Question 10: Residential Development (proposed approach) | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barry Evans) [513] | 2381 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea central area should make use of more student halls as opposed to multiple occupancy private landlord ran accommodation. The multiple occupancy student accommodation in residential areas has been studied elsewhere and is proven to bring down the area in which it is situated. Students living in residential areas do not do anything for that area. Students should be accommodated in halls which should be funded by the university. The current university halls are a complete eye sore and do nothing to enhance the local area. This architectural design is not sensitive to the culture in Southend and not built to last. Private landlords should be strictly controlled and forced to maintain properties to a high standard, which is currently not happening. | Noted. The Plan seeks to enhance and promote improved educational facilities and to provide opportunities for the provision of additional student accommodation, No changes proposed. Policy PA3.4. outlines that new student accommodation should be accompanied by a long term management and maintenance plan, to ensure the development has a positive impact on local amenity and environment for the lifetime of its use. |
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| Question 10: Residential Development (proposed approach) | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2429 | Comment | In section 65 you talk about new housing in the Central area. This should include housing for elderly and disabled people. I think you should also have sheltered housing and homes for elderly and disabled people. This would enable elderly and disabled people to walk to shops and take an active part in the life of their community. | The Plan seeks to provide for a range of housing types to meet housing needs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 10: Residential Development (proposed approach) | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2430 | Comment | In paragraph 75 all student accommodation should also be made fully accessible, so that not only disabled students can live there but they can be visited by their friends and family. | Noted. Access arrangements to residential accommodation are considered as part of the design stage of planning applications and will meet building regulations to ensure accessibility for all users. |
| Culture, Leisure, Tourism and Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 11 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1941 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to culture, leisure and recreation as it recognises its importance to the local economy | Noted. |
| Question 11 | Burges Estate <br> Residents <br> Association <br> [176] | 1999 | Support | The drive towards enhanced culture, leisure and recreational activities is supported and indeed is essential if we are to take advantage of the huge potential for visitors from the continent. This must be coupled with more and better hotel accommodation to encourage longer stays. | Noted |
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| Question 11 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2049 | Object | Many of the Seafront businesses consulted as part of the Stockvale Group's own consultation have identified their concerns that leisure and tourism is not a strong focus of the SCAAP. | The Central Seafront Policy Area aims and policy provisions (Policy CS 1) seek to actively promote Southend as a 'thriving and vibrant leisure, cultural and tourism area' (page 110). However, it is recognised that this approach is not strongly reflected and identified in the vision, the strategic objectives or section 4.5 of the Plan (Culture, Leisure, Tourism and Recreational Facilities). It is therefore recommended that the words 'and resort' is added in the vision after 'regional centre'. The vision would then read: <br> 'Our vision for Southend Central Area, which includes the Town Centre and Central Seafront Area, is for it to be a City by the Sea. As a prosperous and thriving regional centre and resort, it will be an area...' <br> Strategic Objective 10 (page 18) would be amended and split to address these issues, and placed further up the ordering: <br> ' To promote and enhance the tourism, cultural and leisure offer within the central area, including visitor accommodation, having regard to the assets offered by the area, in order to attract greater visitor numbers and promote more overnight stays. <br> To promote the central area as a thriving learning quarter that provides state of the art facilities and well-designed student accommodation'. <br> Amend the last sentence of paragraph 76 (page 39) to read: 'This will build on the town's role as a major resort and contribute to a stronger, more vibrant centre. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Question 11 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2151 | Object | Many of the Seafront businesses consulted as part of the Stockvale Group's own consultation have identified their concerns that leisure and tourism is not a strong focus of the SCAAP. | The Central Seafront Policy Area aims and policy provisions (Policy CS 1) seek to actively promote Southend as a 'thriving and vibrant leisure, cultural and tourism area' (page 110). However, it is recognised that this approach is not strongly reflected and identified in the vision, the strategic objectives or section 4.5 of the Plan (Culture, Leisure, Tourism and Recreational Facilities). It is therefore recommended that the words 'and resort' is added in the vision after 'regional centre'. The vision would then read: <br> 'Our vision for Southend Central Area, which includes the Town Centre and Central Seafront Area, is for it to be a City by the Sea. As a prosperous and thriving regional centre and resort, it will be an area...' <br> Strategic Objective 10 (page 18) would be amended and split to address these issues, and placed further up the ordering: <br> ' To promote and enhance the tourism, cultural and leisure offer within the central area, including visitor accommodation, having regard to the assets offered by the area, in order to attract greater visitor numbers and promote more overnight stays. <br> To promote the central area as a thriving learning quarter that provides state of the art facilities and well-designed student accommodation'. <br> Amend the last sentence of paragraph 76 (page 39) to read: 'This will build on the town's role as a major resort and contribute to a stronger, more vibrant centre. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 11 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) [496] | 2243 | Comment | Leisure and Tourism received $70 \%$ response as a top scoring 10 priority. | Noted. |


| Question 11 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2336 | Comment | Much better promotion of the Pier and its Museum and better Quality building on the Pier is required. Tourist information is tucked away on the Pier and promotions at the railway stations and airport are needed as well as some direction in the town for information. <br> The new Beecroft Art Gallery is bare inside and does not announce what it is outside with any colour | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 11 | Belfairs Garden Residents Association (Barbara Armitage) [511] | 2337 | Comment | Public Art should not be a factor in planning permission. | Public art provision is considered essential to improving the public realm and environment. |
| Question 11 | Southend and District Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2365 | Support | Yes, but we need later running transport, and a concert hall. | Noted. |
| Question 11 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barry Evans) [513] | 2389 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea Council need a clearer tourist and seafront strategy. it is no good just stating that the pier is open to development and the seafront enhanced. Building high rise flats will not enhance the seafront and any developer that wishes to build should be prepared to enhance the infrastructure including car parks and access. | The vision and strategy is considered to be forward looking and ambitious. No changes proposed. Policy CS 1 sets out the development principles that will be used to assess development proposals within the central seafront area. Policy DM4 of the Development Management Document sets out policy to manage tall and large buildings. <br> Specific tourist and cultural strategies are prepared by other Council services outside of the SCAAP. |
| The Historic Environment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 12 | Milton <br> Conservation <br> Society (Mr <br> Andy <br> Atkinson) <br> [488] | 1977 | Support | The broad intentions, including statutory obligations, are supported. | Noted. |


| Question 12 | Milton <br> Conservation <br> Society (Mr <br> Andy <br> Atkinson) [488] | 1978 | Object | Far too little importance is given to our historic past, both designated and un-designated and instead it is seen as something of the past, to be preserved rather than part of our aggregated and improved future. | Noted. Detailed policy on the historic environment is contained within the Development Management Document (Policy DM5). It would be inappropriate and repetitive to include such policy provisions within the SCAAP. To emphasise the importance of the historic environment it is proposed to add a new sentence after 79 to read: Heritage assets will be promoted and enhanced as part of the future development of the town'. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 12 | Milton <br> Conservation <br> Society (Mr <br> Andy <br> Atkinson) <br> [488] | 1980 | Comment | In particular we would like to see far more recognition given to historic Southend, including the non-designated building frontages 'of townscape merit' in the High Street. This 'townscape merit' should not just be a 'material consideration' in future planning decisions but should be woven into the future planning of our town centre. This is not because of some sort of nostalgic affection for these buildings (although this does strongly exist) but because these are amongst the best buildings in our town and future construction should aggregate from these with the best of human scaled, modern or traditional 'living' architecture. | Frontages of Townscape Merit are identified on the Policies Map and in Policy PA1. Detailed policy on the historic environment, including 'frontages of townscape merit', is set out in the Development Management Document (Policy DM5). No changes are proposed. |
| Question 12 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2081 | Support | Supports the enhancement and quality of the Green and Open spaces along the Central Seafront and the protection of Southend's unique heritage including the nationally important Grade II Listed Southend Pier. | Noted. |


| Question 12 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2102 | Support | The STOCKVALE GROUP note the townscape improvements and guidance on design quality and Heritage preservation and enhancement are inextricably linked to improvements to Public Realm and pedestrian connectivity. The STOCKVALE GROUP like the majority of the Town support the continued regeneration and reinvention of the Towns greatest icon Southend's Pleasure Pier. <br> As there are a number of opportunity sites outlined in the SCAAP document, the STOCKVALE GROUP would suggest that the Council (through the SCAAP document) develop design codes and development briefs to ensure that the townscape improvements and quality of design of future developments meet the aspirational high standard to create a coherent and consistent Central Area. This needs to reflect on the Towns Heritage and look towards the future to create Southend as unique place and destination for leisure, shopping, living and working. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this and will be updated to identify a number of proposal sites that could be subject to a masterplanning approach. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 12 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2136 | Support | There is wholeheartedly a support for regeneration and renewal of the SCAAP Area, the STOCKVALE GROUP would support the improvements to the Victoria Gateway through to the top end of London Road, down the High Street including Tylers Avenue, connection through to the Seafront from the High Street, improvements to the Seafront, the Public Realm, landscaping of the Public Realm, enhancement of key views and connectivity, preservation enhancement of the iconic Southend Pier and the general approach to intensification of the Town Centre | Noted. |
| Question 12 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney [496] | 2183 | Support | Supports the enhancement and quality of the Green and Open spaces along the Central Seafront and the protection of Southend's unique heritage including the nationally important Grade II Listed Southend Pier. | Noted. |


| Question 12 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney [496] | 2205 | Support | The BID townscape improvements and guidance on design quality and Heritage preservation and enhancement are inextricably linked to improvements to Public Realm and pedestrian connectivity. The BID like the majority of the Town support the continued regeneration and reinvention of the Towns greatest icon Southend's Pleasure Pier. As there are a number of opportunity sites outlined in the SCAAP document, the BID would suggest that the Council (through the SCAAP document) develop design codes and development briefs to ensure that the townscape improvements and quality of design of future developments meet the aspirational high standard to create a coherent and consistent Central Area. This need to reflect on the Towns Heritage and look towards the future to create Southend as unique place and destination for leisure, shopping, living and working. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this and will be updated to identify a number of proposal sites that could be subject to a masterplanning approach. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 12 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney [496] | 2250 | Comment | Townscape Improvements and Guidance on Design, Quality and Heritage Preservation were given a top 10 priority by $36 \%$ of respondents. | Noted. |
| Question 12 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney [496] | 2265 | Support | There is wholeheartedly a support for regeneration and renewal of the SCAAP Area, the BID would support the improvements to the Victoria Gateway through to the top end of London Road, down the High Street including Tylers Avenue, connection through to the Seafront from the High Street, improvements to the Seafront, the Public Realm, landscaping of the Public Realm, enhancement of key views and connectivity, preservation enhancement of the iconic Southend Pier and the general approach to intensification of the Town Centre | Noted. |
| Question 12: Management of the historic environment | Belfairs Garden Residents Association (Barbara Armitage) [511] | 2338 | Comment | The policy is well stated but the delivery of it is questioned. | Noted. |


| Question 12 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barry Evans) [513] | 2382 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea conservation areas should be extended and cover the majority of Southend's Georgian and Victorian buildings, both residential and commercial. Shop owners and retailers should have strict planning guidelines and be forced to maintain shop fronts. Shops such as Bargain buy with their over use of on street advertising and garish and tacky shop fronts should be banned and in place smaller and more traditional shop fronts should be used. Hitchin, Bury St Edmunds etc have good planning control which maintains the heritage look and feeling of pride in those towns. | Conservation Area reviews are undertaken periodically to assess whether there is merit in seeking to extend/promote new areas in the town. Shop front design is covered in the Council's adopted design guide. Policy DS1 also seeks to ensure shop frontages are of a high standard of design. Policy PA1.2.b. supports the conservation and restoration of historic shop fronts. No changes proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 12 | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2400 | Comment | We note the rationale to not duplicate the policies contained elsewhere. <br> We would suggest deleting "...and much of the archaeology in these locations is likely therefore to have been destroyed" from paragraph 91 as even previously developed sites have potential for archaeology and the focus should be on those sites of high potential. | This point is accepted. It is therefore proposed to delete the words '...and much of the archaeology in these locations is likely therefore to have been destroyed" from paragraph 91. |
| Question 12 | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2401 | Comment | We would recommend including Policy Linkages to Policies DM1, DM4 and DM6 in the Development Management DPD and Policy DS3 in the SCAAP itself. | Noted. It is proposed to include references in the policy linkages box to Policies DM1, DM4 and DM6 in the Development Management DPD and Policy DS3 in the SCAAP itself. |
| Open and Green Space Provision |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 13 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1942 | Support | Agree with proposed approach to open and green space provision in Southend Central Area | Noted. |
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| Question 13 |  <br> Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2082 | Support | Supports the enhancement and quality of the Green and Open spaces along the Central Seafront and the protection of Southend's unique heritage including the nationally important Grade II Listed Southend Pier. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 13 |  <br> Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, <br> Adventure Island, <br> Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2137 | Support | There is wholeheartedly a support for regeneration and renewal of the SCAAP Area, the STOCKVALE GROUP would support the improvements to the Victoria Gateway through to the top end of London Road, down the High Street including Tylers Avenue, connection through to the Seafront from the High Street, improvements to the Seafront, the Public Realm, landscaping of the Public Realm, enhancement of key views and connectivity, preservation enhancement of the iconic Southend Pier and the general approach to intensification of the Town Centre | Noted. |
| Question 13 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney [496] | 2184 | Support | Supports the enhancement and quality of the Green and Open spaces along the Central Seafront and the protection of Southend's unique heritage including the nationally important Grade II Listed Southend Pier. | Noted. |
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| Question 13 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney [496] | 2266 | Support | There is wholeheartedly a support for regeneration and renewal of the SCAAP Area, the BID would support the improvements to the Victoria Gateway through to the top end of London Road, down the High Street including Tylers Avenue, connection through to the Seafront from the High Street, improvements to the Seafront, the Public Realm, landscaping of the Public Realm, enhancement of key views and connectivity, preservation enhancement of the iconic Southend Pier and the general approach to intensification of the Town Centre | Noted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 13 | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2296 | Comment | There is also a need to create green spaces in each of the new developments with semi matured trees, this will then invite the wild life (birds and squirrels) | Such provisions for urban greening are included in the various policies of the Plan. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 13 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2339 | Comment | There is a plan to build on Blenheim Park an overlarge sports building. It is difficult to understand why the policies for the above culture and green spaces have been 'rationalised and removed. Does this mean that their importance has been allowed to downgrade? | Outside the Plan area. |


| Question 13 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2431 | Comment | There are many guide dog owners who live in the Southend district and close to the high street as well as many that visit the high street for shopping and holidays. Currently there is no safe free running areas for our dogs and nowhere is there an area where our dogs can go to the toilet. Up to the time when the Odeon cinema was built in Victoria Circus area, there was always some grass where our dogs could go. Although our dogs are trained to go in a gutter there are very few of these now except in a few side streets. So please plan for designated areas close to bus and train stations and to shops. You cannot complain about dog mess when no areas are provided. When building blocks of flats and other housing this should also be provided, not only for guide dog owners but for other dog owners. Green areas should be provided with seating and shelters. The shelters to protect people from the rain and hot sun. There is no mention of Public Toilets in the document and they should be available throughout the town in shopping areas and green space areas. | The Plan seeks to enhance and provide new areas of open and green throughout the Central Area. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Views - Policy DS2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 14; Policy DS2 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1943 | Support | Important to protect and enhance the management of Key Views in Southend Central Area. | Noted. |
| Question 14; Policy DS2 | Burges Estate <br> Residents <br> Association <br> [176] | 2000 | Comment | The management of key views is acceptable but I have tried and failed to see Porters other than from inside the grounds. It is so well screened by trees and shrubs I doubt many people know it's there. In that sense it can hardly rank as a landmark building. | Policy DS3 not only sets out criteria protecting the views to and from landmark buildings, but the policy also seeks to conserve landmarks and enhance their setting. It is considered that the setting of Porters and links to the Queensway Policy Area can be improved. Furthermore, maintaining and enhancing key views to Porters is considered important and beneficial to the aesthetic quality of the local area. No change required. |

Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Revised Proposed Submission Consultation Statement - Regulation 19

| Question 14; <br> Policy DS2 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 4 3}$ | Support |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Question 14; Policy DS2 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2248 | Comment | Key Views were given a $20 \%$ top 10 priority. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 14; Policy DS2 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2267 | Support | There is wholeheartedly a support for regeneration and renewal of the SCAAP Area, the BID would support the improvements to the Victoria Gateway through to the top end of London Road, down the High Street including Tylers Avenue, connection through to the Seafront from the High Street, improvements to the Seafront, the Public Realm, landscaping of the Public Realm, enhancement of key views and connectivity, preservation enhancement of the iconic Southend Pier and the general approach to intensification of the Town Centre | Noted |
| Question 14; Policy DS2 | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2402 | Support | Welcome the identification of a number of key views, from within and of the central area, with the aim that they will not be adversely impacted by development. | Noted. |


| Landmarks and Landmark Buildings - Policy DS3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 15; Policy DS3 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1944 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to landmarks/landmark buildings in Southend Central Area | Noted. |
| Question 15; Policy DS3 | Milton <br> Conservation <br> Society (Mr <br> Andy <br> Atkinson) <br> [488] | 1979 | Object | The document seems to place landmarks and landmark buildings (section 4.9) above the best quality aggregated urban design. This attitude of landmark (or 'iconic' building to use the popular language) is becoming discredited so it seems rather odd that it so strongly features in our forward looking planning. As an example, the Sainsbury site was, not many years ago, hailed by the planners of our town as an important and focal town centre development. Not many years passed before the folly of this development was then realised so that the site has been proposed for re-development, should Sainsbury's relocate, and this is included in the document. Your document feels like it will lead to similar, repeated mistakes in future. The student housing building, now proposed as nothing less than a new potential landmark building demonstrates exactly what we are claiming. This building is largely disliked and ridiculed because it was built as an iconic or landmark building that paid virtually no relation to its urban surroundings. This type of arrogant 'look at me' building should not be the focus of future urban development in the town centre. | Landmarks and landmark buildings provide orientation and aid way-finding, being recognisable and distinctive, and it is important that they are conserved. Policy DS3 sets out the provision for the development of new landmark buildings to ensure they are well designed and detailed to help reinforce local character and distinctiveness. <br> The Sainsbury's site will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that it will be redeveloped by 2021. |
| Question 15; Policy DS3 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2247 | Comment | Landmarks and Landmark Buildings $36 \%$ of respondents gave that top 10 priority. | Noted. |
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| Question 15; <br> Policy DS3 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2340 | Support | Yes to the list of Landmark Buildings. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 15; Policy DS3 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2341 | Object | No to Potential Locations as already publicised at Opportunity Site 8: Seaway Car Park, Marine Parade and Opportunity Site 9 : New Southend Museum. | Seaway car park, Marine Parade and the New Southend Museum are key development sites identified in the SCAAP and are considered appropriate for the provision of new landmark buildings. Design and detailing will be important in such provision as set out in Policy DS3. No changes proposed. |
| Question 15; Policy DS3 | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2403 | Comment | Recommend that a bullet point d) is added to paragraph two of Policy DS3 stating: "d. the proposals do not harm the setting of nearby heritage assets." | These points are accepted. It is therefore proposed to include an additional criteria in paragraph 2 of Policy DS3 stating: 'd. the proposals do not harm the setting of nearby heritage assets.' |
| Question 15; Policy DS3 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2432 | Comment | In paragraph 99 which refers to Landmark buildings, these buildings can help blind and partially sighted people to locate where they are, so long as they are well lit and have good colour contrast with their surroundings. Also tactile information should be given and provided. For example, a water fountain or chiming clock can help to find a building. | Noted. |
| Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 16; Policy DS4 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1945 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to flood risk management and sustainable drainage in Southend Central Area | Noted. |
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| Question 16; <br> Policy DS4 | Anglian Water <br> (Sue Ball) [37] | $\mathbf{2 0 2 8}$ | Comment | For Surface water disposal we would expect a SuDS solution to <br> be utilised where at all viable and under no circumstances will <br> surface water be permitted to discharge into the foul sewerage <br> system. (Infrastructure Provision 4.12 paragraph 140) | Noted. It is proposed to add the following text to Policy DS4 <br> point 2 as follows: '...Under no circumstances will surface <br> water be permitted to discharge into a separate foul sewer or <br> sewerage system. Surface runoff that cannot be discharged into <br> the ground, a surface water body or a surface water sewer or <br> local highway, drain, must be discharged to a public, combined <br> sewer system.' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 16; <br> Policy DS4 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 2 4 6}$ | Comment | Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage 48\% of <br> respondents gave that a top 10 priority. | Noted. |



| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Rev. Phyllis Owen [456] | 1929 | Object | Insufficient allowance for parking to take into account the number of residential units proposed. | The Councils parking standards are set out in the Development Management Document and these have been found sound by a planning inspector and subsequently adopted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Essex <br> Chambers of <br> Commerce <br> (Mr John <br> Dallaway) <br> [452] | 1946 | Comment | Agree with the proposed approach to the management of transport, access and the public realm in the Southend Central Area, with the proviso that it should be amended to state that the Council 'will maintain car parking capacity at a level that supports the vitality and viability of the town centre' rather than 'seeking to maintain car parking capacity....' | Agree; the amendment is appropriate in the context of Policy DS5.2.a. Remove the word 'seek'. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr David Batley [479] | 1975 | Support | I strongly support the introduction of bus priority measures along the A13 (London Road). Most of Westcliff and Leigh near this road consist of high-density housing with no off-street parking, a land-use pattern which works well with high-frequency public transport. | Noted. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr Harry Chandler [219] | 1988 | Comment | It might be worth considering the creation of a tramway based on the bus station to connect Southend airport, Victoria Avenue, Southend Victoria railway station, Southend Central, the High Street and the sea front. It is likely that the creation of a tramway would encourage more visitors to come to Southend by train and help reduce our car parking problems. | Policy PA8 identifies the need for a priority route to be provided linking Southend Central Area with London Southend Airport. This does not preclude innovative transport schemes to link these points. Such improvements will be pursued mainly through the provisions of the Southend Local Transport Plan. A tramway is not considered viable or deliverable by 2021, and therefore is not included within the SCAAP. No changes are proposed. |
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| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Burges Estate <br> Residents <br> Association <br> [176] | 1990 | Comment | There is an anti- car feeling about some of the comments. A reluctance to acknowledge its importance in sustaining the central area's economy and over emphasis on suppressing it in favour of other modes. Car parking is only mentioned in terms of capacity ignoring the issue of pricing which is one of the major disincentives that the centre faces. | The SCAAP seeks improvements to the transport network for all users. There have already been a number of major junction improvements. Further reference will be included in Policy DS5 to highlight proposed strategic junction improvements as outlined on the Policies Map. There will also be a review of signage and implementation of an integrated signage strategy to assist road users around the transport network and direct them to the most convenient car parks. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 2001 | Comment | Car parking on the sea front is mostly kerbside and it is difficult to believe that on- street parking duration is only 5 mins . However the capacity needed to support the vitality of the town centre is not just a function of demand as it stands but the price mechanism. It must be a significant factor where choosing where to shop especially when so much of the competition has free parking. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. It is proposed that specific reference to the 5 minute on-street parking duration will be removed. |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 2002 | Comment | The only other point on transport is mixed mode priority routes. Mixed in the sense of ped/cycle routes are not working because too many cyclists now have it in their heads that any footway or footpath is fair game. I know this is an enforcement issue but if it cannot or will not be enforced effectively then it is bad policy. | The implementation of new pedestrian and cycle routes will have regard to national guidance and best practice. |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Mr Michael Davies [493] | 2037 | Comment | Serious thought needs to be given to what to do to the local traffic situation. If the plan includes a large number of residential flats, how many cars will that mean? Which way will they go to get out of town? Along the 'Golden Mile' and seafront towards Westcliff, then up Chalkwell Avenue to the London Road, or up Southchurch Avenue to try to join the A127 arterial road via Bournemouth Park Road, Sutton Road, or Victoria Avenue? Either way, it will mean added congestion, frustration, and stress for road users in an already very congested town. | Noted. Policy DS5 and related Policy Area policies make provision for a number of transport improvements, particularly junction improvements and the promotion of passenger transport. These will be actioned through the Local Transport Plan and partnership working. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2041 | Comment | Transport and Access into the Town is a key theme and in order to deliver the aspired number of new dwellings and new jobs in the Central Area the Stockvale Group wish to see this appropriately addressed through the SCAAP documentation. At present Stockvale Group does not believe that the Transport, Access and Parking Issues have been given enough consideration. Nor the highway infrastructure on existing businesses let alone the aspirational growth. | Policy DS5 together with the Policy Area policies provide for a number of transport and highway improvements within Southend Central Area to improve accessibility and provide for more sustainable methods of transport. The Local Transport Plan will develop these further in line with planned growth. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2042 | Support | Wholly support townscape improvements, improvements to the public realm, vastly improved connectivity from car parks to the Seafront, car parks to the high street and the creation of active public spaces in an otherwise linear High Street. | Noted. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2050 | Comment | As part of this representation we have reviewed Blackpool's Local Plan Making as a similar seaside town and comparable seaside resort. As part of the Blackpool Core Strategy Consultation and examination in public, the seafront businesses made succinct clear representation regarding the impact of traffic and parking on the sustainability and future growth of Blackpool as a tourist resort and destination of choice. The [Blackpool] Seafront business representation noted that the major attractions that make Blackpool a tourist destination rely on easy access to car parking and good access from car parks to the attractions by foot and public transport. <br> The Seafront businesses further noted that this matter is often not well understood by councils, who generally consider that it is not necessary to plan car parking for peak periods only. In most industries, for example planning the levels of parking for shopping areas based only on the Christmas peak, this a reasonable approach but for the businesses which are seasonal and need to meet visitor targets to survive (or at least to continue at the present scale), this approach can have far reaching consequences. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2051 | Object | The Southend Seafront businesses feel this particular issue [related to peak periods for car parking] is not understood by the Local Authority and as such the level of tourism and investment has peaked. Many of the Seafront businesses have expressed their view as part of this consultation that they cannot invest further in the town due to the issue of access and parking and as such they already have a declining customer base. | Policy DS5 and related Policy Areas include a number of proposals for improving transport accessibility. These policies will sit alongside the Local Transport Plan which aims to address issues of congestion, circulation and accessibility to Southend to assist economic growth. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2052 | Comment | Members of the Stockvale Group together with representatives of local businesses within the SCAAP area discussed highways and parking issues with Southend on Sea Borough Council's Head of Planning and Transport. As the Chief Officer responsible for transport he was recorded as saying 'the issue with parking is if you create more parking spaces, more people will come and they will create congestion i.e. there will be greater numbers of visitors to the Town meaning greater business! This exasperates the concerns of local businesses that parking and transport issues are not fully understood and have no serious consideration as part of the Council's preferred option and SCAAP Framework. | Noted, no agreed minutes are recorded of this meeting. The purpose of the SCAAP is to plan for regeneration, growth and inward investment whilst taking account of impacts on matters such as amenity and the local environment. This is planned for within a range of travel mode options and the infrastructure necessary to support them. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2053 | Comment | Interestingly the representations made by the Seafront businesses in Blackpool persuaded the Government Inspector of their position. The Inspector concluded in their report that 'Car Parks need to accommodate peak weekend/bank holiday parking'. | Noted. <br> The Council is unable to identify this direct quote in the Inspector's Report that has been cited. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2076 | Object | RICS paper 'High Streets Adapting for Change' discusses parking changes and out of town retail which provides free parking. Since 2007 many local authorities have increased parking charges significantly. In the SCAAP area this is a key issue which requires essential review. This is in contrast to the smaller districts of wider Southend on Sea, Leigh and Southchurch where the Council have extended free parking to 2 hours. Compared to Central Southend and the SCAAP area where parking for 2 hours is in excess of $£ 3.30$. In Stockvale Group's view this is a deterrent for people coming into Southend particularly for shopping. This combined with the poor spatial and environmental quality is a contributing factor to the decline of Southend's Town Centre. | The SCAAP recognises the importance of car parking provision to the vitality and viability of the centre. The wider implications of car parking charges are a matter which will be kept under review by the Borough Council as part of its overall approach to car parking for the Borough. The SCAAP is a planning policy document and does not directly cover parking charges. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2085 | Support | Wholeheartedly support the encouragement and expansion of businesses in the Southend Central Area, although note that the issues around transport, access and parking need further consideration and understanding. | Noted. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2086 | Object | Transport, access and parking issues need further consideration and are a particular issue for the Seafront businesses and the tourist economy. The highway infrastructure makes journeys into the town prolonged and difficult. Many visitors and customers simply don't return. | Policy DS5 and related Policy Areas include a number of proposals for improving transport accessibility. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2090 | Comment | Southend's Unique Selling Point is the Seafront which is a destination of choice. The Seafront and High Street inter relate on each other for business with the major attractions of Southend relying on easy access to car parking and good access from the car parks to the High Street and the Seafront attractions by foot or public transport. | Noted. The SCAAP seeks to improve and enhance connectivity between the seafront and town centre. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 9 1}$ | Comment | Access into the Town is problematic certainly on peak days, but <br> also in evenings when there are events on in the Town and <br> Central Seafront. There is a view that from the Victoria Gateway <br> iunction to the Raleigh Weir on days of high visitation and sunny <br> days the key route is completely grid locked between these two <br> key points. This represents somewhere in the region of 3840 <br> cars parked nose to tail across the main artery into the Town <br> which is mainly a dual carriageway. | Accessibility improvements are on-going as part of the Local <br> Transport Plan and other regeneration initiatives. No changes <br> aresed. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Revised Proposed Submission

| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2092 | Comment | Some members of the STOCKVALE GROUP and representatives of the Seafront businesses believe that one way in which the congestion into the Town could be improved is for an additional 3840 parking spaces to be made accessible and available within close proximity to the Seafront and core High Street Area. This is due to the day visitor attraction industry, particularly family attractions such as the Seafront receiving the vast majority of its income in a few weeks of the year. These generally coincide with the school holidays. During this peak period a visitor attraction business needs to be able to accommodate every visitor that wants to visit as these peak days effectively subsidise the operation for the rest of the year. <br> If the access to the main attractions is limited on peak days by the availability of car parking spaces, this could and does have serious impact on the viability of the Seafront businesses. The main parking areas are generally at capacity on peak holiday periods. Any loss of capacity as a result of the SCAAP proposals would result in a cap of visitors during these peak periods. This limits the amount of investment within the Seafront to the current status quo. <br> Transport and access is not just limited to the Seafront and does have a huge impact on the High Street, combined with parking tariffs, access and egress, and poor legibility around the Town Centre. Whilst the changes outlined in the SCAAP from a space and use perspective will do an awful lot to reinvigorate and regenerate the High Street, this must be inclusive of a renewed and fresh approach to parking provision within the SCAAP Area. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2096 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there have been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2104 | Comment | Before the SCAAP document is enshrined, the STOCKVALE GROUP would ask that the Council carry out thorough research, analysis and investigation into the capabilities of the existing infrastructure and the capabilities of utility suppliers to meet the aspirational growth. This is essential and will need some degree of consideration in terms of new sub stations around the SCAAP area. This directly links to townscape and Public Realm improvements as these sub stations represent an opportunity to not create a negative space in the overall townscape. Many of the Members of the STOCKVALE GROUP are continuously seeking to improve their offer and find that the limitation of the existing utilities coming into the SCAAP area prohibit their future plans and proposals. This has not been at all addressed in the SCAAP document. | Infrastructure provision is addressed in the Plan. Such provisions have been subject to consultation with utility companies as part of the Plan preparation process. No changes are proposed. <br> Further consultation with the National Grid will reveal whether further capacity is required to support the additional development in the Central Area. There was no objection from the National Grid to the housing and job targets in the Core Strategy. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 1 0}$ | Comment |  | There is the further opportunity to reopen the deepening <br> alleviating some of the traffic stress that has resulted of the <br> Highway alterations. | Noted. The Deeping was closed a number of years ago as part <br> of transport improvements to the area. Its future use will be kept <br> under review as part of on-going transport monitoring. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 2 6}$ | Comment | There are a couple of issues that the STOCKVALE GROUP want <br> to ensure are adequately addressed through the SCAAP. The first <br> of those is the potential of having residents parking zones, this <br> could have a negative effect on the existing Town Centre on and <br> off street parking and consume spaces that are vital for visitors. | Noted. Such aspects will be kept under review as part of the <br> on-going transport monitoring of the area. |  |


| Question 17 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2139 | Object | Major concerns relating to all supported aspirations being achieved falls into a number of categories - transport, access and parking is a key theme and at present the existing parking provision is woefully inadequate. The access route into the Town is often unable to cater for the number of visitors on sunny days and this is likely to be detrimental to economic sustainability and the projected growth of 6,000 jobs within the SCAAP Area. | Policy DS5 and related Policy Areas make a number of proposals for the improvement of transport and accessibility in the central area. These policies will sit alongside the Local Transport Plan which aims to address issues of congestion, circulation and accessibility to Southend to assist economic growth. No changes are proposed. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2140 | Object | The identified opportunity development sites are mainly existing public car parks. Through the SCAAP the Local Authority should seek a minimum of a replacement like for like number of public spaces on each of the sites whilst also meeting the development requirements in accordance with the Council's Development Management Policy. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. <br> The Development Management Document establishes maximum parking standards for commercial development and appropriate standards for residential development in the Central Area. The amount of parking provided for a development scheme will be assessed against these policy standards, together with a consideration of the sites local context, location and distance from public transport links. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | 2142 | Comment | Transport and Access into the Town is a key theme and in order to deliver the aspired number of new dwellings and new jobs in the Central Area the Stockvale Group wish to see this appropriately addressed through the SCAAP documentation. At present Stockvale Group does not believe that the Transport, Access and Parking Issues have been given enough consideration. Nor the highway infrastructure on existing businesses let alone the aspirational growth. | Policy DS5 together with the Policy Area policies provide for a number of transport and highway improvements within the Central Area to improve accessibility and provide for more sustainable methods of transport. These policies will sit alongside the Local Transport Plan which aims to address issues of congestion, circulation and accessibility to Southend to assist economic growth. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2143 | Support | Wholly support townscape improvements, improvements to the public realm, vastly improved connectivity from car parks to the Seafront, car parks to the high street and the creation of active public spaces in an otherwise linear High Street. | Noted. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | 2152 | Comment | As part of this representation we have reviewed Blackpool's Local Plan Making as a similar seaside town and comparable seaside resort. As part of the Blackpool Core Strategy Consultation and examination in public, the seafront businesses made succinct clear representation regarding the impact of traffic and parking on the sustainability and future growth of Blackpool as a tourist resort and destination of choice. <br> The [Blackpool] Seafront business representation noted that the major attractions that make Blackpool a tourist destination rely on easy access to car parking and good access from car parks to the attractions by foot and public transport. <br> The Seafront businesses further noted that this matter is often not well understood by councils, who generally consider that it is not necessary to plan car parking for peak periods only. In most industries, for example planning the levels of parking for shopping areas based only on the Christmas peak, this a reasonable approach but for the businesses which are seasonal and need to meet visitor targets to survive (or at least to continue at the present scale), this approach can have far reaching consequences. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2153 | Object | The Southend Seafront businesses feel this particular issue [related to peak periods for car parking] is not understood by the Local Authority and as such the level of tourism and investment has peaked. Many of the Seafront businesses have expressed their view as part of this consultation that they cannot invest further in the town due to the issue of access and parking and as such they already have a declining customer base. | Policy DS5 and related Policy Areas include a number of proposals for improving transport accessibility. These policies will sit alongside the Local Transport Plan which aims to address issues of congestion, circulation and accessibility to Southend to assist economic growth. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) [496] | 2154 | Comment | Members of the Stockvale Group together with representatives of local businesses within the SCAAP area discussed highways and parking issues with Southend on Sea Borough Council's Head of Planning and Transport. As the Chief Officer responsible for transport he was recorded as saying 'the issue with parking is if you create more parking spaces, more people will come and they will create congestion i.e. there will be greater numbers of visitors to the Town meaning greater business! This exasperates the concerns of local businesses that parking and transport issues are not fully understood and have no serious consideration as part of the Council's preferred option and SCAAP Framework. | Noted, no agreed minutes are recorded of this meeting. The SCAAP is planning for growth and inward investment and seeks to attract greater visitor numbers. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2155 | Comment | Interestingly the representations made by the Seafront businesses in Blackpool persuaded the Government Inspector of their position. The Inspector concluded in their report that 'Car Parks need to accommodate peak weekend/bank holiday parking'. | Noted. This quotation could not be cited within the Blackpool Inspectors Report. The Council has nevertheless noted the modifications made by the Inspector. |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2178 | Object | RICS paper 'High Streets Adapting for Change' discusses parking changes and out of town retail which provides free parking. In contrast to this Since 2007 many local authorities have increased parking charges significantly. In the SCAAP area this is a key issue which requires essential review. This is in contrast to the smaller districts of wider Southend on Sea, Leigh and Southchurch where the Council have extended free parking to 2 hours. Compared to Central Southend and the SCAAP area where parking for 2 hours is in excess of $£ 3.30$. In Stockvale Group's view this is a deterrent for people coming into Southend particularly for shopping. This combined with the poor spatial and environmental quality is a contributing factor to the decline of Southend's Town Centre. | The SCAAP recognises the importance of car parking provision to the vitality and viability of the centre. The SCAAP is a planning policy document and does not directly cover parking charges. The wider implications of car parking charges are a matter which will be kept under review by the Borough Council at part of its overall approach to car parking for the Borough. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2188 | Support | Wholeheartedly support the encouragement and expansion of businesses in the Southend Central Area, although note that the issues around transport, access and parking need further consideration and understanding. | Noted. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2189 | Object | Transport, access and parking issues need further consideration and are a particular issue for the Seafront businesses and the tourist economy. The high way infrastructure makes journeys into the town prolonged and difficult. Many visitors and customers simply don't return. | Policy DS5 and related Policy Areas include a number of proposals for improving transport accessibility. These policies will sit alongside the Local Transport Plan which aims to address issues of congestion, circulation and accessibility to Southend to assist economic growth. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2193 | Comment | Southend's Unique Selling Point is the Seafront which is a destination of choice. The Seafront and High Street inter relate on each other for business with the major attractions of Southend relying on easy access to car parking and good access from the car parks to the High Street and the Seafront attractions by foot or public transport. | Noted. The SCAAP seeks to improve and enhance connectivity between the seafront and town centre. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2194 | Comment | Access into the Town is problematic certainly on peak days, but also in evenings when there are events on in the Town and Central Seafront. There is a view that from the Victoria Gateway junction to the Raleigh Weir on days of high visitation and sunny days the key route is completely grid locked between these two key points. This represents somewhere in the region of 3840 cars parked nose to tail across the main artery into the Town which is mainly a dual carriageway. | Accessibility improvements are on-going as part of the implementation of the Local Transport Plan and other regeneration initiatives. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | 2195 | Comment | Some members of the BID and representatives of the Seafront businesses believe that one way in which the congestion into the Town could be improved is for an additional 3840 parking spaces to be made accessible and available within close proximity to the Seafront and core High Street Area. This is due to the day visitor attraction industry, particularly family attractions such as the Seafront receiving the vast majority of its income in a few weeks of the year. These generally coincide with the school holidays. During this peak period a visitor attraction business needs to be able to accommodate every visitor that wants to visit as these peak days effectively subsidise the operation for the rest of the year. <br> If the access to the main attractions is limited on peak days by the availability of car parking spaces, this could and does have serious impact on the viability of the Seafront businesses. The main parking areas are generally at capacity on peak holiday periods. Any loss of capacity as a result of the SCAAP proposals would result in a cap of visitors during these peak periods. This the amount of investment within the Seafront to the current status quo. Transport and access is not just limited to the Seafront and does have a huge impact on the High Street, combined with parking tariffs, access and egress, and poor legibility around the Town Centre. Whilst the changes outlined in the SCAAP from a space and use perspective will do an awful lot to reinvigorate and regenerate the High Street, this must be inclusive of a renewed and fresh approach to parking provision within the SCAAP Area. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) [496] | 2199 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there has been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The BID would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2207 | Comment | Before the SCAAP document is enshrined, the BID would ask that the Council carry out thorough research, analysis and investigation into the capabilities of the existing infrastructure and the capabilities of utility suppliers to meet the aspirational growth. This is essential and will need some degree of consideration in terms of new sub stations around the SCAAP area. This directly links to townscape and Public Realm improvements as these sub stations represent an opportunity to not create a negative space in the overall townscape. Many of the Members of the BID are continuously seeking to improve their offer and find that the limitation of the existing utilities coming into the SCAAP area prohibit their future plans and proposals. This has not been at all addressed in the SCAAP document. | Infrastructure provision, particularly flood risk management, which has been a major issue in the central seafront area, is addressed in the Plan. Such provisions have been subject to consultation with utility companies as part of the Plan preparation process. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2213 | Comment | There is the further opportunity to reopen the deepening alleviating some of the traffic stress that has resulted of the Highway alterations | Noted. The Deeping was closed some years ago as part of transport improvements to the area. Its future use will be kept under review as part of on-going transport monitoring. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 2 2 9}$ | Comment | There are a couple of issues that the BID want to ensure are <br> adequately addressed through the SCAAP. The first of those is the <br> potential of having residents parking zones, this could have a <br> negative effect on the existing Town Centre on and off street <br> parking and consume spaces that are vital for visitors. | Noted. Such aspects will be kept under review as part of the <br> on-going transport monitoring of the area. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S S <br> Kearney) <br> respondents giving this a score of 10 and a top priority. | $\mathbf{2 2 4 5}$ | Comment |  |  |


| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2276 | Comment | Upgrade the Street lighting from the current dismal effect | A programme of street lighting improvements is being implemented as part of the Local Transport Plan provisions. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2279 | Comment | Reduce / eliminate all car-parking charges on Sundays and Bank holidays and, hospital car parks completely | The SCAAP recognises the importance of car parking provision to the vitality and viability of the centre. The wider implications of car parking charges are a matter which will be kept under review by the Borough Council as part of its overall car parking strategy for the Borough. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2280 | Comment | Ensure all new houses are provided with car parking room for at least two cars | Residential car parking is set out in the Council's adopted car parking standards in the Development Management Document. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2284 | Comment | Plan to improve Road access to Southend (Additional to A127) by 2020 | A number of road improvements to the strategic highway network have been completed in recent years and further improvements are proposed as part of the Local Transport Plan provisions. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2285 | Comment | Plan week-end Park and ride scheme for visitors by road to leave their cars Out-of-Town | Park and Ride schemes have been considered a number of times in recent years but have not been considered feasible given the limited land available and linear peninsula geography of the town. The provision of Park and Ride would only be feasible outside the SCAAP boundaries. Such options will be kept under review as part of the on-going Local Transport Plan provisions and development of the Southend Local Plan. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2286 | Comment | As in towns abroad, make commercial deliveries to be during Night hours only - eg: Monaco | Commercial delivery times are kept under review as part of ongoing traffic management proposals. The SCAAP Transport, Access and Public Realm Strategy and Policy DS5 seeks to ensure the efficient and effective servicing and delivery arrangements. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2306 | Comment | Policy DS5 states that the Council will work with the freight industry and logistics to implement more efficient use of vehicles in terms of guidance, zoning and delivery timetables and suggests that this can be set out in freight management plans. Valad (Europe) Ltd suggest that the requirement for freight management plans is not set out in policy but dealt with on a case by case basis. | Policy purely sets out intent to provide for a freight management plan in the interests of efficient traffic management. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2343 | Object | Vulnerable groups' need transport and the statement that car travel is to be discouraged is discriminatory against those of us who cannot get on public transport and need cars for accessibility to all areas of the town and the profoundly disabled who use nothing else, not only motability cars but blue badge users and those taken by friends or taxis. Also need to be relief areas for guide and assistance dogs. <br> The Southend Local Transport Plan 3 to 2026 notes as a Key Fact p87 the expected rise in population over 65 and that all public transport should be accessible by 2017 which does not appear likely. It also notes the lack of buses along the seafront. | The SCAAP does not seek to discourage car travel, rather it seeks to encourage and promote better public transport. The Local Transport Plan seeks to promote public transport for all, including concessionary fairs for those of retirement age. <br> Site occupiers with reference to national parking guidance and legislation are responsible for providing an adequate number of spaces for people with disabilities. The SCAAP seeks to promote a positive approach to public car parking provision that provides public car parking levels that support the vitality of the town centre and access to the seafront by encouraging improvements to the quality of access to parking so that it is convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure. It is considered that reference to a range of parking types, including for disabled people, should be made within Policy DS5. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Revised Proposed Submission Consultation Statement - Regulation 19

| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2344 | Object | Reducing car parking space, particularly in the streets, is nonsense. Some of the spaces calculated have been within shopping malls such as the Royals. Local businesses need short term spaces for their customers including care agencies, accountants, lawyers etc. if clients do not find somewhere to park nearby, e.g Clarence Rd. area, the business will relocate somewhere else. This could have an effect upon employment considered elsewhere in the plan and under threat. Disabled people need nearby spaces. So do people with shopping and mums with children. Multi storey car parks are not good for those with walking difficulty or indeed women on their own for safety in darkness. <br> Going to park in a multi storey or driving around for a space just to have lunch in a cafe in The High Street opposite Marks and Spencers does not make sense for boosting the town economy at all. <br> The car park next to SAVS building is vital for users of that building and the meetings and workshops there. It also gives access to the Royals complex without having to drive around to the Royals car park where there is often long waiting to get in especially on Saturdays and when it is raining. Likewise the Clarence Road car park is vital for the residents and business people around there and of course the Baptist Church and Salvation Army. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. <br> Policy seeks to better manage demand on the road network and balance this with the needs of other modes, particularly where this would give greater reliability to road users and priority to pedestrians, cyclists, public transport users and other vulnerable road users. <br> The SCAAP seeks to promote a positive approach to public car parking provision that provides public car parking levels that support the vitality of the town centre and access to the seafront by encouraging improvements to the quality of access to parking so that it is convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure. It is considered that reference to a range of parking types, including for disabled people, should be made within Policy DS5. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2345 | Comment | The travel centre and management of access to buses is hopeless. Real consultation on the location of the travel centre and safe places for people to wait and queue for buses is overdue. Good and accessible public toilets should be incorporated here and elsewhere in the central area. | Policy PA7 identifies the potential to relocate the bus station to provide for improved facilities. The detailed design of a scheme will be considered at planning application stage and will be subject to consultation. No changes proposed. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question } 17 \\ & \text { DS5 } \end{aligned}$ | Southend and <br> District <br> Pensioners <br> Campaign <br> (Mr Robert <br> Howes) [476] | 2366 | Comment | Would LGO's please stop using the term 'public transport' We only have private companies operating trains and buses. | 'Public transport' is a term generally used to refer to transport services provided directly to the public. No change proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question } 17 \\ & \text { DS5 } \end{aligned}$ | Southend and District <br> Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2367 | Support | Yes, but we need 24/7 concessionary fares for old aged pensioners | Concessionary fares are a matter outside of planning influence. No changes proposed. |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2375 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea needs more innovative transport solutions. Other cities such as Manchester etc have reverted back to the tram system and park and ride schemes. There is no connectivity from rail other than car or walking. To resurrect a tram system would not only provide an efficient form of transport but enhance the sea side feel that Southend is missing. Parking is also an issue and any new development should provide a self-sufficient parking solution and stop commercial and retail parking in residential areas | The Plan seeks to enhance and improve public transport within the central area. Innovative transport solutions have been investigated as part of the Local Transport Plan. All development schemes are assessed against adopted car parking standards. No changes proposed. |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2377 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea central area is poorly lit and pedestrian routes for commuters from Southend Central station are seen as unsafe. Most commuters will travel in the hours of darkness whether it be morning or night and to encourage walking around the central areas better lighting is required. | Policy DS5 seeks to ensure the provision of appropriate street lighting. Reference will be included for improved lighting in Policy PA1. |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2379 | Comment | The central area is highly residential and traffic speed is too high; especially in the residential areas of Clifftown. Traffic calming options should be installed to reduce traffic speed in these areas. | Policy DS5 seeks to improve traffic management within the central area. However, it is recognised that the Policy makes no reference to the potential to improve the road safety and environment of the pockets of predominantly residential areas within the central area. It is therefore proposed that the following criteria is added to Policy DS5, 'Improve road safety and the quality of the environment by introducing traffic calming and related measures within predominantly residential areas as appropriate.' <br> Policy PA6.5.b seeks a reduction in general vehicle circulation in residential street. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2388 | Comment | 1 parking space per flat is totally unrealistic in this age | The Councils parking standards are set out in the Development Management Document and these have been found sound by a planning inspector and subsequently adopted. |
| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | National <br> Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2433 | Comment | All pedestrian areas should be kept free of obstacles, and no cycling should be allowed in these areas. All walking areas should be well lit, and where there are seats they should be so positioned that they do not cause a hazard. | Policy seeks to give priority to pedestrians, cyclists, public transport and other vulnerable users. It also seeks to maintain street lighting. <br> Reference will be included to Policy DS5 to ensure that public realm improvements consider the needs of more vulnerable users as follows: 1n order to promote and reinforce local distinctiveness, ensure all public realm improvement works, including those outlined in the relevant Policy Areas, should seek to provide a coordinated palette of materials, facilitate a reduction in street clutter, consider the needs of all users including vulnerable and disabled users, the provision of additional seating where appropriate to provide resting places, and have regard to guidance within the Design and Townscape Guide and Streetscape Manual.' |


| Question 17; <br> Policy DS5 | National <br> Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2434 | Comment | Parking should be provided for disabled drivers close to shops. There is no mention of parking for disabled people in the document. | Site occupiers with reference to national parking guidance and legislation are responsible for providing an adequate number of spaces for people with disabilities <br> The SCAAP seeks to promote a positive approach to public car parking provision that provides public car parking levels that support the vitality of the town centre and access to the seafront by encouraging improvements to the quality of access to parking so that it is convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure. <br> It is considered that reference to a range of parking types, including for disabled people, should be made within Policy DS5. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 17; Policy DS5 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2435 | Comment | There should be bus routes to cover all parts of the town these should be reliable, frequent, accessible and available 7 days a week and at Bank holidays. Currently there are no bus routes from Chalkwell to the Kursaal. | Policy DS5, as part of a sustainable approach to transport, seeks to improve provisions for public transport users and for bus priority measures. Specific bus routes are considered as part of on-going partnership working with bus operators. No changes are proposed. |
| Infrastructure Provision |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 18 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1947 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to providing infrastructure in Southend Central Area | Noted. |
| Question 18 | Anglian Water (Sue Ball) [37] | 2015 | Comment | Treatment capacity at Southend Water Recycling Centre is available to serve the proposed level of growth in the plan. (Infrastructure Provision 4.12 paragraph 139) | Noted |
| Question 18 | Anglian Water (Sue Ball) [37] | 2016 | Comment | There may be a need for upgrades to the foul sewerage network to accommodate the used water flows from the proposed development. (Infrastructure Provision 4.12 paragraph 140) This will be assessed for each site when we are approached via our pre planning service and a solution identified. Details can be found at: http://www.anglianwater.co.uk/developers/pre-planning-service-.aspx. Developers should be encouraged to submit a pre planning enquiry at the earliest opportunity. | Noted |


| Question 18 | Anglian Water <br> (Sue Ball) [37] | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ | Comment | For Surface water disposal we would expect a SuDS solution to <br> be utilised where at all viable and under no circumstances will <br> surface water be permitted to discharge into the foul sewerage <br> system. (Infrastructure Provision 4.12 paragraph 140) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 18 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 9 5}$ | Support | The STOCKVALE GROUP understand the concept of a much <br> greater residential intensification of the SCAAP area and would <br> wholeheartedly support the Councils aspirations for an additional <br> 4000+ homes however, this must be in the context of insuring <br> there is suitable amenity and infrastructure. <br> The intensification together with a greater mix of uses in the Town <br> Centre and Central Seafront create a much more buoyant and <br> sustainable economy and the STOCKVALE GROUP welcome the <br> Councils proposals as part of the SCAAP planning document. |

Noted. It is proposed to add to Policy DS4 point 2 the following: ' ... Under no circumstances will surface water be permitted to discharge into a separate foul sewer or sewerage system. Surface runoff that cannot be discharged into the ground, a surface water body or a surface water sewer or local highway drain, must be discharged to a public, combined sewer system.'

Noted. It is proposed to amend paragraph 139 as follows: 'Water companies are subject to a statutory duty to 'effectually drain' their area. This requires them to invest in infrastructure suitable to meet the demands of projected population growth. Southend Waste Water Treatment Works has adequate capacity to accommodate the Core Strategy growth targets to 2021 and beyond. However, developers will need to consider the effect of their development on the capacity of the local waste water network. Proposals will need to demonstrate that they will not overload this.'

It is also proposed to insert a new paragraph under 139:
'There is statutory provision for developers to fund additional sewerage infrastructure required to accommodate flows from a proposed development. Adequate sewerage infrastructure should be in place to serve the area before development progresses. Developers should seek pre-planning advice from Anglian Water at the earliest opportunity to ensure appropriate provision is made. Further details and useful guidance can be found on Anglian Water's website.'

| Question 18 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2105 | Comment | Before the SCAAP document is enshrined, the STOCKVALE GROUP would ask that the Council carry out thorough research, analysis and investigation into the capabilities of the existing infrastructure and the capabilities of utility suppliers to meet the aspirational growth. This is essential and will need some degree of consideration in terms of new sub stations around the SCAAP area. This directly links to townscape and Public Realm improvements as these sub stations represent an opportunity to not create a negative space in the overall townscape. Many of the Members of the STOCKVALE GROUP are continuously seeking to improve their offer and find that the limitation of the existing utilities coming into the SCAAP area prohibit their future plans and proposals. This has not been at all addressed in the SCAAP document. | Infrastructure provision is addressed in the Plan. Such provisions have been subject to consultation with utility companies as part of Plan preparation process. No changes are proposed. <br> Further consultation with the National Grid will reveal whether further capacity is required to support the additional development in the central area. There was no objection from the National Grid to the housing and job targets in the Core Strategy. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 18 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2198 | Support | The BID understand the concept of a much greater residential intensification of the SCAAP area and would wholeheartedly support the Councils aspirations for an additional 4000+ homes however, this must be in the context of insuring there is suitable amenity and infrastructure. The intensification together with a greater mix of uses in the Town Centre and Central Seafront create a much more buoyant and sustainable economy and the BID welcome the Councils proposals as part of the SCAAP planning document. | Noted. |
| Question 18 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2208 | Comment | Before the SCAAP document is enshrined, the BID would ask that the Council carry out thorough research, analysis and investigation into the capabilities of the existing infrastructure and the capabilities of utility suppliers to meet the aspirational growth. This is essential and will need some degree of consideration in terms of new sub stations around the SCAAP area. This directly links to townscape and Public Realm improvements as these sub stations represent an opportunity to not create a negative space in the overall townscape. Many of the Members of the BID are continuously seeking to improve their offer and find that the limitation of the existing utilities coming into the SCAAP area prohibit their future plans and proposals. This has not been at all addressed in the SCAAP document. | Infrastructure provision, particularly flood risk management, which has been a major issue in the central seafront area, is addressed in the Plan. Such provisions have been subject to consultation with utility companies as part of the Plan preparation process. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 18 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) [496] | 2249 | Comment | Energy and Utilities 32\% top priority. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 18 | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2294 | Comment | The nearest Health Centre is located in North Road Westcliff, will this health centre be able to handle the additional demand which would be created by the new developments, The old Ekco site, Roots Hall site, the old college site next to the Civic Centre, Heath House and Carby House. | The Plan recognises the potential need for additional community facilities, particularly in the Queensway, Victoria and Sutton Gateway policy areas (Policies PA4, PA8, PA9). No changes are proposed. |
| Question 18 | Southend and District Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2368 | Support | Yes, any new school may decide to convert to an Academy | Noted. |
| Question 18 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2392 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea Council should ensure that all new developments both business and residential have Fibre optic ultra high speed broadband infrastructure as standard. This will attract future business and technologies to the city. The Council should be the city to make Southend On Sea the first 100\% fibre High speed broadband city in uk. This upgrade of communication across the city along with a wi-fi infrastructure as seen in cities across Romania (yes Romania) would make Southend extremely attractive to global business with high speed Broadband communications being a pinch point for companies across the UK both large and small. | The adopted Core Strategy (CP1) sets out provision for improving broadband infrastructure throughout the Borough. |
| Question 18 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2436 | Comment | There is no mention of Public toilets or day centres for disabled people. | These are referred to in the Plan under the generic term 'community infrastructure'. No changes to Plan are proposed. |

Part C: Policy Areas and Opportunity Sites

| Dwelling Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 19 | The Cooperative Group (Mr A Thompson) [473] | 1971 | Object | The Co-operative Group would wish to see the inclusion of land at 53-57 Sutton Road Southend within the SCAAP as an additional Opportunity Site. | The Council is considering inclusion of this site as an opportunity site. This will be assessed against information supplied by the owners of the site to demonstrate that development is deliverable by 2021. |
| High Street Policy Area - Policy PA1; Opportunity Sites 1 and 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question } 20 \\ & \text { PA1 } \end{aligned}$ | Anna Hyndnan Lahna [456] | 1931 | Comment | With regards to the invitation to comment on new plans for Southend High Street, I would like to propose that we introduce trees in an avenue style right down the centre of the pedestrianised area. | Policy PA1 seeks to provide for improved landscaping and 'urban greening' and tree planting in the High Street. No changes proposed. |
| $\text { Question } 20$ PAl | Anna Hyndnan Lahna [456] | 1932 | Comment | I think we need to bring the area more glamour. I think we need to curb the amount of pound and temporary shops. We need to encourage individual businesses along with higher class chains, Brown Brasseries for example. | Policy PA1 seeks to encourage development that would contribute to the vitality and viability of the town centre. No changes proposed. |
| $\text { Question } 20$ PAI | Anna <br> Hyndnan <br> Lahna [456] | 1933 | Comment | Southend has a reputation for being for being downtrodden and cheap but it needn't be, we could follow the lead of Brighton for example and encourage boutique style shops and bring up the standards. | Policy PA1 seeks to encourage development that would contribute to the vitality and viability of the town centre. No changes proposed. |
| $\text { Question } 20$ PAI | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1948 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the High Street Policy Area | Noted. |
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| Question 20 PAI | London <br> Southend <br> Airport (Ms Jo <br> Marchetti) <br> [471] | 1967 | Support | LSA would like to see improvements made to the top of the high street to entice passengers arriving from the airport via. Southend Victoria Station into the High Street area before making their way to the seafront. <br> Better signage is needed to encourage visitors to many of the bars and restaurants located in the side streets. <br> Better signage should be considered from the Queensway area to the High Street via. Odeon/New Look alleyway. | Noted. The Plan makes provision for improved signage and way marking throughout the central area, however, it is not directly referred to in Policy PA1 (High Street) where quality signage is important. It is therefore proposed that the following words be added to Policy PA1 3 d: 'through improved signage and public art provision'. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 20; } \\ & \text { PA1.3.c } \end{aligned}$ | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 1997 | Support | Southend has been the focus of working class seaside attractions for at least 80 years and continues to be so. It is the mainstay of many seafront businesses. Those day trippers often take advantage of the sea front and the town centre facilities so improving the connectivity between the two is crucial. | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 20; } \\ & \text { PA1 } \end{aligned}$ | Anglian Water (Sue Ball) [37] | 2017 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | The Council understands that water companies are subject to a statutory duty to 'effectually drain' their area. This requires them to invest in infrastructure suitable to meet the demands of projected population growth. There is also statutory provision for developers to fund additional sewerage infrastructure required to fund additional sewerage from a proposed development. In relation to this Ofwat provides information for developers where a development would require a new water main or sewer. It is considered, therefore, that there is an obligation on water companies to ensure that sewerage infrastructure is provided to a level to meet housing target in an adopted plan, unless it is a circumstance where a development would be required to provide additional capacity. <br> Specifically, for foul drainage, Section 42 of the Flood and Water Management Act requires developers who want to connect to a public sewer to enter into a binding agreement for the adoption of new connecting sewers by the undertaker (under section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991). The agreement must specify that new sewers will be built to a standard published by the Minister, or any other such standard as may be agreed. (Review above) <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting text is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Question 20 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2058 | Comment | The Government has also introduced measures to make it easier to change use into residential however this is probably fairly restrictive in the High Street itself but Southend as the Local Planning Authority should consider the widening of that, certainly into some of the units off the High. | Policy DS1 and related Policy Area provisions actively promotes residential use above commercial premises and within proposed mixed use developments. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2063 | Comment | High Streets Adapting for Change (RICS) introduces the Governments recognition that our High Streets have to offer something new and different that neither the shopping centres nor the internet can match. They need to offer an experience that goes beyond retail and they need to be a destination for the socialising culture, health, well being, creativity and learning. Offices alongside shops, alongside housing, alongside eateries. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. |
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| Question 20 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2072 | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. Recognise that the High Street should be a social place that makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening economy. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2078 | Comment | Many of our High Streets have been shaped by their past; however they are now trapped in their current configurations and often in poor shape to face the future. In relation to Southend on Sea, this is certainly the case. The High Street in particular has a linearity with no social space for congregation, interaction and the alternative commercial uses that would reactivate these spaces such as cafes, coffee shops, office space and importantly a high intensification of residential uses both at ground level and above The SCAAP and the Stockvale Group recognise that the High Street in particular requires a restructuring on a significant scale. | The SCAAP recognises the need to enhance and broaden the offer in the High Street and seeks to do this by providing a more flexible approach in the determination of planning applications to encourage a mix of retail, cafe and restaurant uses. The Plan also seeks to enhance and promote new public spaces within the centre. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 20 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2088 | Support | Support a higher intensification of residential uses in the Central Area together with a broader mix of commercial uses ranging from A 1 retail, A 3 restaurant café, D 2 leisure and B 1 offices to provide a more diverse multi-use High Street and Central Seafront. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2097 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there have been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. No changes are proposed. |
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| Question 20 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2111 | Comment | There is a great opportunity to display public art in terms of film projection on to the rear of the Victoria Plaza and the existing Odeon building. This further runs in to the top end of the High Street where there is a greater opportunity to enhance Victoria Circus. | Noted. Additional wording is proposed to emphasise the use of visually active frontages within Policy PA2.2 as follows: <br> 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage-way' <br> Include an additional criteria to Policy PA1 to encourage visually active frontage within PA1 to the rear of buildings on Queensway dual carriage way to read as follows: 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, and architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2113 | Comment | In relation to the middle of the High Street from Pitmans Close, Whitegate Road through to Tylers Avenue, the STOCKVALE GROUP support the extension of the education and cultural quarter into this area and would further suggest that the SCAAP looks at office use within the High Street itself and some residential uses above these offices. This would stimulate a broader economy and a safer pedestrian environment. | Noted. The SCAAP identifies a number of opportunities for achieving residential/office development within this locality. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 20 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2116 | Comment | There could also be an improvement of pedestrian connection from the Central railway station of Southend on Sea into the Public Realm and a vast and extensive soft landscape scheme introduced around the Tylers Avenue/High Street connection to the railway station. | Noted. It is proposed that PA7 is updated as follows: 'facilitate better pedestrian access to the High Street and Southend Central railway station' <br> And the following amendment is proposed to PA6.3.b: 'streetscape and landscape design improvements, including urban greening and tree planting, to create well lit walking circuits through Clifftown from a newly created public plaza at Southend Central Railway Station/ Central House, to Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill, facilitating better pedestrian access to the High Street' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2119 | Support | The STOCKVALE GROUP support the Public Realm improvements and further connectivity down through and into the Seafront. The STOCKVALE GROUP recognise that Pier Hill has had a huge success in this regard. | Noted. |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2160 | Comment | The Government has also introduced measures to make it easier to change use into residential however this is probably fairly restrictive in the High Street itself but Southend as the Local Planning Authority should consider the widening of that, certainly into some of the units off the High Street. | Policy PA1 and related Policy Area provisions promotes residential use above commercial premises and within proposed mixed use developments where appropriate. No changes are proposed. |
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| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2165 | Comment | High Streets Adapting for Change (RICS) introduces the Governments recognition that our High Streets have to offer something new and different that neither the shopping centres nor the internet can match. They need to offer an experience that goes beyond retail and they need to be a destination for the socialising culture, health, well being, creativity and learning. Offices alongside shops, alongside housing, alongside eateries. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2174 | Support | Support the Council in their aspiration to (i) improve Competitive Performance (ii) Reduce the cost base (iii) Diversify away from retail uses (iv) grow the local economy and/or population, which is a strong theme in the preferred option principle. <br> Recognises that the High Street should be a social place that makes creative use of public space with a vibrant evening economy | Noted. |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2180 | Comment | Many of our High Streets have been shaped by their past, however they are now trapped in their current configurations and often in poor shape to face the future. In relation to Southend on Sea, this is certainly the case. The High Street in particular has a linearity with no social space for congregation, interaction and the alternative commercial uses that would reactivate these spaces such as cafes, coffee shops, office space and importantly a high intensification of residential uses both at ground level and above. The SCAAP and the Stockvale Group recognise that the High Street in particular requires a restructuring on a significant scale. | The SCAAP recognises the need to enhance and broaden the offer in the High Street and seeks to do this by encouraging a mix of retail, cafe and restaurant uses. The Plan also seeks to enhance and promote new public spaces within the centre. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2191 | Support | Support a higher intensification of residential uses in the Central Area together with a broader mix of commercial uses ranging from A 1 retail, A 3 restaurant café, D2 leisure and B 1 offices to provide a more diverse multi-use High Street and Central Seafront. | Noted. |


| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2200 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there has been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The BID would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | 2214 | Comment | There is a great opportunity to display public art in terms of film projection on to the rear of the Victoria Plaza and the existing Odeon building. This further runs in to the top end of the High Street where there is a greater opportunity to enhance Victoria Circus. | Noted. Additional wording is proposed to emphasise the use of visually active frontages within Policy PA2.2 as follows: <br> 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' <br> It is proposed to include an additional criteria to Policy PA1 to encourage visually active frontages to the rear of buildings on Queensway dual-carriage way to read as follows: 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, and architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2216 | Comment | In relation to the middle of the High Street from Pitmans Close, Whitegate Road through to Tylers Avenue, the BID support the extension of the education and cultural quarter into this area and would further suggest that the SCAAP looks at office use within the High Street itself and some residential uses above these offices. This would stimulate a broader economy and a safer pedestrian environment. | Noted. The SCAAP identifies a number of opportunities for achieving residential/office development within this locality. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 20 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | 2219 | Comment | There could also be an improvement of pedestrian connection from the Central railway station of Southend on Sea into the Public Realm and a vast and extensive soft landscape scheme introduced around the Tylers Avenue/High Street connection to the railway station. | Noted. It is proposed that PA7 is updated as follows: 'facilitate better pedestrian access to the High Street and Southend Central railway station' <br> And the following amendment is proposed to PA6.3.b: 'streetscape and landscape design improvements, including urban greening and tree planting, to create well lit walking circuits through Clifftown from a newly created public plaza at Southend Central Railway Station/ Central House, to Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill, facilitating better pedestrian access to the High Street' <br> And the following amendment to PA6.3.b: <br> 'streetscape and landscape design improvements, including urban greening and tree planting, to create well lit walking circuits through Clifftown from a newly created public plaza at Southend Central Railway Station/ Central House, to Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill, facilitating better pedestrian access to the High Street' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2222 | Support | The BID support the Public Realm improvements and further connectivity down through and into the Seafront. The BID recognise that Pier Hill has had a huge success in this regard. | Noted. |
| Question 20 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2251 | Comment | 84\% of respondents scored the High Street as a top 10 priority | Noted. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 20; } \\ & \text { PA1 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2298 | Comment | Walking up the High Street I cannot help but notice the area where Elmer Approach joins the High Street there does not appear to be any signs directing the pedestrian to the new library (Forum). | The provision of signage in the town centre is reviewed as appropriate. The Plan makes provision for improved signage and way marking throughout the central area, however, it is not directly referred to in Policy PA1 (High Street) where quality signage is important. It is therefore proposed that the following words be added to Policy PA1 3 d'through improved signage and public art provision'. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 20; } \\ & \text { PA1 } \end{aligned}$ | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2307 | Comment | Policy PAI seeks to enhance the High Street experience through a number of improvements such as improving, enhancing and creating new public spaces, improved landscaping and interlinking access roads. Our client requests that specific mention is made to the Council's aspiration to open up the southern façade of The Royals Shopping Centre through the provision of a new restaurant(s) and outdoor public space etc to create a link between the High Street and the Seafront area. This, together with improved signage and access would help to link the seafront with the town centre and High Street benefitting the town centre as a whole. | The Plan seeks to achieve this as set out in Policies PA 1 3c and Policy CS 1 10b. It is proposed that an additional criteria is inserted into Policy PA1.2 outlining the Council's support for proposals that create active frontage on the southern façade of The Royals Shopping Centre as follows: '...the following, will be supported in principle... The provision of active frontage on the southern façade of The Royals Shopping Centre ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20; PA1 | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2308 | Object | Opportunity sites 1 and 2 (Whitegate Road and Pitmans Close) have been identified as being suitable for mixed use office/residential, commercial uses, with the timescale for delivery being post 2021. The delivery timescales within which the development should be delivered should be brought forward with the aim of delivering it pre-2021 on the basis that it will bring further investment to the town centre sooner. | There is insufficient evidence that these sites will be delivered by 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. They will however, be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 20, PA1 | Southend and District <br> Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2369 | Comment | Yes, more public toilets and any "steps" must be complimented by ramps for disabled people | Noted. This would be considered during the detailed design stage of any scheme. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 20; } \\ & \text { PA1 } \end{aligned}$ | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2406 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: 1t should be noted that listed buildings, buildings in Conservation Areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 20 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2438 | Comment | The High street should be kept at one level. | The High Street is predominantly at one level and elevators/lifts are provided at the multi-level Victoria Shopping Centre. A public lift was also constructed as part of wider regeneration proposals at the southern end of the High Street to improve accessibility between the differing levels of the High Street and the seafront. |
| London Road Policy Area - Policy PA2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 21, PA2 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1949 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the London Road Policy Area | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21; } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | Burges Estate <br> Residents <br> Association <br> [176] | 2003 | Comment | I have no problem with London Road policy area except in one regard, the proposal for an active frontage along the dual carriageway. It is inconceivable that one would wish to encourage footfall along a busy dual carriageway. Far better to improve and emphasise London road as the focus for pedestrian traffic with the enhanced pedestrianisation. By all means improve the appearance but to create active frontages is wrong. | Noted, OS15 Sainsburys \& Adjacent Building Site will not be in the final version of the SCAAP as it is unlikely to be implemented by 2021. It is proposed that Policy PA2 will be amended to encourage visually active frontage on Queensway dual-carriage way as follows: Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, and architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21; } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | Cllr Nevin [489] | 2012 | Comment | London Road public toilets please so that traders don't bear the brunt of urinating doorways, a bench with public art/sculpture near to roundabout or top of Princes Street and pedestrianize as much as possible. | In setting out broad development principles for London Road, Policy PA3 seeks the provision of public art. However, the provision of toilets will not be addressed in the SCAAP, this would be considered during the detailed design stage of future development proposals. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21; } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | Anglian Water (Sue Ball) [37] | 2018 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| Question 21 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2060 | Support | Recognise-the importance of the Town Centre in viability and vitality terms and the need to enhance the existing markets where appropriate and introduce and create new markets. | Noted. |


| Question 21 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 6 4}$ | Comment | High Streets Adapting for Change (RICS) introduces the <br> Governments recognition that our High Streets have to offer <br> something new and different that neither the shopping centres <br> nor the internet can match. They need to offer an experience that <br> goes beyond retail and they need to be a destination for the <br> socialising culture, health, well being, creativity and learning. <br> Offices alongside shops, alongside housing, alongside eateries. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 21 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 7 9}$ | Comment | Both the Council and Stockvale Group recognise the need to <br> diversify the uses within the Central Area and indeed cite the <br> success of London Road eateries. However, this needs to have <br> some further consideration in relation to pedestrianising the stub- <br> end of London Road, introducing a series of stalls that would <br> allow for street food to further define this zone as a place that <br> people come to enjoy, to eat, to meet and to use the cinema, <br> which would include a reconfiguration and animation around <br> Victoria Circus bleeding across into the northern end of the High <br> Street. | The SCAAP seeks to achieve this in Policy PA2. No changes are |  |
| proposed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question 21 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2098 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there have been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 21 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2109 | Comment | The STOCKVALE GROUP would further make recommendation that there is an opportunity lost on the Victoria Gateway Public Realm Improvements and the large public space should be activated with a small commercial use and extensive landscaping and planting. | The Victoria Avenue/ Queensway junction has benefitted from significant public realm and access improvements as part as the implemented Victoria Gateway Scheme. However, it is considered that policy should still seek further improvements to the public realm and accessibility. Therefore the following amendments in Policy PA2.7.g. are proposed: 'seek provision of public art and integrated signage that combine with more traditional signage to signal entry to the Town Centre from Victoria Gateway and facilitate clear way-finding to improve legibility and pedestrian access, together with further improvements to the public realm and accessibility.' |
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| Question 21 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2112 | Comment | There is a great opportunity to display public art in terms of film projection on to the rear of the Victoria Plaza and the existing Odeon building. This further runs in to the top end of the High Street where there is a greater opportunity to enhance Victoria Circus. | Additional wording proposed to emphasise the use of visually active frontage within Policy PA2.2 as follows: 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, and architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' <br> Include an additional criteria to Policy PA1 to encourage visually active frontage within PA1 to the rear of buildings on Queensway dual-carriage way to read as follows: 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, and architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 21 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2122 | Comment | In relation to the existing Sainsburys site and redevelopment of the whole block OS15. This is a site that could take a significantly higher building to cater for a larger number of residential units, complementing the Victoria Gateway proposals to re-use the redundant office space to residential. Fantastic views are offered here and the larger number of residential units would sustain the A3 restaurant and café uses around the top end of the High Street and stub end of London Road. This all needs to be considered in relation to adequate onsite parking provision, greater connectivity to public transport and a greatly enhanced Public Realm. The Stockvale Group would call for the Council to present design codes to ensure the design quality of development meets the Councils high aspirations. | Noted. Opportunity Site 15 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. Nevertheless the development principles as set out in Policy PA2, in combination with other adopted local policy and guidance, will provide the necessary framework to guide development proposals in this area. |


| Question 21 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2123 | Comment | The stub end of London Road should be landscaped and enhanced to include mature tree planting as a boulevard into Victoria Circus. The A3 restaurant and café uses at the top end of the High Street have been a huge success and through the SCAAP document this could be further encouraged with the inclusion of some small stalls to encourage street food and pop up food outlets centred around a large kiosk or amphitheatre at Victoria Circus. These small pavilions could then spread to the northern quadrant of the High Street. This would further stimulate the eastern end of London Road and the top end of the High Street as a destination for eateries and later entertainment to extend the evening economy. | Noted. These aspects are incorporated into Polices PA1 and PA2. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 21 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2162 | Support | Recognise the importance of the Town Centre in viability and vitality terms and the need to enhance the existing markets where appropriate and introduce and create new markets | Noted. |
| Question 21 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2166 | Comment | High Streets Adapting for Change (RICS) introduces the Governments recognition that our High Streets have to offer something new and different that neither the shopping centres nor the internet can match. They need to offer an experience that goes beyond retail and they need to be a destination for the socialising culture, health, well being, creativity and learning. Offices alongside shops, alongside housing, alongside eateries. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this. |
| Question 21 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2181 | Comment | Both the Council and the BID recognise the need to diversify the uses within the Central Area and indeed cite the success of London Road eateries. However, this needs to have some further consideration in relation to pedestrianising the stub-end of London Road, introducing a series of stalls that would allow for street food to further define this zone as a place that people come to enjoy, to eat, to meet and to use the cinema, which would include a reconfiguration and animation around Victoria Circus bleeding across into the northern end of the High Street. | The SCAAP seeks to achieve this in Policy PA2. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 21 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2201 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there has been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The BID would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 21 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2211 | Support | Wholeheartedly support the improvement on Victoria Avenue as a gateway in to the Town. The BID recognises that much of this work is already underway with the on-going redevelopment of Heath and Carby House. | Noted. The Victoria Avenue/ Queensway junction has benefitted from significant public realm and access improvements as part as the implemented Victoria Gateway Scheme. However, it is considered that policy should still seek further improvements to the public realm and accessibility. |
| Question 21 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2212 | Comment | The STOCKVALE GROUP would further make recommendation that there is an opportunity lost on the Victoria Gateway Public Realm Improvements and the large public space should be activated with a small commercial use and extensive landscaping and planting. | The Victoria Avenue/ Queensway junction has benefitted from significant public realm and access improvements as part as the implemented Victoria Gateway Scheme. However, it is considered that policy should still seek further improvements to the public realm and accessibility. Therefore the following amendments are proposed to Policy PA2.7.g.: 'seek provision of public art and integrated signage that combine with more traditional signage to signal entry to the Town Centre from Victoria Gateway and facilitate clear way-finding to improve legibility and pedestrian access, together with further improvements to the public realm and accessibility.' |
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| Question 21 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2215 | Comment | There is a great opportunity to display public art in terms of film projection on to the rear of the Victoria Plaza and the existing Odeon building. This further runs in to the top end of the High Street where there is a greater opportunity to enhance Victoria Circus. | Additional wording proposed to emphasis the use of visually active frontage within Policy PA2.2 as follows: 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, and architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' <br> Include an additional criteria to Policy PA1 to encourage visually active frontage within PA1 to the rear of buildings on Queensway dual-carriage way to read as follows: 'Encourage visually active frontages, through public art, green walls, and architectural fenestration to buildings on Queensway dual carriage way' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 21 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2225 | Comment | In relation to the existing Sainsburys site and redevelopment of the whole block OS15. This is a site that could take a significantly higher building to cater for a larger number of residential units, complementing the Victoria Gateway proposals to re-use the redundant office space to residential. Fantastic views are offered here and the larger number of residential units would sustain the A3 restaurant and café uses around the top end of the High Street and stub end of London Road. This all needs to be considered in relation to adequate onsite parking provision, greater connectivity to public transport and a greatly enhanced Public Realm. The BID would call for the Council to present design codes to ensure the design quality of development meets the Councils high aspirations. | Noted. Opportunity Site 15 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. Nevertheless the development principles as set out in Policy PA2, in combination with other adopted local policy and guidance, will provide the necessary framework to guide development proposals in this area. |
| Question 21 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2226 | Comment | The stub end of London Road mature tree planting as a boulevard into Victoria Circus. The A3 restaurant and café uses at the top end of the High Street have been a huge success and through the SCAAP document this could be further encouraged with the inclusion of some small stalls to encourage street food and pop up food outlets centred around a large kiosk or amphitheatre at Victoria Circus. These small pavilions could then spread to the northern quadrant of the High Street. This would further stimulate the eastern end of London Road and the top end of the High Street as a destination for eateries and later entertainment to extend the evening economy. | Noted. These aspects are incorporated into Polices PA1 and PA2 |


| Question 21 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2253 | Comment | $34 \%$ scored the London Road as a top 10 priority. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21, } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2295 | Comment | In connection with the shared space located outside Southend Victoria Rail Station, I did raise this issue at the time of the redevelopment, saying that in my opinion in order to reduce the possibility of accidents to pedestrians crossing to and from the rail station, Victorian style metal railings could be erected on the feeder road outside the station, the railings should extend from the main entrance of the station, extending down to the area outside the British Transport Police car park and corresponding railings on the opposite side with a gap at the bus stops and a gap in each of the railing outside the side entrance to the rail station with a pedestrian controlled facility. Although some trees have been planted at Victoria Circus, they are not mature enough to attract the wild life (Birds) More trees do need to be planted but the trees do need to be semi matured and be able to support wild life. <br> My comments refer to the road part of the shared access which takes buses from Victoria Avenue into the bus stops outside the side entrance of the rail station this part of the road is also being used by drivers of vehicles who are using Victoria Avenue to access the ring road without using the traffic light at Victoria Circus. <br> There does need to be signs before the start of this section of the road restricting the drivers other than bus or taxi drivers from using this area of road together with A N P R cameras. The problem is further compounded by unauthorised vehicles using the road in the opposite direction. The problem is further compounded by some vehicle owners/ delivery drivers parking their vehicles on the pavement before the side entrance to the Rail station thereby blocking the visibility of the pedestrian who is wishing to cross the road from the station. | The workings of the 'shared space' outside Victoria Railway Station will be kept under review as part of the on-going traffic monitoring of the area. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 21; <br> PA2.7a | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | $\mathbf{2 3 4 6}$ | Object | Policy PA2.7a - Pedestrianisation of that section of road will <br> make life extremely difficult for anyone with mobility problems <br> and prevent access to cafes shops and the Odeon as detailed <br> elsewhere. | Any pedestrianisation scheme will take into account the needs <br> of vulnerable road users. These issues will be further considered <br> during the detailed design and implementation stage of the <br> scheme. No changes proposed. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 21; <br> PA2.7b | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | $\mathbf{2 3 4 7}$ | Object | Policy PA2.7b - Relocating the taxis will be discriminatory and <br> taxis to the. West of College Way will really leave anyone with a <br> walking difficulty stranded. This proposal could sound attractive <br> but has not been properly thought through. There are not <br> enough disabled parking spaces along there now and removing <br> them really hits the Equality legislation. | Any pedestrianisation scheme will take into account the needs <br> of vulnerable road users and taxi provision. These issues will be <br> further considered during the detailed design and <br> implementation stage of the scheme. No changes proposed. |
| Question 21; <br> PA2.7g | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | $\mathbf{2 3 4 8}$ | Comment | Policy PA2.7g - Signage is good, public art maybe - but what is <br> essential for people using the station is a crossing across that <br> shared space. | The workings of the 'shared space' outside Victoria Railway <br> Station will be kept under review as part of the on-going traffic <br> monitoring of the area. No changes are proposed. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21; } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2407 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: 'Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 21 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2437 | Comment | Victoria Gateway should be made safe by installing a pedestrian crossing across the shared space outside the Victoria Railway station. | Junction improvements are proposed at a number of key junctions in the town. The Victoria Gateway scheme provided for significant pedestrian improvements at the Victoria Avenue/Queensway junction. Its function will be kept under review as part of wider traffic management monitoring. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21, } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | National <br> Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2439 | Object | London Road should not be pedestrianised. The taxi rank should not be moved further away from its current position. It is already quite a distance for elderly and disabled people to walk from the shops to the taxi rank. People visiting the cinema also need the taxi nearby. At night it could cause dangerous situations if people have to walk further to the taxi rank. I would even suggest a bus route to that area, certainly not a pedestrian area. There are many banks in that area where many elderly people need to go, and for safety reasons need taxis close by for their transport requirements. Also more disabled parking to be in that area. If you pedestrianise it you take away access for disabled people to get to their Banks. <br> If you put tables and chairs in a pedestrian area in London Road this will be a nightmare for blind and partially sighted people to walk in this area. | Policy PA2 seeks to pedestrianise London Road in the interests of improving the environment and townscape of this part of the retail area. The provision of taxi facilities enhanced pedestrian facilities and facilities for vulnerable road users will all be considered at the detailed design stage of any scheme. No changes proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21, } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2440 | Object | Throughout the document there are references to pedestrian and cycling routes. Cycling routes should be built on road space and not on pavements. At no time should pedestrians have to share with cyclists. It is too dangerous and will prevent many people who are blind and partially sighted from walking out safely. Cycling should not be allowed in the high street or any other pedestrian area. | Pedestrian and cycle routes will be provided and designed in a safe manner in accordance with best practice and guidance, having regard to the locality, road safety needs and the needs of other road users. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 21, } \\ & \text { PA2 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2441 | Comment | The direct Link from Victoria railway station and the high street can only happen if a safe pedestrian crossing is installed across the shared space outside the station. | Junction improvements are proposed at a number of key junctions in the town. The Victoria Gateway scheme provided for significant pedestrian improvements at the Victoria Avenue/Queensway junction. Its function will be kept under review as part of wider traffic management monitoring. |
| Elmer Square Policy Area - Policy PA3, Opportunity Site 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 22, PA3 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1950 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the Elmer Square Policy Area | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 22, } \\ & \text { PA3 } \end{aligned}$ | Cllr Nevin [489] | 2011 | Comment | Elmer Square green area ideas to tidy up and prudential building needs redeveloping, units facing the forum, hide the traders rubbish bins with trees, bushes please, we have residents living facing onto this. Picnic area on green space and children's swings or water feature | Noted, detailed design elements will be considered at the implementation stage of Elmer Square Phase 2. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 22, } \\ & \text { PA3 } \end{aligned}$ | Anglian Water (Sue Ball) [37] | 2019 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| Question 22 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2114 | Comment | In relation to the middle of the High Street from Pitmans Close, Whitegate Road through to Tylers Avenue, the STOCKVALE GROUP support the extension of the education and cultural quarter into this area and would further suggest that the SCAAP looks at office use within the High Street itself and some residential uses above these offices. This would stimulate a broader economy and a safer pedestrian environment. | Noted. The SCAAP identifies a number of opportunities for achieving residential/office development within this locality. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 22 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2124 | Support | The STOCKVALE GROUP wholly supports proposals for Elmer Square and repeats the comments that have been made in relation to the High Street. There is a greater opportunity for this segment of the High Street to have a mixture of uses as well as retail. This includes office space that directly correlates to the education hub and again a strong residential use above this segment of the High Street. The STOCKVALE GROUP recognise that the Local Authority, University and South East Essex College has already delivered significant achievements in realising the aspirations so far. | Noted. These aspects are included within the Plan (Policies DS1, PA1, PA2 and PA3). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 22 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2217 | Comment | In relation to the middle of the High Street from Pitmans Close, Whitegate Road through to Tylers Avenue, the BID support the extension of the education and cultural quarter into this area and would further suggest that the SCAAP looks at office use within the High Street itself and some residential uses above these offices. This would stimulate a broader economy and a safer pedestrian environment. | Noted. The SCAAP identifies a number of opportunities for achieving residential/office development within this locality. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 22 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2227 | Support | The BID wholly supports proposals for Elmer Square and repeats the comments that have been made in relation to the High Street. There is a greater opportunity for this segment of the High Street to have a mixture of uses as well as retail. This includes office space that directly correlates to the education hub and again a strong residential use above this segment of the High Street. The BID recognise that the Local Authority, University and South East Essex College has already delivered significant achievements in realising the aspirations so far. | Noted. These aspects are included within the Plan (Policies DS1, PA1, PA2 and PA3). |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 22, } \\ & \text { PA3 } \end{aligned}$ | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2408 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: 'Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 22, } \\ & \text { PA3 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2442 | Comment | The Forum is not accessible for many elderly people like it was when it was in Victoria avenue. It is not on a bus route and it is a long walk for many people to reach it from a bus stop. There should be taxi ranks and parking for disabled people next to the forum. | The Forum has been established at the heart of the town centre adjacent to the railway station. The provision of taxi ranks and improved connectivity for pedestrians will be considered as part of further phases of the scheme. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 22, } \\ & \text { PA3 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2443 | Comment | There is mention of mixed pedestrian and cycling routes that should not be allowed on the grounds of safety as already mentioned above. | Pedestrian and cycle routes will be provided and designed in a safe manner in accordance with best practice and guidance, having regard to the locality, road safety needs and the needs of other road users. |


| Question 23, PA4 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1951 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the Queensway Policy Area | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 23, PA4 | Anglian Water (Sue Bull) [37] | 2020 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| Question 23 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2125 | Support | Support a vastly regenerated and improved area. The STOCKVALE GROUP recognises the value in creating innovative housing typologies and a high quality built environment. | Noted. |
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| Question 23 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2127 | Comment | There are a couple of issues that the STOCKVALE GROUP want to ensure are adequately addressed through the SCAAP. The first of those is the potential of having residents parking zones, this could have a negative effect on the existing Town Centre on and off street parking and consume spaces that are vital for visitors. | Noted. Such aspects will be kept under review as part of the on-going transport monitoring of the area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 23 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2128 | Comment | The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to ensure that the Queensway policy area provides connectivity into the Seaway Car Park and the opportunity to see Seaway as a Gateway to the Seafront and the first visual connection to the Sea is not lost. | Noted. These provisions are identified in Policy CS 1. |
| Question 23 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2228 | Support | Support a vastly regenerated and improved area. The BID recognises the value in creating innovative housing typologies and a high quality built environment. | Noted. |
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| Question 23 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2230 | Comment | There are a couple of issues that the BID want to ensure are adequately addressed through the SCAAP. The first of those is the potential of having residents parking zones, this could have a negative effect on the existing Town Centre on and off street parking and consume spaces that are vital for visitors. | Noted. Such aspects will be kept under review as part of the on-going transport monitoring of the area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 23 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2231 | Comment | STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to ensure that the Queensway policy area provides connectivity into the Seaway Car Park and the opportunity to see Seaway as a Gateway to the Seafront and the first visual connection to the Sea is not lost. | Noted. These provisions are identified in Policy CS1. |
| Question 23 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2254 | Comment | Queensway was scored by $24 \%$ response as a top 10 priority | Noted. |
| Question 23, PA4 | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2299 | Comment | The pedestrian accessibility at the roundabout at Porters Grange does need to be improved, one such improvement would be, to close the stairs which take the pedestrian across the roundabout and to have pedestrian controlled crossings in order the pedestrian can cross the roads in safety. | Junction improvements to improve safety, particularly pedestrian and cyclists, at Queensway/Sutton Road are included in Policy PA4. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 23, PA4 | Mr Paul Bethell [499] | 2317 | Comment | OS4 - what is urban grain? | Further explanatory included in Para. 165 to define urban grain as follows '...to re-establish urban grain (i.e. the physical form of former and surrounding street patterns and blocks).' |
| Question 23, PA4 | Mr Paul Bethell [499] | 2318 | Comment | OS4 - When I see the word "regeneration" applied in these circumstances, I think that means the council intends to demolish a lot of buildings close to me and build something which gives people better living conditions. Good for them. I presume, however, that this will be rather noisy and dirty and disruptive and inconvenience anyone living in a house just over the road for however many years it takes. So what are your plans for dealing with that? I suppose what I really want to know is: are the tower blocks going to be demolished and replaced with some affordable low-level social housing? And are there any plans to match it on Coleman Street? | The hours of construction will be controlled though conditions on any planning application permission. Affordable housing levels will be determined in line with local planning policy. The Better Queensway project will outline the detailed plans for the area, which will be assessed as part of a planning application. |


| Question <br> 23, <br> PA4 | Southend and District Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2370 | Comment | Yes, whether to demolish or refurbish the tower blocks of flats needs to be carefully considered. Keep them for another 30 years, if possible | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 23, PA4 | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2409 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: 'Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| Warrior Square Policy Area - Policy PA5, Opportunity Site 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 24, PA5 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1952 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the Warrior Square Policy Area and Opportunity Site | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 24, } \\ & \text { PA5 } \end{aligned}$ | Burges Estate <br> Residents <br> Association <br> [176] | 2004 | Comment | Warrior Square would be enhanced by residential development on the south side to enclose and complete the square. There must be strong support for the stated principle of maintaining the quality of the square since it is the absence of any reasonable level of maintenance that led to the "improvement scheme". There is no sign that maintenance levels have improved. | Noted, the SCAAP is not the appropriate document to set out the maintenance procedures of public spaces. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 24, } \\ & \text { PA5 } \end{aligned}$ | Cllr Nevin [489] | 2009 | Comment | Warrior Square protecting green space in warrior square where swimming pool was, a five aside football pitch/ or multi use area, jogging track round outside. Happy with houses both sides of Whitegate, with trees please, or water feature. | Noted. This site is unlikely to be deliverable in the SCAAP timeframe and therefore will not be included in the final version of the document. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 24, } \\ & \text { PA5 } \end{aligned}$ | Anglian Water (Sue Bull) [37] | 2021 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| Question 24 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2130 | Comment | The retention of the green space is paramount and greater legibility needs to be brought through to the High Street. Clearly the previous swimming pool site is a development opportunity and the STOCKVALE GROUP would seek that that this is of the highest quality providing some activity around the ground floor to support the small pocket park of Warrior Square. The STOCKVALE GROUP recognise that this site could deliver a significant number of residential units. | Noted. Opportunity Site 5 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. Nevertheless the development principles as set out in Policy PA5, in combination with other adopted local policy and guidance, will provide the necessary framework to guide development proposals in this location. |


| Question 24 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2131 | Comment | The STOCKVALE GROUP would want to see that the existing public car park provision is retained and any residential and commercial development yield aims to meet the requirements of the Councils development management plan in regard to parking provision. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 24 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2233 | Comment | The retention of the green space is paramount and greater legibility needs to be brought through to the High Street. Clearly the previous swimming pool site is a development opportunity and the BID would seek that that this is of the highest quality providing some activity around the ground floor to support the small pocket park of Warrior Square. The BID recognise that this site could deliver a significant number of residential units. | Noted. Opportunity Site 5 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. Nevertheless the development principles as set out in Policy PA5, in combination with other adopted local policy and guidance, will provide the necessary framework to guide development proposals in this location |
| Question 24 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2234 | Comment | The BID would want to see that the existing public car park provision is retained and any residential and commercial development yield aims to meet the requirements of the Councils development management plan in regard to parking provision. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| Question 24 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2255 | Comment | Warrior Square was scored by 18\% as a top 10 priority. | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 24, } \\ & \text { PA5 } \end{aligned}$ | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2410 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. <br> Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: 'Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 24, } \\ & \text { PA5 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2444 | Comment | Pedestrian and cycle routes should be kept separate. No cycling should be allowed on the footway or footpath. | Pedestrian and cycle routes will be provided and designed in a safe manner in accordance with best practice and guidance, having regard to the locality, road safety needs and the needs of other road users. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 24, } \\ & \text { PA5 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2445 | Comment | All bus routes should be kept in this area with shelters and seating provided. | The Plan seeks to improve public transport provision in the Central Area. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 24, } \\ & \text { PA5 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2446 | Comment | This is a suitable area for a day centre for disabled people. Since the Queensway building was closed there has been nowhere for disabled people to go. | The site is considered to be most suitable for a mixed use residential led development, which could include an element of community uses. Opportunity Site 5 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. <br> Community infrastructure provision is promoted on the nearby Queensway site as part of the provisions of Policy PA4. No change proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 24, PA5 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2447 | Comment | There is no longer a swimming pool in the centre of the Town as the Warrior Square pool was closed. A new facility should be provided in this central area. | Policy PA5 seeks to regenerate this area with a mixed use development that respects the character and setting of the adjacent Conservation Area. The former swimming pool site is identified as having the potential to provide additional open space to mirror that of Warrior Square Gardens. A new improved swimming pool facility has been established at Garon Park outside the Plan area. No changes proposed. |
| Clifftown Policy Area - Policy PA6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 25, PA6 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1953 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the Clifftown Policy Area | Noted. |
| Question 25 PA6 | Cllr Nevin [489] | 2013 | Support | Clifftown great to see development of Empire Theatre and Alexandra Street. | Noted, specific site allocations for these areas will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there remains insufficient evidence that they will be delivered by 2021. However, this does not preclude development coming forward and this will be guided by the policy area development principles. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question } 25 \\ & \text { PA6 } \end{aligned}$ | Anglian Water (Sue Bull) [37] | 2022 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 25 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2061 | Support | Recognise the importance of the Town Centre in viability and vitality terms and the need to enhance the existing markets where appropriate and introduce and create new markets. | Noted. |
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| Question 25 |  <br> Southend Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2099 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there have been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 25 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2117 | Comment | There could also be an improvement of pedestrian connection from the Central railway station of Southend on Sea into the Public Realm and a vast and extensive soft landscape scheme introduced around the Tylers Avenue/High Street connection to the railway station. | Noted. Further provision is made that seeks to improve the connectivity and public realm between Policy Area PA7 and the High Street and the Central Station, with an additional criteria to PA7 as follows: 'facilitates better pedestrian access to the High Street and Southend Central railway station ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> And the following amendment to PA6.3.b: 'streetscape and landscape design improvements, including urban greening and tree planting, to create well lit walking circuits through Clifftown from a newly created public plaza at Southend Central Railway Station/ Central House, to Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill, facilitating better pedestrian access to the High Street ${ }^{\prime}$ |

Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Revised Proposed Submission Consultation Statement - Regulation 19

| Question 25 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2132 | Support | Wholly support the aspirations for the Clifftown area and recognise the value of the Clifftown conservation area. There are two development sites namely Clarence Street and Alexandra Street car parks which have been identified for redevelopment. The STOCKVALE GROUP generally support the redevelopment of these areas providing they respond to the fine grain character of the Conservation area and the scale of Alexandra Street. The STOCKVALE GROUP recognise there is an opportunity to further enhance the boutique offer of this part of Southend by means of high quality architecture and high quality retail together with A3 uses and residential uses at upper levels. | Noted. Opportunity Sites 16 and 17 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021. <br> Comments in relation to the sites will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. Nevertheless the development principles as set out in Policy PA6, in combination with other adopted local policy and guidance, will provide the necessary framework to guide development proposals in this location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 25 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2133 | Comment | In relation to car parking, the STOCKVALE GROUP would seek that the existing public car parking spaces are either allocated as part of the museum provision or are included elsewhere within the south west corner of the SCAAP area. There is an opportunity with the Empire Theatre as a large basement already exists. A public car park could form part of a wholesale mixed use redevelopment of the Empire theatre. | OS9: New Southend Museum includes provision for public parking. |
| Question 25 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) [496] | 2163 | Support | Recognise the importance of the Town Centre in viability and vitality terms and the need to enhance the existing markets where appropriate and introduce and create new markets | Noted. |


| Question 25 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2202 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there has been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The BID would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 25 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2220 | Comment | There could also be an improvement of pedestrian connection from the Central railway station of Southend on Sea into the Public Realm and a vast and extensive soft landscape scheme introduced around the Tylers Avenue/High Street connection to the railway station. | Noted. Further provision is made that seeks to improve the connectivity and public realm between Policy Area PA7 and the High Street and the Central Station, with an additional criteria to PA7 as follows: 'improve pedestrian accessibility and public realm enhancement that facilitates better access to the High Street and Southend Central railway station ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> And the following amendment to PA6.3.b: 'streetscape and landscape design improvements, including urban greening and tree planting, to create well lit walking circuits through Clifftown from a newly created public plaza at Southend Central Railway Station/ Central House, to Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill, facilitating better pedestrian access to the High Street' |
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| Question 25 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2235 | Support | Wholly support the aspirations for the Clifftown area and recognise the value of the Clifftown conservation area. There are two development sites namely Clarence Street and Alexandra Street car parks which have been identified for redevelopment. The BID generally support the redevelopment of these areas providing they respond to the fine grain character of the Conservation area and the scale of Alexandra Street. The BID recognise there is an opportunity to further enhance the boutique offer of this part of Southend by means of high quality architecture and high quality retail together with A3 uses and residential uses at upper levels. | Noted. Opportunity Sites 16 and 17 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the sites will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. Nevertheless the development principles as set out in Policy PA6, in combination with other adopted local policy and guidance, will provide the necessary framework to guide development proposals in this location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 25 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2236 | Comment | In relation to car parking, the BID would seek that the existing public car parking spaces are either allocated as part of the museum provision or are included elsewhere within the south west corner of the SCAAP area. There is an opportunity with the Empire Theatre as a large basement already exists. A public car park could form part of a wholesale mixed use redevelopment of the Empire theatre. | Noted. OS9: New Southend Museum will include public parking provision. |
| Question 25 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2256 | Comment | Clifftown was scored by $18 \%$ as a top 10 priority | Noted. |
| Question 25; PA6 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2349 | Object | Against redevelopment of Alexandra Street and Clarence Road Car Parks. Both needed for local business and for access to Royals, shops in the High Street and cafes and restaurants for short term use. People will be deterred from using the facilities if they have to go some way to park. Families, older people, those helping older/disabled people all want to set down nearby and not be banished to a multi storey. | Opportunity Sites 16 and 17 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |


| Question 25, PA6 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2378 | Comment | The Clifftown conservation area is poorly lit and pedestrian routes for commuters from Southend Central station are seen as unsafe, where the traditional lighting is cosmetic and does not assist in the safety and security of pedestrians. | Policy DS5 seeks to ensure the provision of appropriate street lighting. Reference will be included for improved lighting in PA6. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 25, PA6 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2380 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea central area parking currently relies on parking in residential streets. This is especially true in the Clifftown area where the theatre and London commuters, rely on on-street parking in the residential areas surrounding. Clifftown Parade is particularly bad and has become dangerous for locals due to speed and congestion made by over parking. This causes stress and major issues for local residents. Car parks on the fringes of the city centre should be built to host and rectify these issues. Any sea front investment, regeneration or build should have a self-sustaining carpark which does not impede the local residents. A Tram system should be investigated further which would solve the train to car issue and reduce road congestion in the area; in turn reducing carbon emissions. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| Question 25, PA6 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2393 | Comment | All regeneration of the Clifftown conservation area should be in keeping with the surrounding residential areas in Clifftown. The whole area should come under planning consent within the Clifftown Article 4 planning policy. <br> There should not be a concentration of Bars and restaurants in the area and if these are brought to the area then no such planning should be given to Wetherspoons or budget chain pubs which cause drinking issues and encourage daytime drinking lowering the tone of the area and degrading surrounding properties. Concentrating bars in one area has proven to be bad for the area and its surroundings. union street in plymouth proves this. sports pubs and night clubs should not be allowed in the clifftown conservation area. only quality high end pubs, restaurants and cafes should be allowed to reflect the residential area of clifftown, thus drawing in financially solvent residents who will naturally have the capital to improve the area themselves and in turn create employment. | Policy PA6 seeks to protect and enhance the character, heritage and amenities of the Clifftown Conservation Area. The provisions of Article 4 Directions are kept under review as appropriate. No changes proposed. |


| Question 25, PA6 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2395 | Comment | Clifftown conservation area should have strict parking control as seen in the residential areas of Thorpe Bay. More double yellow lines should be introduced on all roads in Clifftown especially Clifftown Parade where parking congestion makes the road dangerous due to speeding vehicles and the narrowing of the road by parked cars. The theatre should provide parking as should the Council facilitate parking for commuters elsewhere. All official driveways should be white lined by the council to stop illegal parking and allow residents access to their own driveways. Over parking in Clifftown is a major issue, especially in Clifftown Parade. Over parking ruins what is supposed to be a conservation area, the vehicle fumes is also bad for the buildings and occupants themselves. The summer time parking restrictions do not go far enough and they should be year round. why should we the residents have to adhere to the planning rules in article 4 when all the extra money we spend on keeping our properties in aesthetic order is then ruined by hundreds of cars jam packed in along the streets we live in which ruin the look of the area anyway?! Clifftown Parade should have no on street parking at all. | Traffic management will be kept under review as part of the provisions of Policy DS5 and the Local Transport Plan. No changes proposed. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 25, PA6 | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2404 | Comment | Recommend that paragraph 2 is extended to cover proposals that are outside of a conservation area (particularly those that are adjacent to a conservation area) but offer an opportunity for enhancement of setting. | This is covered by Policy DM5 of the Development Management DPD and Policy DS3 of the SCAAP. |


| Question 25, PA6 | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2405 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: 'Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 25, } \\ & \text { PA6 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2448 | Comment | It is not clear about the plan for outside the Central railway Station. Taxi ranks need to be kept and bus routes need to improve in this area to encourage more people to travel to the station and High street by bus and not use their cars. | Policy PA6a seeks to improve the forecourt, public realm and space in front of Central Railway Station. The provision for taxis, bus stops, street furniture etc. will be taken forward at the design stage. No changes proposed. |
| Question 25, PA6 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2449 | Comment | What is a public square? I do not agree with outside dining unless tables and chairs are properly guarded by a metre high barrier to prevent blind and partially sighted people walking in to them. | The Plan seeks to provide an improvement to soft landscaping and open space provision within the Clifftown policy area. Access arrangements to shops are considered as part of the design stage of planning applications to ensure accessibility for all users. No changes to policy are proposed. |


| Question 25, PA6 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2450 | Object | Again pedestrian and cycle routes are suggested these must be kept separate. Cyclists should be on road space and not pedestrian areas. | Pedestrian and cycle routes will be provided and designed in a safe manner in accordance with best practice and guidance, having regard to the locality, road safety needs and the needs of other road users. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tylers Policy Area - Policy PA7, Opportunity Site 6 (OS6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1954 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the Tylers Policy Area and Opportunity Site | Noted. |
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| Question 26, PA7, OS6 | Mr Harry Chandler [219] | 1987 | Comment | OS6 - For many years I have been disappointed by the lack of a comprehensive bus station in Southend. The present arrangements in Chichester Road are unsatisfactory for both residents of Southend and surrounding area and visitors to Southend. Many people especially elderly residents, mothers with babies and small children and the disabled have to stand in cold and wet weather without adequate shelter and heating. Visitors to Southend to whom I have spoken have been appalled by current arrangements. The glazed structure south of Heygate Avenue is shunned by most bus passengers as it does not appear to be fit for purpose. To be constructive a bus station along the lines of the one in Preston, Lancashire shown below, seems the obvious solution. <br> Having used this bus station for many years, is a joy to use compared with the arrangements in our town, Southend. I understand that the bus station in Preston, opened in 1969, is to be refurbished. On a smaller scale, the bus stations in Harrogate and Bath, both residential and tourist towns, also work well for passengers. <br> The current location of our bus station does not seem to be ideal. The large car park adjacent to the bus station seems to work. It would seem sensible to use this large car par to build a structure similar in purpose to the one in Preston and to provide car parking and a first class bus station for the people of Southend and district and visitors as part of the Better Southend. | Policy PA 7 identifies the potential to relocate the existing Travel Centre (bus station) to the adjacent Tylers Avenue car park as part of a comprehensive redevelopment scheme. However, it is accepted that OS6 does not clearly state why such relocation would be appropriate. It is therefore proposed that the following wording be added to the end of point 5ii of OS6: '...to provide for enhanced passenger transport facilities and improved pedestrian connectivity to the town centre.' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 26, PA7 | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 2005 | Comment | Tylers policy area is a big challenge to get right and maybe the fact that the travel centre is coming down reflects that difficulty. Either way explaining to the public how so much public money was wasted is necessary as well as explaining why it will not happen again. | Noted, OS6 simply sets out the opportunity for relocation of the travel centre. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | Cllr Nevin [489] | 2010 | Comment | Tylers Home zoning approach design features with trees for Quebec Ave to York Rd \& Heygate Ave \& cul-de-sac where possible, redirecting traffic flows down York Road, to design out difficult areas. | Noted. The final design of any Home Zone scheme for these areas will be taken forward in conjunction with transport schemes. They will be able to explore the opportunities of redirection of traffic flows. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | Anglian Water (Sue Bull) [37] | 2023 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 26 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2100 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there have been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 26 | Stockvale <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2115 | Comment | The STOCKVALE GROUP would want to ensure that OS6 Tylers Avenue includes a replacement car park for the existing public spaces plus the parking requirements for a future development. In relation to Public Realm improvements, there is an opportunity to create a southern square as part of the Tylers Avenue proposals and link this through to the pedestrianised High Street. | Noted. Adjustments to the boundary of the OS6: Tylers opportunity site will be made and will accommodate such proposals. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 26 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2118 | Comment | There could also be an improvement of pedestrian connection from the Central railway station of Southend on Sea into the Public Realm and a vast and extensive soft landscape scheme introduced around the Tylers Avenue/High Street connection to the railway station. | Noted. Further provision is made that seeks to improve the connectivity and public realm between Policy Area PA7 and the High Street and the Central Station, with an additional criteria to PA7 as follows: 'improve pedestrian accessibility and public realm enhancement that facilitates better access to the High Street and Southend Central railway station' <br> And the following amendment to PA6.3.b: <br> 'streetscape and landscape design improvements, including urban greening and tree planting, to create well lit walking circuits through Clifftown from a newly created public plaza at Southend Central Railway Station/ Central House, to Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill, facilitating better pedestrian access to the High Street ${ }^{\prime}$ |


| Question 26 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2203 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there has been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The BID would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 26 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2218 | Comment | The BID would want to ensure that OS6 Tylers Avenue includes a replacement car park for the existing public spaces plus the parking requirements for a future development. In relation to Public Realm improvements, there is an opportunity to create a southern square as part of the Tylers Avenue proposals and link this through to the pedestrianised High Street. | Noted. Adjustments to the boundary of the OS6: Tylers Opportunity Site will be made and will accommodate such proposals. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |


| Question 26 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2221 | Comment | There could also be an improvement of pedestrian connection from the Central railway station of Southend on Sea into the Public Realm and a vast and extensive soft landscape scheme introduced around the Tylers Avenue/High Street connection to the railway station. | Noted. Further provision is made that seeks to improve the connectivity and public realm between Policy Area PA7 and the High Street and the Central Station, with an additional criteria to PA7 as follows: 'improve pedestrian accessibility and public realm enhancement that facilitates better access to the High Street and Southend Central railway station ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> And the following amendment to PA6.3.b: <br> 'streetscape and landscape design improvements, including urban greening and tree planting, to create well lit walking circuits through Clifftown from a newly created public plaza at Southend Central Railway Station/ Central House, to Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill, facilitating better pedestrian access to the High Street ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 26 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2257 | Comment | Tylers was scored by $22 \%$ of respondents as a top 10 priority | Noted. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2297 | Comment | I understand that there is thought of transferring the Travel Centre to a larger site, one site might be the Tyler's Avenue car park. If so I would hope that the Council engages with the residents and the bus user in order to create a travel centre fit for purpose together with flats above the travel centre. | Policy PA7 provides for the possible relocation of the Travel Centre to Tylers Avenue car park. Further consultation will be carried out at the planning application stage, if this were considered to be a viable and feasible option. No changes are proposed. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals <br> Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2309 | Support | Policy PA7 seeks to ensure stronger integration within the Central Seafront Policy Area including improved walking and cycling linkages via St John's Church and Seaway Car Park and Marine Parade Opportunity Site (OS8) and via Pier Hill. Enhancing linkages will help to increase footfall, linked trips and in turn, help to bolster the vitality and viability of the town centre and on this basis, Valad (Europe) Ltd support this policy. | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2411 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2451 | Comment | A new travel centre should be covered completely. It would be better located next to Victoria railway station on the old $B \& Q$ site, if not next to the Central railway station. In most towns this happens. Buses would not then hold up the traffic near to the Royals. | A central location for the bus station is considered the most appropriate to serve the needs of the town centre and central seafront area. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 26, } \\ & \text { PA7 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2452 | Comment | What is the public square you refer to? We certainly do not want a shared space like at Victoria Gateway and City Beach. Why are railings to be removed at crossing points? This will cause danger for all pedestrians including children. | Policy identifies the potential for a new public space, as part of an overall development, in the locality of the current travel centre; should this be relocated to the Tylers Avenue car park site. |


| Question 26, <br> PA7 | National <br> Federation for <br> the Blind (Mrs <br> Jill Allen-King) <br> [516] | $\mathbf{2 4 5 3}$ | Comment | Cycle routes must be on road space and not on footpaths or <br> footways. Pedestrians must have uncluttered walk ways with safe <br> pedestrian crossings at all junctions, with audible signals and <br> tactile markings. | Pedestrian and cycle routes will be provided and designed in a <br> safe manner in accordance with best practice and guidance, <br> having regard to the locality, road safety needs and the needs <br> of other road users. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Central Seafront Policy Area - Policy CS1, Opportunity Sites 7, 8,9 and 10 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question 27, CS1, OS8 | Ms Lise Hodgson [467] | 1982 | Object | OS8 - Placing a cinema that close to the beach is a waste of valuable land. A cinema will not attract more people to the town. I do not know anyone who has ever decided to go on holiday somewhere because there is a cinema. If the Council wants another cinema in the town an area further inland would be far more appropriate. <br> Once you are inside a cinema you are not going to spend a lot of money in the area. In the SCAAP the Council says they want to create an area where people want to live, but who would want to live in a place where they have to look out on a cinema instead of beautiful sea views. The Council's plans are completely devaluing our homes and destroying our enjoyment of them. <br> If the Council really wanted to do the best for this area, (which I am beginning to doubt) instead of destroying it as at present, a series of restaurants and cafes with green areas in between would be more in keeping with a seaside town, perhaps with a large underground car park. That would attract people and get them to spend money in the area. Once you are inside a cinema you are not going to spend a lot of money in the area. | Policy CS1 identifies the potential of the seaway car park site to provide for a mixed use development comprising leisure, cultural and tourism facilities which are considered to be appropriate in this location. The possible inclusion of a cinema is considered to be compatible with providing a mix of leisure uses to enhance the offer on this key site. The Policy also sets out design and layout principles to guide development and allow for 'urban greening', creation of new public and private green space, and seek to take advantage of the sites elevation with views of the estuary (OS8). No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS1, OS8 | Ms Lise Hodgson [467] | 1983 | Object | OS8 - Regarding the hotel, is there a need? Since I moved to Southend in 2006 the Royal Hotel in the High Street has been empty and is now being developed as a restaurant. If there was a need for another hotel in the area, surely someone smart would have snapped up this gem long ago. | A hotel development is considered appropriate in this location. Southend has the potential for further hotel development to promote 'longer stay' holidays (see Southend Hotel Futures Report 2010). No changes are proposed. |


| Question 27, CS1, OS8 | Ms Lise Hodgson [467] | 1984 | Object | OS8 - Traffic. Have anybody from the Council ever been in this area during a summer weekend or even weekends leading up to Christmas? The area around the roundabout and Chancellor Road get completely gridlocked at least once a day and the few extra parking spaces in the developer's plan will barely fill the shortfall, let alone accommodate more traffic. | Policy CS1 provides for junction improvements at Queensway/Seaway Car Park/Chancellor Road. All major development proposals will be accompanied by a transport assessment and will have to take account of adopted parking standards. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS 1 | Ms Lise Hodgson [467] | 1985 | Object | Regarding the plans for the seafront, I am worried about all the high rise buildings being planned. If the Council are trying to create Benidorm on Sea, don't forget, we don't have the climate to make up for the dreadful buildings. <br> The Council do not want to make the most of the natural attractions of this place, but please, please, please do not destroy it completely. | The Plan, alongside Policy DM4 of the Development Management Document, seeks to provide for appropriately sited taller and larger buildings having regard to the amenity of the area (Policy CS1). It also seeks to enhance and protect the natural attractions of the area (Policies CS2 and 3). No changes are proposed. |
| Question 27, CS1.10.a | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 1998 | Support | Southend has been the focus of working class seaside attractions for at least 80 years and continues to be so. It is the mainstay of many seafront businesses. Those day trippers often take advantage of the sea front and the town centre facilities so improving the connectivity between the two is crucial. | Noted. |
| Question 27, CS 1 | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 2006 | Comment | Central seafront policy area principles contain reference to the "use of high quality coordinated materials, durable and easy to maintain". May I suggest that such a requirement be applied to all policy areas where appropriate. There is no reason why the seafront should be singled out for exceptional treatment. | Noted, reference to the use of high quality coordinated materials, durable and easy to maintain' will be removed from CS1, as this is covered by Streetscape Manual Supplementary Planning Guidance. |
| Question 27, CS 1 | Cllr Nevin [489] | 2014 | Support | Seaway Like cycle paths, would be happy to have more green area here, as natural viewing point towards seafront and Spanish steps | Noted. |


| Question 27, CS1 | Anglian Water (Sue Bull) [37] | 2024 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS1, OS8 | Mr Michael Davies [493] | 2034 | Support | OS8 - As a long-time resident of the Southend area (since 1959), I have the following comments to make about the proposed development. This area obviously needs development, as it has become progressively more and more run down over the past few years. The council is right to develop the area, and understandably, local business people are excited about the prospect. The SCAAP plan is bold and ambitious. | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 27, } \\ & \text { CS1, OS8 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Michael Davies [493] | 2035 | Comment | In para 192, it mentions a proposed large development area known as Marine Plaza", and that "The site offers potential for taller and larger buildings" However, I feel that this proposed development requires carefully consideration as regards its impact on the local area. Has the Council considered the following aspects? <br> The Kursaal is a historic landmark in a historic seaside town. A tall, multi-storey buildingg right next to it will completely overshadow it, and in my view, look totally out of place. I believe that any building plan should take the current building style into account. In my view, the proposed development may well not do that. If this proposal goes ahead, it will probably not be sympathetic with the existing architecture, and character of the area. <br> I realise that the developers need to make a reasonable profit from their endeavours, and building upwards is always a good way of achieving that end. However, the people of Southend will be the ones that have to live with the result, not the developers, who may not live in the area, and therefore it may not be too much of a consideration for them. A local example of a development that does not fit into the local scene can be found not far away, along the Cliff tops near the Cliffs Pavilion in Westcliff. There are two high rise buildings along there. One is Westward Ho, which has $10 / 11$ storeys (depending on whether you count the ground floor). A little further along is Tower Court, rising 16 storeys into the sky. What a couple of eyesores they are! In my view they should never have been given planning permission. These two buildings look totally and completely out of place. But, now, of course, it's too late. They will remain there, in all their 'glory', and outlive us all. Once mistakes like that have been made, that's it. End of story. There's no going back. <br> Demolition, (although desirable!), is not a realistic option now. In my view, they are on a par with what's now being proposed for the Seaway area. Two nearby cliff top buildings, Stratton House (7 storeys?) and Heathfield House (5 storeys?), are about the same height as the historic Westcliff Hotel (5 Storeys), and the former Overcliff Hotel (long since demolished) and so, don't look too out of place. In my view, douthiend Cimilar approal shoudabe Acti taken with the proposed Seaway development. <br> Another example is- in the 1960s, a long string of tall, square office blocks were thrown up along Victoria Avenue. They now look like shabby eyesores. They served their purpose at the time, of course, but I hope that Southend Council will consider these examples, and bear the future in mind. | It should be noted that Marine Plaza now has planning permission (July 2015) for a residential led mixed-use development and will be allocated within the SCAAP. The Grade II listed Kursaal is recognised as a Landmark Building (Policy DS3) within the SCAAP and any new development proposals within the area will be expected to demonstrate that it is compatible with and/ or enhances key views of the building (Policy DS2). Furthermore, Policy DM5 of the Development Management Document provides detailed policy regarding the historic environment, recognising the significance of heritage assets. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 27, } \\ & \text { CS1, OS8 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Michael Davies [493] | 2038 | Comment | If the whole of the Seaway car park is built on, where will people who now park there to shop in Southend High Street and the Royals, and use the seafront facilities, park? The Royals car park and the ones at the back of Marks and Spencer already get filled up. If Seaway car park disappears, or is severely reduced in size, those two car parks will have even more strain put upon them, much to the frustration of local shoppers and visitors to the town, some of whom may well decide it's just not worth the bother, and head out to Basildon, or other seaside resorts. I note that local traders are also now expressing concerns about parking, as reported on the front page of the Yellow Advertiser of Friday 29 January 2016. | Noted. OS 8 makes provision for car parking in any development scheme. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2083 | Support | Supports the enhancement and quality of the Green and Open spaces along the Central Seafront and the protection of Southend's unique heritage including the nationally important Grade II Listed Southend Pier. | Noted. |
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| Question 27 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 4 6 9}$ | Support | Support enhancement of the Pier as national icon and a <br> significant regeneration and enhancement of this key tourist <br> attraction, which at present underperforms both in terms of its <br> attraction and in terms of its visitor experience. | Noted |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Stockvale <br> Group <br> Representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 0 8 9}$ | Support | Support a higher intensification of residential uses in the Central <br> Area together with a broader mix of commercial uses ranging <br> from A1 retail, A3 restaurant café, D2 leisure and B1 offices to <br> provide a more diverse multi-use High Street and Central <br> Seafront. | Noted. |  |
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| Question 27 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2093 | Comment | Some members of the STOCKVALE GROUP and representatives of the Seafront businesses believe that one way in which the congestion into the Town could be improved is for an additional 3840 parking spaces to be made accessible and available within close proximity to the Seafront and core High Street Area. This is due to the day visitor attraction industry, particularly family attractions such as the Seafront receiving the vast majority of its income in a few weeks of the year. These generally coincide with the school holidays. During this peak period a visitor attraction business needs to be able to accommodate every visitor that wants to visit as these peak days effectively subsidise the operation for the rest of the year. <br> If the access to the main attractions is limited on peak days by the availability of car parking spaces, this could and does have serious impact on the viability of the Seafront businesses. The main parking areas are generally at capacity on peak holiday periods. Any loss of capacity as a result of the SCAAP proposals would result in a cap of visitors during these peak periods. This limits the amount of investment within the Seafront to the current status quo. <br> Transport and access is not just limited to the Seafront and does have a huge impact on the High Street, combined with parking tariffs, access and egress, and poor legibility around the Town Centre. Whilst the changes outlined in the SCAAP from a space and use perspective will do an awful lot to reinvigorate and regenerate the High Street, this must be inclusive of a renewed and fresh approach to parking provision within the SCAAP Area. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Question 27 |  <br> Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2101 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there have been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2103 | Support | The STOCKVALE GROUP note the townscape improvements and guidance on design quality and Heritage preservation and enhancement are inextricably linked to improvements to Public Realm and pedestrian connectivity. The STOCKVALE GROUP like the majority of the Town support the continued regeneration and reinvention of the Towns greatest icon Southend's Pleasure Pier. <br> As there are a number of opportunity sites outlined in the SCAAP document, the STOCKVALE GROUP would suggest that the Council (through the SCAAP document) develop design codes and development briefs to ensure that the townscape improvements and quality of design of future developments meet the aspirational high standard to create a coherent and consistent Central Area. This needs to reflect on the Towns Heritage and look towards the future to create Southend as unique place and destination for leisure, shopping, living and working. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this and will be updated to identify a number of proposal sites that could be subject to a masterplanning approach. |


| Question 27, <br> OS8 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 0 6}$ | Comment | There is concern that proposals for the Seaway Car Park (OS8) <br> are missing the opportunity to see this as a key gateway site for <br> both the Town and Seafront and an opportunity to provide a <br> greatly enhanced Public Car Park provision as part of the overall <br> site redevelopment. | OS8 recognises that this is a key gateway site and opportunities <br> exist to improve connectivity with the central seafront area. <br> Provisions are included within OS8 to achieve this. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The Council has commissioned an independent car parking |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity |  |  |  |  |  |
| of the car parks that service the town centre and central |  |  |  |  |  |
| seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of |  |  |  |  |  |
| the SCAAP. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question 27 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 2 0}$ | Support |  | The STOCKVALE GROUP support the Public Realm <br> improvements and further connectivity down through and into the <br> Seafront. The STOCKVALE GROUP recognise that Pier Hill has <br> had a huge success in this regard. | Noted. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 27, <br> OS8 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 2 1}$ | Comment | There is a greater opportunity to look at the Seaway site as a <br> Gateway both connecting the High Street around St Johns <br> through Lucy Road and down onto the Seafront. This is a <br> fantastic opportunity that could yield both greatly improved Public <br> Realm, High Street offer and experience and a significant number <br> of residential units. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this (Policy CS1). |  |
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| Question 27 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2129 | Comment | The STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to ensure that the Queensway policy area provides connectivity into the Seaway Car Park and the opportunity to see Seaway as a Gateway to the Seafront and the first visual connection to the Sea is not lost. | Noted. These provisions are identified in Policy CS 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27 | Stockvale Group representing Sands \& Southend Radio, Three Shells, Pavilion, Adventure Island, Adventure Sealife (Mr S Kearney) [483] | 2135 | Comment | Due to the topography of the Seaway Car Park there is an opportunity to cut into the site and create a formal entrance that can create a visual gateway as part of the access route. There is an opportunity to accommodate somewhere in the region of 1500 parking spaces arranged over 2-3-4 floors. Traffic movements would then come in directly at the northern edge of the site and filter through into the layered car park. To the south side Lucy Road could then be completely pedestrianised and a punch through to the seafront creating a large piazza activated by new $\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{~A} 3$ uses to compliment both the Central Seafront and the links into the High Street. The pedestrian link would then improve the environs around St John's church. To drive some additional value it is perfectly legitimate to consider a number of floors of residential uses above the car park and retail/A3 commercial offer. | Noted, Some of these aspects are included in Policy CS1. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2185 | Support | Supports the enhancement and quality of the Green and Open spaces along the Central Seafront and the protection of Southend's unique heritage including the nationally important Grade II Listed Southend Pier. | Noted. |


| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2186 | Support | Support enhancement of the Pier as national icon and a significant regeneration and enhancement of this key tourist attraction, which at present underperforms both in terms of its attraction and in terms of its visitor experience. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2192 | Support | Support a higher intensification of residential uses in the Central Area together with a broader mix of commercial uses ranging from A 1 retail, A 3 restaurant café, D 2 leisure and B 1 offices to provide a more diverse multi-use High Street and Central Seafront. | Noted. |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2196 | Comment | Some members of the BID and representatives of the Seafront businesses believe that one way in which the congestion into the Town could be improved is for an additional 3840 parking spaces to be made accessible and available within close proximity to the Seafront and core High Street Area. This is due to the day visitor attraction industry, particularly family attractions such as the Seafront receiving the vast majority of its income in a few weeks of the year. These generally coincide with the school holidays. During this peak period a visitor attraction business needs to be able to accommodate every visitor that wants to visit as these peak days effectively subsidise the operation for the rest of the year. <br> If the access to the main attractions is limited on peak days by the availability of car parking spaces, this could and does have serious impact on the viability of the Seafront businesses. The main parking areas are generally at capacity on peak holiday periods. Any loss of capacity as a result of the SCAAP proposals would result in a cap of visitors during these peak periods. This the amount of investment within the Seafront to the current status quo. Transport and access is not just limited to the Seafront and does have a huge impact on the High Street, combined with parking tariffs, access and egress, and poor legibility around the Town Centre. Whilst the changes outlined in the SCAAP from a space and use perspective will do an awful lot to reinvigorate and regenerate the High Street, this must be inclusive of a renewed and fresh approach to parking provision within the SCAAP Area. | The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |


| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2204 | Comment | Various improvements have been made to the Central Seafront including improved connectivity through Pier Hill and the City Beach. Whilst there has been some improvements to the landscape of the High Street, this needs a much greater consideration and linking to new development. There needs to be greater inclusion of soft landscaping and public spaces and improved connections from the High Street through to the Seafront. This is particularly the case with Seaway Development. This development site represents a great opportunity to create a gateway development and pedestrian links and improved Public Realm linked to the Seafront. <br> The BID would wish to see a breaking down of the linearity of the High Street and the creation of a number of destination and unique quarters. This resonates particularly through with the Tylers Avenue, London Road and Alexandra and Clarence Street opportunity sites. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve these aspects through its policy provisions. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2206 | Support | The BID townscape improvements and guidance on design quality and Heritage preservation and enhancement are inextricably linked to improvements to Public Realm and pedestrian connectivity. The BID like the majority of the Town support the continued regeneration and reinvention of the Towns greatest icon Southend's Pleasure Pier. As there are a number of opportunity sites outlined in the SCAAP document, the BID would suggest that the Council (through the SCAAP document) develop design codes and development briefs to ensure that the townscape improvements and quality of design of future developments meet the aspirational high standard to create a coherent and consistent Central Area. This need to reflect on the Towns Heritage and look towards the future to create Southend as unique place and destination for leisure, shopping, living and working. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this and will be updated to identify a number of proposal sites that could be subject to a masterplanning approach. |


| Question 27 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2209 | Comment | There is concern that proposals for the Seaway Car Park (OS8) are missing the opportunity to see this as a key gateway site for both the Town and Seafront and an opportunity to provide a greatly enhanced Public Car Park provision as part of the overall site redevelopment. | OS8 recognises that this is a key gateway site and opportunities exist to improve connectivity with the central seafront area. Provisions are included within OS8 to achieve this. <br> The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2210 | Support | In relation to OS9 the STOCKVALE GROUP wholly support the Museum but would seek the inclusion of a public car park which would appear to be feasible as the construction method for creating the Museum would involve extensive ground work, which could utilise the lower levels for a covered car park. | OS9 makes provision for public car parking. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2223 | Support | The BID support the Public Realm improvements and further connectivity down through and into the Seafront. The BID recognise that Pier Hill has had a huge success in this regard. | Noted |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2224 | Comment | There is a greater opportunity to look at the Seaway site as a Gateway both connecting the High Street around St Johns through Lucy Road and down onto the Seafront. This is a fantastic opportunity that could yield both greatly improved Public Realm, High Street offer and experience and a significant number of residential units. | Noted. The Plan seeks to achieve this (Policy CS1). |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2232 | Comment | STOCKVALE GROUP would wish to ensure that the Queensway policy area provides connectivity into the Seaway Car Park and the opportunity to see Seaway as a Gateway to the Seafront and the first visual connection to the Sea is not lost. | Noted. These provisions are identified in Policy CS1. |
| Question 27 | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2252 | Comment | 68\% of recipients scored the Seafront as a top 10 priority. | Noted. |


| Question 27 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 2 6 0}$ | Comment | Due to the topography of the Seaway Car Park there is an <br> opportunity to cut into the site and create a formal entrance that <br> can create a visual gateway as part of the access route. There is <br> an opportunity to accommodate somewhere in the region of <br> 1500 parking spaces arranged over 2-3-4 floors. Traffic <br> movements would then come in directly at the northern edge of <br> the site and filter through into the layered car park. <br> To the south side Lucy Road could then be completely <br> pedestrianised and a punch through to the seafront creating a <br> large piazza activated by new A1, A3 uses to compliment both <br> the Central Seafront and the links into the High Street. The <br> pedestrian link would then improve the environs around St John's <br> church. To drive some additional value it is perfectly legitimate to <br> consider a number of floors of residential uses above the car <br> park and retail/A3 commercial offer. | Notevel These aspects are included in Policy CS1. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Question 27, CS 1 | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals <br> Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2312 | Comment | Further wording should be provided which states that the redevelopment of the Central Seafront Policy Area will be key to the success of the Southend Central Area Action Plan and that in turn, it will help to improve the vitality and viability of the town centre and sustain existing facilities in the town centre such as The Royals by increasing footfall and linked trips within the town centre. | There is considered to be merit in bringing greater attention to the role of the central seafront area. It is therefore proposed that paragraph 184 (page 111) be amended to read as follows: <br> 'The Central Seafront Policy Area, as defined on the Policies Map, is a thriving leisure and tourism area. Although there has always been a physical separation of the Central Seafront Policy Area and Town Centre, if access was more straightforward and more pronounced there may be a better exchange of visitors between the Central Seafront and Town Centre and their functions.' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS 1 | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2313 | Comment | The Council should consider whether the inclusion of retail at Opportunity Site 8 would bring further benefits to the town centre. The success of this development and the subsequent beneficial spin off effects will largely be down to how well the site links in with the town centre. | OS8 is a key development site in the central seafront area. The uses identified in the Plan are considered to be the most appropriate given its location adjacent to tourism and leisure facilities. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 27, CSI | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2314 | Comment | The provision of appropriate signage to increase and enhance connectivity between the High Street and the seafront should also be included in the design criteria for both the Policy Area and Opportunity Site 8. | It is recognised that quality signage is essential in the central area. It is therefore proposed that the words ' improve and' are added to Policy CS1 10e so that it would read: 'remove unnecessary street furniture and improve and rationalise signage in accordance with.......' <br> In OS8 add: <br> 'h. the provision of appropriate seating, signage and way finding.' |
| Question 27, CS 1 | Indigo <br> Planning on behalf of Royals Shopping Centre (Helen McManus) [498] | 2315 | Comment | There is a real concern that if the revised proposals (yet to be submitted to the Council) for Fossetts Farm are approved, then town centre developments such as that at Seaway Car Park and further town centre investment generally will not go ahead to the detriment of the town centre. | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy and require a further retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 27, <br> CSI.13.2 | Carter Jonas <br> on behalf of <br> Turnstone <br> Southend Ltd <br> (Mr Matt <br> Hare) [503] | $\mathbf{2 3 2 5}$ | Support | Policy CS1.13.2-Broadly support the proposed policy <br> approach for OS8, but we do suggest some minor <br> changes to the policy wording to Section 13ii in Policy CSI to <br> ensure the delivery of the development. The proposed <br> development would make more efficient use of previously <br> developed land within the town centre | Noted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 27, <br> CS1.13.2 | Carter Jonas <br> on behalf of <br> Turnstone <br> Southend Ltd <br> (Mr Matt <br> Hare) [503] | $\mathbf{2 3 2 6}$ | Comment | Policy CS1.13.2 - Delete the requirement for a development brief <br> to be prepared for the site. A planning application is currently <br> being prepared for the Seaway Car Park site. Section 13ii of <br> Policy CS1 already provides sufficient detail to guide the <br> proposed development on the site. The land required to deliver <br> the proposed development at the Seaway Car Park site is <br> controlled by a single developer. A development brief would add <br> unnecessary delay and cost to the proposed development. <br> Suggested Change: This should be taken forward through the the development brief will be removed as it is <br> considered that there is sufficient detailed contained in the OS8 <br> of CS1 and further detailed will be provided at the design stage <br> preparation of a development brief. Design and layout solutions <br> should allow for: |  |


| Question 27, CS1.13.2 | Carter Jonas on behalf of Turnstone Southend Ltd (Mr Matt Hare) [503] | 2327 | Comment | Policy CS 1.13.2 It should be clearly stated that residential development should be located on the Marine Parade site. Planning permission has already been granted for residential development on the Marine Parade site; referred to as Marine Plaza. Residential uses are not proposed within the current scheme on the Seaway Car Park site. It is not clear whether residential uses would be compatible with the proposed leisure uses, and further investigation would be required if residential uses were proposed. <br> Suggested Change: <br> We request the following changes to Section 13ii of Policy CS1: ii Opportunity Site 8: Seaway Car Park and Marine Parade, the Council will pursue with private sector partners, landowners and developers a high quality, mixed use development including the provision of leisure, cultural and tourism attractions including: restaurants, cinema, gallery, hotel, residential development, public and private open spaces, and car parking. The Marine Parade site would provide most of the residential development for the opportunity site. | The final version of the SCAAP will separate OS8 into Seaway Car Park and Marine Parade, with the latter benefitting from an approved planning permission. <br> It is considered that Seaways may be able to provide some residential development and reference to this is considered appropriate to apply flexibility to the policy. The policy wording has been changed to allow for this to be explored. Policy OS8.13.2 will read: '...including the provision of leisure, cultural and tourism attractions including: restaurants, cinema, gallery, hotel, public and private open spaces, and vehicle and cycle parking. The potential for residential development may also be explored. Design and layout solutions should allow for:' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS1.13.2 | Carter Jonas on behalf of Turnstone Southend Ltd (Mr Matt Hare) [503] | 2328 | Comment | Policy CS1.13.2 There is a requirement for allocated sites to be deliverable and viable, and as such all parts of a policy should meet those requirements. The delivery of a new link from the Seaway Car Park site to Marine Parade is uncertain, and this should be expressed in the policy. <br> Suggested Change: <br> c. explore opportunities for a new link to Marine Parade from the Seaway site designed around 'Spanish Steps' subject to deliverability and viability; | This part of policy seeks to identify possible innovative design solutions to improving connectivity across this key site between the town centre and seafront and seeks to 'explore opportunities.' Including the words 'subject to deliverability and viability' is considered inappropriate. These matters would be considered as part of the planning application process. No changes proposed. |


| Question 27, CS1.13.2 | Carter Jonas on behalf of Turnstone Southend Ltd (Mr Matt Hare) [503] | 2329 | Comment | Policy CS1.13.2 It is not clear at this stage where the coach drop-off point would be relocated to, and it could be on or off site or a combination of both, and as such this uncertainty should be expressed in the policy. <br> Suggested Change <br> e. relocation of the coach-drop off point, either on or off-site or a combination of both, following the development of the Seaway site. | The wording of Policy CS1 will be amended to state that relocation of the coach drop off point should be provided on the Seaways site. Coach parking bays may be provided either on or off-site or a combination of both, as long as offsite provision is well connected to the Seaways site and would not significantly adversely impact the local transport network. Policy OS8 13.2 will be amended as follows: 'relocation of a coachdrop off point within the site. The relocation of coach parking bays may be provided either on or off-site or a combination of both, provided offsite provision is well connected to the Seaways site and would not significantly adversely impact the local transport network; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS1.10g | Belfairs <br> Garden Residents Association (Barbara Armitage) [511] | 2350 | Object | Policy CS1.10g - Against proposal 10 g to further develop City Beach. Comments have been made about flooding, accidents, risks to pedestrians in non-stopping traffic and no marked and lighted official crossing with blister paving put down where there is no crossing risking the lives of blind people. <br> City Beach - Kerbs are not only a safety zone for pedestrians but help to direct rain water to drains which should be adequate. <br> The seafront shared space is dangerous, has no formal crossings and various accidents have occurred. A proper crossing should be in place and not further extension of any shared space. | The extension of the City Beach scheme is considered to be essential to regenerating the central seafront area and to improving the leisure and tourism offer and environment. Issues such as flooding, road safety and provision for vulnerable road users will need to form an integral part of the design stage of the scheme. No changes proposed. <br> Reference to flood mitigation measures will be included in Policy CS1. <br> Reference to managing the road network safely will be incorporated into Policy DS5.a |
| Question 27, CS1.12.ii.c | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2351 | Object | Policy CS1.12.ii.c - The 'Spanish Steps ' are a thoroughly dangerous idea for all users and will have to go through property(ies). This should not be pursued. | This is a key gateway site in the town. This part of policy seeks to identify possible innovative design solutions to improving connectivity across this key site between the town centre and seafront and seeks to 'explore opportunities'. It is essential that the design and layout of the site is of the highest quality that enhances the area and takes full advantage of its location and setting. The needs of vulnerable road users will be taken into account at this design stage. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 27, CS1.12.iii | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2352 | Object | Policy CS1.12.iii - The Museum is in the wrong place not in tune with the leisure area. If just to shore up the cliffs it should be a leisure building. We do not have the like of the Mary Rose in the town and the thought that people coming down for the day to the beach, pier or lagoon will spend time in a museum is not considered to be sensible. | The museum is one of a number of cultural and leisure uses proposed to be incorporated within the new building. No changes proposed. It is considered that a museum is complimentary to other leisure uses and will provide a valued destination. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question } \\ & 27, \text { CS1 \& } \\ & \text { OS8 } \end{aligned}$ | Southend and <br> District <br> Pensioners <br> Campaign <br> (Mr Robert <br> Howes) [476] | 2371 | Comment | (191) Seaways car park and Marine Parade. We do not believe another cinema is sustainable in Southend | Policy CS1 identifies the potential of the seaway car park site to provide for a mixed use development comprising leisure, cultural and tourism facilities which are considered to be appropriate in this location. The possible inclusion of a cinema is considered to be compatible with providing a mix of leisure uses to enhance the offer on this key site. The Policy also proposes design and layout solutions, for 'urban greening' and seeks to take advantage of the sites elevation with views of the estuary (OS8). No changes are proposed. |
| Question 27, CS1 \& OS9 | Southend and District <br> Pensioners Campaign (Mr Robert Howes) [476] | 2372 | Comment | (193) We believe that the Saxon King find should be housed in Prittlewell. It is not appropriate to have this displayed on our seaside tourist area. | The museum is one of a number of cultural and leisure uses proposed to be incorporated within the new building on the seafront, which is considered to be the best location for such a scheme where visitor numbers are at their greatest. No changes proposed. |
| Question 27, CS1 | Southend and District <br> Pensioners <br> Campaign <br> (Mr Robert <br> Howes) [476] | 2373 | Comment | Yes agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the central seafront policy area apart from the above | Noted. |


| Question 27, <br> CSI \& OS9 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2383 | Support | OS9 - Southend-On-Sea museum position on the seafront below Clifftown parade is a good idea in principle but the residents of Clifftown will not allow access to be gained from Clifftown parade and all access to development whether it be by bus coach or car should be via the sea front road and not Clifftown parade, which is a residential road. The Museum should be reduced in height from its current plan to ensure it steps down from the cliff and not in line with the cliff. This drop down would stop the extension that juts out impeding on the Clifftown area, local views and the Victorian design of the area. Any brickwork used in the build should be of high quality and fit with the old red brick of the backdrop houses on the clifftop. The band stand and shelters should be incorporated in to the design of the museum to gently mix new with old and celebrate the heritage as the museum should not only exhibit artefacts and images but the local architecture of the seaside town too. Should the conservation area be extended this would assist in making the whole seafront and town in to a living museum but with a modern function. Remember shabby Chic is the new modern!! If the museum is built then all parking for the museum should be provided by the museum in underground parking (including coaches) and access should be from the seafront and no access at all from Clifftown Parade. This is a residential area and should be protected as such. | The detailed design and layout of any new development at this location will be considered and consulted upon during the planning application process. <br> Policy CS1.13.3 outlines that the design of new development will need to retain the 'open feel' of the area. Policy DM1 of the Development Management Document and the Design and Townscape Guide provides additional design related guidance. In addition the conservation area will be a material consideration. <br> It is recognised that the policy can be further enhanced by outlining that vehicular access of a new development in this location should be via Western Esplanade. Therefore, the following wording is proposed to be added to the policy 13.iii 'Vehicular access should ensure that the primary road network, i.e. via Western Esplanade, is used to access the development and any new parking facilities.' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS1 \& OS7 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2390 | Comment | OS7 - The Council should actively seek investment for the pier and include such things as a proper boat marina for Southend, 24 hour access to the pier, ferry access to the pier, quality restaurants and cafes. Why not move the museum to the end of the pier, have yacht club facilities and a purpose built sheltered marina at the end of the pier? | Policy CS1 seeks to provide for a mix of cultural and leisure uses. Any development would need to have regard to the environmental designation on the foreshore. No changes proposed. |


| Question 27, CSI | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2412 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CSI | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2415 | Comment | In the aims of the Central Seafront Policy Area we would suggest a small word order change as it currently reads as if the Pier is not an iconic landmark, but will be rejuvenated into one, instead of being the iconic landmark that it is which you are proposing will be rejuvenated. | Agreed. It is therefore proposed to amend the first paragraph of the Aims of the Central Seafront Policy Area so that it reads, 'The Central Seafront will be a thriving and vibrant leisure, cultural and tourism area centred on the iconic Grade II listed Pier which will be rejuvenated as a key local landmark and attraction.' |


| Question 27, CS1, Para 184 | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2416 | Comment | Recommend that paragraph 184 in the supporting text includes conservation areas and listed buildings as specific 'environmental designations' as this links through to paragraph 1 of Policy CS 1 which talks about the impact of proposals on 'environmental designations'. | Environmental designations cover SSSI, SPA and Ramsar sites. The term is not meant to cover heritage assets, which are covered by Policy CS 1.4. However, it is proposed to include reference to conservation areas and listed buildings in paragraph 185 as follows: 'There is a need to strike a balance between the protection and conservation of natural and built assets, including Conservation Areas and listed buildings, with the needs of residents and visitors' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CS1. 8 | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2417 | Support | We welcome paragraph 4 of Policy CS1 | Noted. |
| Question 27, CSI | Environment Agency (Miss Lizzie Griffiths) [334] | 2423 | Support | We are very supportive of this policy. Point 5, in particular, is very positive, as it recognises the opportunity that new development provides for integrating tidal defences into the public realm. | Noted. |
| Question 27, CSI | Environment Agency (Miss Lizzie Griffiths) [334] | 2424 | Comment | Point 7 could potentially be strengthened by allowing development south of the sea wall only by exception and where the proposed land use is deemed to be 'water compatible' as defined in the Planning Practice Guidance. | Noted, therefore the following amendment is proposed: ${ }^{\text {Not }}$ normally permit development south of the seawall. Any proposed use will also have to be water compatible as defined in the Planning Practice Guidance.' |
| Question 27, CS1 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2454 | Comment | Up to 1970 we had a direct bus route from Southend Victoria Railway station down the High street, down Pier Hill to and along the sea front. Unfortunately engineers of the day ignored our access committee and went along with their plans of pedestrianising the high street and cutting off the sea front from the high street restricting hundreds of people getting to the shops by bus. | Policy, as part of the sustainable approach to transport, seeks to improve the provision for public transport users and provides for bus priority measures. Specific bus routes are considered as part of on-going partnership working with bus operators. |
| Question 27, CSI | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2455 | Comment | OS8 - Spanish steps will certainly stop many disabled people from getting to the sea front. Spanish steps are not accessible and should not be used. | The provision of 'spanish steps' is part of an innovative design approach to the site. The needs of vulnerable users to access and cross the site will also be taken into account at the design stage of any scheme. |


| Question 27, CSI | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2456 | Comment | The City Beach scheme was built without proper consultation and did not take into account the needs of disabled people. The Courtesy crossings are not legal crossings and cannot be used safely by blind people. The whole area should be reinstated to a proper road with kerbs and proper pedestrian crossings, with audible signals and tactile markings. The City Beach scheme should not be extended. | Further phases of the City Beach scheme will consider the needs of all users and be subject to public consultation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 27, CSI | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2457 | Comment | A bus service should be established from the Kursaal to Chalkwell along the sea front. | Policy, as part of the sustainable approach to transport, seeks to improve the provision for public transport users and provides for bus priority measures. Specific bus routes are considered as part of on-going partnership working with bus operators. |
| Question 27, CS1 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2458 | Comment | OS9 - The proposed new Museum will not be able to be visited by non car drivers if you do not have a bus service, which is discrimination. | Policy, as part of the sustainable approach to transport, seeks to improve the provision for public transport users and provides for bus priority measures. Specific bus routes are considered as part of on-going partnership working with bus operators. |
| Question 27, CSI | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2459 | Comment | Currently there is no cycle route at City Beach and cycles ride illegally on the foot path. A cycle route should be built the whole length of the sea front on the road and not on the footway. The cyclists should stop at pedestrian crossings. | This area has been established as a shared walking and cycling route. Cycle provision forms part of the shared space in the central seafront area. |
| Question 27, CSI | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2460 | Comment | There should be more public toilets, none are listed. | Noted. Toilets and related facilities will be considered at the design stage of any redevelopment scheme and through ongoing review of current provision. |
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| Question 28, <br> Policy CS2 | Natural <br> England (Mr <br> Gordon <br> Wyatt) [264] | 2032 | Comment | Wording of Policy not considered accurate and it is suggested that Policy CS2.1, is amended to read as follows: <br> " 1. Ensure that all development proposals within the Central Seafront Area are accompanied by a Habitats Regulations Assessment and associated documentation to ensure there will be no adverse effect on the European and International foreshore designations (SPA and Ramsar) either alone or in combination with other plans or projects;" <br> The Habitats Directive requires competent authorities to decide whether or not a plan or project can proceed having undertaken the following "appropriate assessment requirements" to: <br> 1. Determine whether a plan or project may have a significant effect on a European site, either alone or in combination; <br> 2. If required (ie when there is a likely significant effect), undertake an appropriate assessment of the plan or project; <br> 3. Decide whether there may be an adverse effect on the integrity of the European site in light of the appropriate assessment. <br> This whole process is generally referred to as Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). <br> The responsibility for carrying out a HRA rests squarely upon the decision-making competent authority; except insofar as it may be appropriate for the competent authority to adopt the reasoning or conclusions of another competent authority as to whether a plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on a European site, or will adversely affect the integrity of a European site. The Regulations transposing the Habitats Directive also provide that a competent authority is not required to assess any implications of a plan or project that would be more appropriately assessed by another competent authority. Planning applications are often accompanied by a document which is described as being a HRA; however such a document produced by or on behalf of an applicant does not have any legal weight and is therefore sometimes referred to as a 'shadow HRA'. <br> As the competent authority, it remains Southend-on-Sea Borough Council's responsibility to produce the definitive HRA; either by adopting an applicant's 'shadow HRA', or by carrying out its own HRA. Where a competent authority chooses to carry out its own HRA, it will normally require the applicant to provide fhe Area Action necessary background information to support the assessment process. | Noted. It is proposed to amend the wording of Policy CS2 point 1 to read: Ensure that all development proposals within the Central Seafront Area are accompanied by a Habitats Regulations Assessment and associated documentation to ensure_there will be no adverse effect on the European and International foreshore designations (SPA and Ramsar) either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.' <br> n Plan (SCAAP) Revised Proposed Submission Consultation Statement - Regulation 19 November 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 28, } \\ & \text { CS2. } \end{aligned}$ | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2353 | Comment | Policy CS2.3 - Under what circumstances could there be development and what is the 'public interest' that could make this possible. | Policy CS2.3 provides for exceptional circumstances where exceptions may be made if there are no alternative solutions or the reasons for the development clearly outweigh the nature conservation value of the site and is in the public interest. Potential flood defence improvements may be an example of where this might apply. No changes proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 28, } \\ & \text { CS2.6 } \end{aligned}$ | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2354 | Comment | Policy CS2.6-Would want to know more about 6 and what kind of high quality visitor facility is envisaged | Such a facility would be subject to a planning application and wider publicity where more detailed information will be available. No changes proposed. |
| Question 28 | Environment Agency (Miss Lizzie Griffiths) [334] | 2425 | Support | We are supportive of this policy which seeks to relieve pressures on the seafront area. We support the idea of drawing people to the waterfront, especially where it may help to raise awareness of the ecosystems and their importance, provided the sensitive areas themselves are protected. | Noted. |
| The Waterfront |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 29, CS3 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1956 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing the Waterfront | Noted. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 29, } \\ & \text { CS3 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2287 | Comment | Provide public Slipways over beach to promote use of small (sail) boats. | Public slipways are provided along the foreshore. The Plan (Policy CS3) seeks to improve such facilities as appropriate. No changes are proposed. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 29, } \\ & \text { CS3 } \end{aligned}$ | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2355 | Comment | Similar to above in that it appears to open the possibility of development which could be manipulated. Transparency will be required in both nature conservation and the waterfront. | The policy wording is considered to achieve an appropriate balance between protecting the waterfront whilst seeking to provide improved leisure facilities. No changes proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 29, CS3 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2391 | Comment | People come to the sea side to sit on the beach so create more sand beaches. Yes there are small stretches that have been rejuvenated, however a lot more of the water front and shoreline need to be more accessible and enlarged, with the spits rebuilt and sand infilled to create beaches the length of the seafront; yes there are natural sites of interest and beach expansion can still happen if managed correctly. Reclaimed beaches across the world are the main success of any area. Example being the new beaches in Gibraltar which were introduced with sheltered sea walls has been the main success of those areas. The beach rather than the seafront road is the most important part of Southend when it comes to attracting tourism and local well-being and fitness. | Policy CS3 promotes the beach and foreshore for appropriate cultural, leisure and tourism activities. Specific proposals for the maintenance of the beach and foreshore is implemented through other Council services. No changes proposed. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 29, } \\ & \text { CS3 } \end{aligned}$ | Environment Agency (Miss Lizzie Griffiths) [334] | 2426 | Support | We support this policy which seeks to integrate tourism activities and recreation with the public realm and biodiversity features. We are particularly supportive of Point 2 , which seems to prevent any impacts on biodiversity or flood risk. | Noted. |
| Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area - Policy PA8, Opportunity Site 11, 12 and 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { PA8 } \end{aligned}$ | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1957 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the Victoria Avenue Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area | Noted. |
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| Question 30, <br> PA8 | London <br> Southend <br> Airport (Ms Jo <br> Marchetti) <br> [471] | $\mathbf{1 9 6 9}$ | Support | We support the regeneration of this area as it is the entrance <br> point to Central Southend from the airport by road. The area <br> currently is not appealing and is run down. The airport is keen to <br> push inbound passengers into the town before heading to <br> London and this area needs to be more attractive in appearance <br> in order for us to market Southend's tourism sites. | Noted. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { PA8 } \end{aligned}$ | Capitia <br> Property Infrastructure On behalf of Genesis Housing [465] | 2029 | Comment | The SCAAP preferred approach is supported. However, Capita P\&l and Genesis consider that the OS11 site does not extend far enough, and that the adjacent Genesis site at Baxter Avenue should be incorporated within the OS 11 site boundary. There are several reasons as to why, these are all explored in the supporting document. These considerations are: <br> - The overall shortfall in housing supply and how the development of the site can help deliver the target; <br> - The policy compliance of the proposal; <br> - The removal of low quality housing; <br> - Given the area of the site, a coherent regeneration masterplan approach should be adopted in accordance with OS11; <br> - The site is well positioned on an access vista and therefore well located for a housing led regeneration initiative. <br> The site is available, achievable and deliverable. <br> The redevelopment of the site would allow for the residential density of the site to be optimised, whilst also providing a quality mixed use development with active frontages. | The Council is considering inclusion of this site as an opportunity site. This will be assessed against information supplied by the owners of the site to demonstrate that development is deliverable by 2021. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 30 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2108 | Support | Wholeheartedly support the improvement on Victoria Avenue as a gateway in to the Town. The STOCKVALE GROUP recognises that much of this work is already underway with the on-going redevelopment of Heath and Carby House. | Noted. The Victoria Avenue/ Queensway junction has benefitted from significant public realm and access improvements as part as the implemented Victoria Gateway Scheme. However, it is considered that policy should still seek further improvements to the public realm and accessibility. |


| Question 30 | Southend Bid (MrS Kearney) [496] | 2147 | Object | The proposed redevelopment of Roots Hall and Roots Hall Stadium are predicated on the Football Club relocating to Fossetts Farm with a significant volume of retail use. Whilst the redevelopment of these sites is supported the retail use and volume at Fossetts Farm would see the end of the High Street as a retail offer. The BID most strongly opposes the Fossetts Farm proposals and any movement of retail away from the SCAAP area and Town Centre. | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact was taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). <br> Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy and require a further retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 30 | Southend Bid (Mr S <br> Kearney) [496] | 2259 | Comment | Victoria Neighbourhood was scored by $22 \%$ of respondents as a top 10 priority. | Noted. |
| Question 30 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) [496] | 2262 | Comment | Whilst the BID do not object or have any particular concern regarding the moving of the Southend Football Club the move is predicted on the suggested development requirement to combine a significant number of retail outlets. This is being presented as a financial necessity to allow the Club to move to new premises, however, if this is supported many if not all the High Street chains are likely to follow. | Noted. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { OS13 } \end{aligned}$ | Southend Bid (Mr S Kearney) [496] | 2270 | Object | Another major issue for the BID is the opportunity site (OS13) redevelopment of Roots Hall, and Roots Hall Stadium. There is extreme concern that the redevelopment of these sites is predicated on Southend Football Club moving out to Fossetts Farm and the supposed enabling development to allow this to happen, which consists of a large quantum of $A 1$ retail units. As highlighted earlier in this representation the retail proposals at Fossetts Farm would be fatal to Southend's retail offer in the High Street and the aspirations for a vast improvement to the retail provision in the SCAAP area. | Noted. Opportunity Site 13 and will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the sites will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. Nevertheless the development principles as set out in Policy PA8, in combination with other adopted local policy and guidance, will provide the necessary framework to guide development if a proposal was to come forward. <br> The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy and require a sequential test and further retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Question } 30$ PA8, OS13 | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2290 | Comment | OS13 - As the parking situation in the ladder roads which connect Fairfax Drive with West Road/Westborough Road is chronic, if planning permission is granted for additional housing on the Roots Hall site, the parking provision on the site does need if possible to be increased by $15 \%$ above the normal requirements. | Any planning application on the Roots Hall site would be determined in accordance with adopted car parking standards as set out in the Development Management Document. No changes are proposed. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question } 30 \\ & \text { PA8, OS13 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2291 | Comment | OS13 - The site used by Prospects Collage located next to Roots Hall was to become part of the Sainsbury development. There is a strong possibility that if Sainsbury do not buy the site, the site will be used for additional housing development. Last year the company Lidi wanted to buy the Prospect site to build a Lidi store. As the site also includes a car park, in my view this development would have been ideally suited for this location, providing employment and services to the local community which would also include the new housing development on the Roots Hall Site. Southend Council should re-engage with Lidi in order to reach a successful conclusion. | OS 13 provides for the development of a mixed use scheme which may include a retail outlet. No changes are proposed. <br> Opportunity Site 13 and will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the sites will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { PA8, OS11 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2292 | Comment | OS 11 - With reference to the redevelopment of the old college site, located next to the Civic Centre, new homes proposed in Victoria Avenue old offices (Heath House and Carby House), parking of vehicles will be a problem unless the developers of large developments are forced to provide parking $15 \%$ in excess of the developments requirements. There is also a need for more Social Housing, Houses not Flats. I understand that additional housing in the High Street and possible Elmer Approach is also being contemplated. | Any planning application on these sites will be determined in accordance with adopted car parking standards. The provision of social housing will be sought in accordance with planning policy as appropriate. No changes are proposed. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question } 30 \\ & \text { PA8 } \end{aligned}$ | Mr Alan Grubb [59] | 2293 | Comment | While I accept the need for additional housing, there does need to be provision for additional school places and a location identified for a new primary school. To this effect I did suggest that serious consideration should be given to the building of a primary school on the old goods yard site at Prittlewell Rail Station. If a school is built on this site, the school would serve the population (children) who would be living in the new housing estates mentioned above and below and in close proximity to all of the proposed developments. <br> Any new school must be built at the same time as the new developments are converted or built. | In terms of education provision the Plan considers that the planned population growth in the central area will be accommodated through the expansion of existing schools. However, it is recognised that in the longer term there may be a need for additional schools and this will be kept under review see also Southend Infrastructure Delivery Plan. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 30, <br> PA8.2 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | $\mathbf{2 3 5 6}$ | Comment | Policy PA8.2 - Concerns that use of backland for 'lanes' type <br> development might occupy car park areas needed for the <br> redevelopment of the substantial buildings in this area into <br> residential and business units forcing cars on to the nearby streets <br> causing problems. | Car parking provision will be taken into account in the detailed <br> design and planning application stage of any scheme. No <br> changes proposed. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 30, <br> PA8.8g | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | $\mathbf{2 3 5 7}$ | Comment | Policy PA8.8g - This is a sensitive junction with an historic <br> building and St, Mary's churchyard. While it will be the Council's <br> intention to take care with any design and appointment of <br> contractors some reassurance perhaps in the press would save <br> questions from local people. | Noted. |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { PA8 } \end{aligned}$ | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2413 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part $L$ are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { PA8, OS } 11 \end{aligned}$ | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2418 | Comment | The Grade II museum building is within Opportunity Site 11 and reference should be made to conserving or enhancing its significance through the comprehensive redevelopment of the area. | Agreed. It is therefore proposed to add to the end of OS 11 the following, The grade II listed old museum building will be conserved and its setting enhanced as part of the proposals for the policy area.' |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { PA8 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2462 | Comment | Victoria Avenue should be rebuilt with more safety features for pedestrians. <br> The cycle route built on the footway outside the Civic centre should be removed. <br> The road should be widened and the cycle track should be on the road, leaving the pavement clear for pedestrians. | These issues will be considered at the detailed design stage of any highway improvements. |


| Question 30, Policy PA8 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2463 | Comment | Traffic lights at Victoria gateway and at the West Road, East Street junctions should have a red light phase to enable pedestrians to cross safely. | These issues will be considered at the detailed design stage of any highway improvements. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 30, } \\ & \text { PA8 } \end{aligned}$ | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2464 | Comment | There is no mention of public toilets or parking for disabled people in the Victoria Gateway area, why not? | These issues would be considered as part of the detailed design of any planning application. |
| Sutton Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area - Policy PA9, Sites PA9.1, PA9.2, PA9.3 and Opportunity Site 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 31, } \\ & \text { PA9 } \end{aligned}$ | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1958 | Support | Agree with the proposed approach to managing development within the Sutton Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area | Noted. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 31, } \\ & \text { PA9 } \end{aligned}$ | The Co- <br> Operative <br> Group (Mr A <br> Thompson) [473] | 1972 | Object | The Co-operative Group would wish to see the inclusion of land at 53-57 Sutton Road Southend within the SCAAP as an additional Opportunity Site. | The Council is considering inclusion of this site as an opportunity site. This will be assessed against information supplied by the owners of the site to demonstrate that development is deliverable by 2021. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 31, } \\ & \text { PA9 } \end{aligned}$ | Anglian Water (Sue Bull) [37] | 2026 | Comment | We would recommend within each Policy Area a requirement is stipulated that the necessary sewerage infrastructure is in place to serve the area before development progresses. | Refer to Rep. 2017. <br> Reference to this has been included within section 4.12 Infrastructure Provision. As it is a statutory undertaking it is not considered necessary to repeat it in planning policy. <br> Noted. Additional supporting is proposed to be included in 4.12 Infrastructure Provision, referencing the need for developers to make provision for the foul sewerage network. |
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| Question 31 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 3 4}$ | Support | Support the Councils aspiration but have no further comments to <br> make in relation to the Sutton Neighbourhood. The <br> STOCKVALE GROUP also support the improvements to connect <br> the Sutton Neighbourhood into the Town Centre, improve <br> connections to key public transport nodes and retail and <br> employment areas. | Noted. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 31 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | $\mathbf{2 2 3 7}$ | Support | Support the Councils aspiration but have no further comments to <br> make in relation to the Sutton Neighbourhood. The BID also <br> support the improvements to connect the Sutton Neighbourhood <br> into the Town Centre, improve connections to key public <br> transport nodes and retail and employment areas. | Noted. |  |
| Question 31 | Southend Bid <br> (Mr S S | $\mathbf{2 2 5 8}$ | Comment | Sutton Neighbourhood was scored by 22\% of respondents as a <br> Kearney) <br> top 10 priority. | Noted. |  |
| 496] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Question 31, } \\ & \text { PA9 } \end{aligned}$ | Historic <br> England (Dr <br> Natalie <br> Gates) [514] | 2414 | Comment | Paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 relates to energy efficiency and relates to existing development. We note that versions of this paragraph appear under other policy areas and opportunity sites, so the following comments also apply. <br> Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance. Special considerations under Part L are also given to locally listed buildings, buildings of architectural and historic interest within registered parks and gardens and the curtilages of scheduled monuments, and buildings of traditional construction with permeable fabric that both absorbs and readily allows the evaporation of moisture. Any policy encouraging energy efficiency should note that the application will be different in relation to these classes of buildings. Further information can be found in the Historic England advice Energy Efficiency and Historic Buildings -Application of Part $L$ of the Building Regulations to historically and traditionally constructed buildings https://historicengland.org.uk/images- <br> books/publications/energy-efficiency-historic-buildings-ptl/. This similarly applies to Policy PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA7, CS1, PA8 and PA9. | This point is noted. It is therefore proposed to reference this in paragraph 85 as follows: Listed buildings, buildings in conservation areas and scheduled monuments are exempted from the need to comply with energy efficiency requirements of the Building Regulations where compliance would unacceptably alter their character and appearance.' <br> It is proposed to add the words 'as appropriate' in paragraph 4 of Policy PA6 so that it reads, 'Promote energy efficiency as appropriate, including.....' <br> This would necessitate similar changes to all other policy areas. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 31 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2465 | Comment | In the Sutton Gateway neighbourhood this whole area is not very clear about open spaces and I would like to know what this means. | This refers to the Opportunity Site 14 at Sutton Road and the potential to incorporate open space within the development scheme. |

Part D: Implementation and Monitoring Framework

| Development Phasing |  |  | Support | Agree with the indicative phasing of development within the <br> SCAAP area | Noted. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 32 | Essex <br> Chambers of <br> Commerce <br> (Mr John <br> Dallaway) <br> [452] | 1959 | Sup |  |  |
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| Question 32 | Capitia <br> Property Infrastructure On behalf of Genesis Housing [465] | 2031 | Comment | The SCAAP preferred approach is supported. However, Capita P\&I and Genesis consider that the OS11 site does not extend far enough, and that the adjacent Genesis site at Baxter Avenue should be incorporated within the OS 11 site boundary. There are several reasons as to why, these are all explored in the supporting document. These considerations are: <br> - The overall shortfall in housing supply and how the development of the site can help deliver the target; <br> - The policy compliance of the proposal; <br> - The removal of low quality housing; <br> - Given the area of the site, a coherent regeneration masterplan approach should be adopted in accordance with OS11; <br> - The site is well positioned on an access vista and therefore well located for a housing led regeneration initiative. <br> The site is available, achievable and deliverable. <br> The redevelopment of the site would allow for the residential density of the site to be optimised, whilst also providing a quality mixed use development with active frontages. | The Council is considering inclusion of this site as an opportunity site. This will be assessed against information supplied by the owners of the site to demonstrate that development is deliverable by 2021. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicative Figures for SCAAP Potential New Developments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 33 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1960 | Support | Believe it to be useful to include indicative figures for potential new development to enable private sector partners to fully appreciate the level of commitment required which will help to facilitate partnership working and delivery | Noted. |


| Implementation - Projects and Tasks |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 34 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1961 | Support | Agree. Please see response to question 33 <br> 'Believe it to be useful to include indicative figures for potential new development to enable private sector partners to fully appreciate the level of commitment required which will help to facilitate partnership working and delivery'. | Noted. |
| Implementation - Approach |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 35 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1962 | Support | Agree with overall approach for the Implementation Plan | Noted. |
| Question 35 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2358 | Comment | Regular updates on progress of projects would be valuable. The Council needs to be in control of its own plan and not be unduly influenced by the objectives of partners. | Progress is regularly provided in Annual Monitoring Reports. |
| SCAAP Monitoring Framework |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 36 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1963 | Support | Agree with Monitoring Framework | Noted. |
| General and Further Comments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question 37 | Essex <br> Chambers of Commerce (Mr John Dallaway) [452] | 1964 | Support | No further comments | Noted. |
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| Question 37 | London <br> Southend <br> Airport (Ms Jo <br> Marchetti) [471] | 1970 | Support | Overall LSA strongly supports the redevelopment of the areas outlined in the SCAAP documents and is pleased to see that improvements are planned for the area which will in turn make it easier to attract inbound visitors. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 37 | Milton <br> Conservation <br> Society (Mr <br> Andy <br> Atkinson) <br> [488] | 1981 | Comment | Town centre planning in Southend (as in many other towns it has to be acknowledged) has been little short of disastrous since the war with only odd examples of good buildings. The last people to build to a consistently high quality were the Edwardians, saying very little for modern town planning. If we can adopt the right, aggregated approach with genuinely good quality architecture we might start again to build a long term high quality, human town centre where the best retailers want to participate, mixed uses can succeed and the town might earn the thriving city status it so wants. | The SCAAP seeks to promote design excellence and good quality development proposals and public realm improvements to reinforce a distinctive sense of place. The importance of high quality, innovative design is also set out within the Development Management Document Policy DM1 and further guidance contained within the Design and Townscape Guide SPD. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 37 | Ms Lise Hodgson [467] | 1986 | Comment | Finally I would ask the Council to be more open with your plans. When I bought my flat my solicitor did the usual searches and got told there were no plans for the area. A few months later the first plans for Seaway were published. I do not believe these were drawn up in such a short time. I know the Council does not have a legal obligation to reveal plans, but surely you have a MORAL obligation so that people can make the right decision where to live. Had I known about the Council's plans for this area I would have saved myself the heartache I'm going through now and not bought the flat. | The SCAAP has been subject to extensive public consultation since 2007. The development potential of Seaway Car Park has been recognised for a number of years and was identified in earlier iterations of the Plan and other plans (adopted Borough Local Plan, March 1994). No changes are proposed. |
| Question 37 <br> Part A, <br> Strategic <br> Planning <br> Context | Burges Estates <br> Residents <br> Association [176] | 1989 | Comment | Reference is made to work being jointly undertaken to establish jobs and housing need. Jointly with whom? And is there a need to review the core strategy in the light of that further work. What timescales are we talking about? To what extent will anyone be able place any reliance on the SCAAP knowing it is so very tentative? | The SCAAP seeks to deliver the remaining growth targets for the town centre and central area set out in the Core Strategy by 2021. <br> Paragraph 7 of the SCAAP explains the preparation of a new Southend Local Plan. It will replace the existing Core Strategy and include a review of the SCAAP. Evidence on housing and economic need is being prepared by the south Essex authorities. |


| Question 37 Context G | Burges Estate Residents Association [176] | 1991 | Comment | On page 7 there is reference to successful recent public realm and access improvement schemes. Some examples would be useful because I cannot think of them. | Such schemes include City Beach and Victoria Gateway. It is not considered necessary to reference these in the context and issues section. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 37 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2066 | Comment | Changes in consumer behaviour, the growth in car ownership and its impact on accessibility of in and out of Town Centre shopping are reasonably well understood. This is particularly likely to be an issue should the Council grant the Fossetts Farm application to subsequently increase a retail offer in an out of town centre location. | Noted. |
| Question 37 |  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | 2068 | Comment | The growth of out of town shopping centre has been widely blamed for Town Centre decline and planning policies have attempted to restrict this growth, which the Stockvale Group wish to see reflected in the Council's determination of planning applications at Fossetts Farm. (The outcome of Planning Applications for extensive retail at Fossetts Farm will determine the value of the Council progressing the SCAAP process. If Fossetts Farm retail development is approved the Stockvale Group feel the SCAAPs aspirations will be undeliverable. | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundary. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact was taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy provisions and require a further retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |


| Question 37 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 6 8}$ | Comment | Changes in consumer behaviour, the growth in car ownership <br> and its impact on accessibility of in and out of Town Centre <br> shopping are reasonably well understood. This is particularly <br> likely to be an issue should the Council grant the Fossetts Farm <br> application to subsequently increase a retail offer in an out of <br> town centre location. | Noted. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 37 | Stockvale <br> Group <br> representing <br>  <br> Southend <br> Radio, Three <br> Shells, <br> Pavilion, <br> Adventure <br> Island, <br> Adventure <br> Sealife (Mr S <br> Kearney) <br> [483] | $\mathbf{2 1 7 0}$ | Comment | The growth of out of town shopping centre has been widely <br> blamed for Town Centre decline and planning policies have <br> attempted to restrict this growth, which the Stockvale Group wish <br> to see reflected in the Council's determination of planning <br> applications at Fossetts Farm. (The outcome of Planning <br> Applications for extensive retail at Fossetts Farm will determine <br> the value of the Council progressing the SCAAP process. If <br> Fossetts Farm retail development is approved the Stockvale <br> Group feel the SCAAPs aspirations will be undeliverable). | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP <br> boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at <br> Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential <br> impact was taken into consideration in the preparation of the <br> Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). |
| Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning <br> permission, be subject to planning policy and require a further <br> retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question 37 | Southend Bid (MrS <br> Kearney) <br> [496] | 2264 | Object | In relation to the Fossetts Farm development. Proposals to have a large quantum of A 1 retail provision would have a major impact on the Town Centre which is highly likely to lead to a further decline of an already struggling retail offer within the High Street and surrounding environs. Furthermore, the highway connection and infrastructure would not support the level of traffic journeys that the proposals at Roots Hall are likely to generate. <br> The BID would ask that the Council ensure that in accordance with advice in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) a sequential test is undertaken and would like to be informed of the conclusions in relation to the impact on the vitality and viability of the Town Centre. The BID are rightly concerned that the Fossetts Farm proposals will have negative impact on the future of the High Street and the existing retail economy of the SCAAP area. | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). <br> Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy and require a sequential test and further retail impact assessment. No changes are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2272 | Comment | Ensure that all local parks have sufficient toilet facilities | Toilet provision is administered and maintained through associated Council services and will not be detailed within the SCAAP. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2273 | Comment | In particular to insist that the Tea-shop / Café in Southchurch park is regularly cleaned, re-painted and that an appropriate menu is available. | This is outside the plan area. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2274 | Comment | Maintain the Free Bus passes for elderly residents | This is not a planning matter. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2275 | Comment | Ensure there are Police available to respond to incidents 24/7 | This is not a planning matter. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2470 | Comment | Crack down on crime | The Plan in association with other local planning policy seeks to achieve quality design in new developments to design out crime, to maintain and upgrade CCTV provision where appropriate. No changes are proposed. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2277 | Comment | Educate people Out of Spitting in public | This is not a planning matter. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2278 | Comment | Action heavily on people for not picking-up their dog's Faeces | This is not a planning matter. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2282 | Comment | Ensure local Tax-breaks, of Real Value, to attract New / Start-up businesses | This is not a planning matter. |


| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2283 | Comment | Provide for local residents to travel to Pier head Free or Halfprice | Pricing of leisure and tourism facilities is not a planning issue. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2288 | Comment | Widely advertise Air routes from Southend Airport | Outside the Plan area and not a planning matter. |
| Question 37 | Mr Rod Levin [497] | 2289 | Comment | Review plans for Old Leigh. It has the potential for a First Rate Marina and Pleasure-land with residential and Hotel accommodation. Develop as 'Oldie-World'. | This is outside the plan area. |
| Question 37 | Mr Paul Bethell [499] | 2316 | Comment | It is far too technical for most people not involved in planning to understand | A non-technical summary document was published along with the Preferred Approach version. A similar document will be published with the Proposed Submission Plan. |
| Question 37 | Belfairs <br> Garden <br> Residents <br> Association <br> (Barbara <br> Armitage) <br> [511] | 2359 | Comment | The demographics have not been considered. There are statistics to show that the proportion of older people in Southend will increase. With age these people will become less able or mobile and therefore it is unlikely that the emphasis on walking or cycling will be viable. The plan is based on more active folk to the detriment of those who are living longer and still expect a reasonable quality of life. | It is considered that the Plan adequately addresses the needs of all road users. No changes proposed. |
| Question 37 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2374 | Comment | This process has not been made user friendly at all and it even appears to have been made deliberately complex so that the general public get lost in legal jargon and policy grammar. You will not gain a real sense of what Southend people want or need through a complex series of download PDFs and this form! | Public consultation has been carried out throughout the Plan making process and every effort has been made to make the documents as 'user friendly' as possible. A non-technical summary document was published along with the Preferred Approach version. A similar document will be published with the Proposed Submission Plan. |
| Question 37 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2384 | Comment | Southend-On-Sea Council need to draw large companies out of London and encourage large build office space and technology parks on the outskirts of the city. | Noted. The SCAAP only covers the central area of the town. |


| Question 37 | Procuresure Consulting (Mr Barrie Evans) [513] | 2394 | Comment | Like it or not the founding reasons of Southend's original success was rich London families who wanted to live by the sea. Their money was its reason for success and the city needs that cash injection again. Attracting London professionals to the area would not force out social or affordable housing but actually pay for it, create jobs and maintain a balance in society which Southend Centre currently lacks. This would also break down that Leigh On Sea and Southend social divide which is ridiculous as Southend itself could be far nicer than crammed in Leigh On Sea with its lack of sea front and crammed streets. | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question 37 | Historic England (Dr Natalie Gates) [514] | 2396 | Support | Pleased to see that heritage has been integrated into the plan. We do not have any over-riding concerns in relation to the plan. | Noted. |
| Question 37 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2466 | Comment | When considering any of this plan you must take in to account your responsibilities under the Equality Act. <br> With the numbers of elderly and disabled people that will live in Southend during the next ten years I do not think you have taken enough account of it. No listed Sheltered housing, no day centre facilities for disabled people, no parking for disabled people, no facilities for guide dog owners or other assistance dogs, no public toilets. <br> The maps referred to were not explained on the cd so I could not comment on them. <br> There were many references to your Website which I and many other blind people do not have access to. | The Plan seeks to provide facilities for all users that are safe and accessible. The SCAAP will be accompanied by an Equalities Impact Assessment. |
| Question 37 | National Federation for the Blind (Mrs Jill Allen-King) [516] | 2467 | Comment | Millions of pounds were wasted on the Travel Centre, Victoria Gateway and City beach. Before wasting millions of pounds will the Council please consult with local residents and listen to what we say and not ignore us like you did in 1970 and in 2006 and 2008. <br> When consulting Stakeholders, please include all residents living in the Southend district and not only a few listed in your appendix. | Appropriate public consultation in line with statutory requirements is carried out at all stages of plan preparation. |


| Question 37 | Amec Foster <br> Wheeler on <br> behalf of <br> National Grid <br> $[519]$ | $\mathbf{2 4 6 8}$ | Comment | We have no comment to make | Noted. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP) Revised Proposed Submission Consultation Statement - Regulation 19

# Appendix 3i Addendum - Updated Response to Representations made on Parking and Related Matters 

Southend Central Area Action Plan<br>Consultation Statement Addendum Parking and Related Comments

Introduction

A Consultation Statement providing a summary of the representations received on the Preferred Approach version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (SCAAP, November 2015), was published in September 2016 for Southend Cabinet. The statement was prepared to comply with the requirements of Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulation 2012.

The Council's response to a number of representations received on parking and related matters contained in the Preferred Approach stated:
'The Council has commissioned an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP'.

The parking study has now been completed and as a result of its findings a number of amendments to the text and policy provisions of the Southend Central Area Action Plan have been made as part of the next stage of plan preparation, namely the Submission Version of the Plan. The Submission Version will be published for a further six week period of public consultation from $3^{\text {rd }}$ November to 5 pm on $16^{\text {th }}$ December 2016.

The main proposed amendments to the Plan, included within the submission version of the SCAAP, as a result of the parking study findings and with regard to the representations received are set out in this Consultation Statement Addendum and its accompanying Appendix.

## Parking Study

Steer Davies Gleave were commissioned by Southend-on-Sea Borough Council in April 2016 to undertake a review of current and future publicly available car parking provision in the Southend Central Area.

The study reviews current and future public car parking provision in the Southend Central Area. It sets out the current level of use of the existing parking network, the potential impact of development proposals and assesses the economic importance of parking in Southend Central Area based on a recent survey of visitors to Southend Central Area.

This informed the development of recommendations for a future car parking strategy for the Southend Central Area. The study's finding can be viewed via the evidence page at

## Proposed Amendments to the Plan

As a result of the study's findings, and having regard to representations received on the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan, a number of amendments to the Plan are proposed:

- to the 'Transport, Access and Public Realm' section of the 'Context and Issues for the Southend Central Area';
- to the 'Strategic Objectives' relating to car parking provision;
- to Section 4.11 of the Plan relating to Transport, Access and Public Realm incorporating new sections on car parking management and variable messaging signs;
- to incorporate extensive changes to Policy DS5 relating to Transport, Access and Public Realm with reference to the need to not undermine the Central Area's ability to accommodate visitor trips and ensuring that there is no net loss in car parking to the south of the Southend Central Area;
- to Policy PA7 relating to Tylers Avenue Policy Area emphasising the need for replacement car parking in line with adopted policy; and
- to Policy CS1 relating to the Central Seafront Policy Area emphasising the need for replacement car parking in line with adopted policy and for improved variable messaging and signage.

Details of these proposed amendments are shown in the attached Appendix.
Appendix A - Details of Proposed Changes to the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan (September 2015) relating to Parking and Related matters

Proposed changes shown in red italics.
Note: The following proposed changes have been incorporated into the Submission Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan and subject to public consultation.

1) Context and Issues for the Southend Central Area (see page 9 of the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan)

Amend point ' $g$ ' to read as follows:
'g. Transport, Access and Public Realm - the car continues to dominate parts of Southend Central Area and the highway severs links between gateway neighbourhoods and the town centre. With a low rate of car ownership in the Southend Central Area there is a need to build on the success of recent public realm and access improvement schemes to secure a more pleasant and accessible environment, encouraging more sustainable modes of transport including public transport, walking and cycling around the Central Area and beyond, whilst acknowledging the role the car plays in this balance.

The Central Area car parks play a vital role in sustaining the economic viability of the areas retail and tourism functions. It will be important to ensure that a level and quality of provision is provided that supports the vitality and viability of Southend Central Area.

The Parking Study for Southend Central Area identifies that the car parking network within Southend Central Area rarely exceeds 85\% occupancy. It does identify however that there is a clear imbalance in the Southend Central Area parking network at periods of peak demand, with car parking to the south of the central area experiencing overcapacity issues, while car parking to the north has available spare capacity.'
2) Strategic Objectives (see page 17 of the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan).

Amend point 8 to read as follows:
'8. To promote a positive approach to public car parking provision that provides public car parking levels that support the vitality of the town centre and central seafront area, managing the balance of parking provision to address peak demand and capacity, and provide good access to the seafront by encouraging improvements to the quality of access to and from parking areas that are convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure.'
3) 4.11 Transport, Access and Public Realm (see page 57 of the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan)

Replace 'Car Parking Management' sub-section with new sub-sections to read as follows:

## 'Approach to Car Parking Management

Well informed and effective parking management techniques are likely to contribute towards an efficient transport network in and around the SCAAP area, ensuring that visitors are directed to convenient and accessible parking areas to access the many facilities and services that the town centre and central seafront area have to offer and helping to mitigate the negative impacts of congestion. To assist with development of this approach, Southend Borough Council commissioned a Car Parking Study (the 'Study') for Southend Central Area.

The Study reviews current and future car parking provision in Southend Central Area. It sets out the performance of the existing parking network, and the potential impact of development proposals on the network. It also assesses the economic importance of parking in Southend Central Area based on a recent survey of shoppers. As a result it provides a good indication of modes of travel and associated spend within Southend Central Area. It reveals that all visitors, including those who travelled by car, bus, train, cycle or walk, contribute to the local
economy by spending in Southend Central Area. It also shows that generally car users spend more but visit less offen than other mode users.

The Study found that the Southend Central Area parking network rarely exceeds 85\% occupancy. On the busiest day of 2015 (a Saturday in August) the peak network demand in Southend Central Area was 87\% occupancy from 15:00 to 16:00. At all other times, demand was below 85\%. It did identify however that there is a clear imbalance in the Southend Central Area parking network at periods of peak demand, with car parking to the south of the central area experiencing overcapacity issues, while car parking to the north has available spare capacity. Overall, the Study shows that parking areas to the south of Southend Central Area were busiest, and exceeded 85\% occupancy on one in every ten days between May 2015 and April 2016.

The Study also considered the impact of the proposed indicative development outlined in the SCAAP Opportunity Sites, as well as approved planning applications (as of 1 April 2016), on car parking. It revealed that the additional parking expected to be provided by development in Southend Central Area, supported by existing network provision, is likely to accommodate future demand for car parking generated in the plan period up to 2021. However, this is indicative only and further work will be needed, in the light of the Study, to ensure that parking supply is carefully balanced between the car parks and development sites north and south of the Central Area.

It is considered, therefore, that collectively the car parks located in Southend Central Area have the potential to serve both the Town Centre and Central Seafront, facilitating linked trips and increasing the potential for associated shared spend. Nevertheless it is also recognised that those car parks which are approximately 10 minutes' walk from the shoreline (south Central Area, i.e. those generally located south of the central railway line) are better positioned to provide more direct and convenient access to the Central Seafront area, which is the focus of the tourism and leisure resort.

The Study identifies around 2,550 publicly available car parking spaces to the south of the central area, approximately 10 minutes' walk from the shoreline, serving both the seafront and southern parts of the Southend Central Area. As a result of the peak capacity issues, as identified by the Study, and to support the vitality and viability of the central seafront area, it is expected that there will be no net loss of public car parking to the south of the Central Area. Given the constraints and limited land availability of the Central Area, opportunities to increase car parking to the south will be limited, however where viable and feasible, the Council will seek further provision in association with development. One example of this is the New Southend Museum (Opportunity Site CS1.4), which has planning permission for approximately 220 public car parking spaces.

Furthermore the SCAAP will also seek to ensure that the existing traffic management network is as efficient and effective as possible to manage these periods of peak usage of the road network and car parks. Car parking demand
within the SCAAP network will be managed through a combination of the following measures.

- ensure there is no net loss in car parking to the south of the Central Area (located within 10 minutes' walk of the shoreline and generally located south of the central railway line), and to maintain overall capacity at a level that supports the vitality and viability of the SCAAP area, and enables the delivery of relevant Opportunity Sites;
- seek to rebalance the discrepancies of parking supply and demand to the north and south of the Southend Central Area by acting on the outcomes of the Study;
- application of Policy DM15 of the Development Management Document, particularly in respect to the requirements for transport assessments, travel plans and parking standards;
- the use of Smart technology providing up to date customer information and enhancing Variable Messaging Signs (VMS), detailed below, to direct visitors to the car parks with appropriate capacity within the overall car parking network for the SCAAP area;
- $\quad$ significant improvements to the public realm and car parks, to create a safe and pleasant environment in which to park a vehicle, in combination with well-signed routes, enhanced pedestrian links with good legibility to and from different parts of the SCAAP area, particularly between the Town Centre and Central Seafront;
- flexible, responsible and differential competitive pricing arrangements for car parking within the overall SCAAP network to provide consumer choice and options, taking account of demand, as well as convenient ways to pay for and top up parking;
- keep car parking capacity and demand under review to ensure that this capacity remains at a level to assist economic growth and regeneration;
- deliver strategic junction and transport improvements to improve vehicle circulation and the promotion and implementation of sustainable transport measures.


## Variable Messaging Signs and Managing Car Parking and the Network

An extension of the existing car park Variable Messaging Signs (VMS), or updated technology, is encouraged to direct drivers to the most convenient car park and avoid unnecessary circulating traffic. This may be particularly beneficial, together with the promotion of other sustainable travel modes, during seasonal visitor peaks where parking is at greater demand, such as the summer months and during December. Improving access to a number of the SCAAP car parks by managing the road network will provide enhanced access to and between SCAAP car parks and help reduce traffic circulating through the town centre and Central Seafront.'
4) Policy DS5 - Transport, Access and Public Realm (see page 59 of the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan)

Amend Policy DS5 to read as follows:
'1. In order to improve access to, from and within Southend Central Area through the implementation of the Opportunity Sites and Policies within this Plan, the determination of planning applications and other initiatives, and partnership working, the Council will:
a. Provide strategic junction improvements to improve vehicle circulation and to accommodate inward investment and growth;
b. Seek to better manage demand on the road network leading to, from and within the SCAAP area safely, and balance this with the needs of other modes, particularly where this would give greater reliability to road users and priority to pedestrians, cyclists, public transport users and other vulnerable road users;
c. Implement sustainable transport measures in line with the Transport, Access, and Public Realm Strategy as set out in Appendix 5;
d. Have regard to Policy DM15 of the Development Management Document, particularly in relation to sustainable transport measures, travel plans, transport assessments, parking standards and the provision of facilities for charging electric vehicles and other ultra-low emission vehicles;
e. Work with bus operators to encourage more users through a programme of bus priority measures, encouraging non-car trips to the SCAAP area, and enhance services later into the evening to serve the night time economy;
f. Ensure bus priority measures enhance the A13 passenger transport corridor and the connections with London Southend Airport and the seafront, focusing on the Queensway dual-carriage way junctions at London Road, Southchurch Road and Seaways;
g. Improve the quality of existing and promote the creation of new pedestrian and cycle priority routes to improve access to the SCAAP area, considering the potential for mixed-mode or segregated priority routes where appropriate;
h. Improve gateway crossings for pedestrians at key locations on Queensway dual carriageway and routes into the SCAAP area from surrounding neighbourhoods;
i. Encourage businesses to provide appropriate service and delivery arrangements and minimise their environmental impact; working with the freight industry and logistics to implement more efficient use of vehicles in terms of guidance, zoning and delivery timetables and this can be set out in a freight management plan;
i. Review signage and implement an integrated signage strategy for vehicles, buses, freight, pedestrians and cyclists, including its integration with public art where possible, ensuring signage is kept to a minimum and appropriately scaled and sited to avoid cluttering the streetscape. Make full use of technology to facilitate the shift to sustainable transport modes;
k. Ensure street lights are maintained, CCTV is prominently sited, and public transport and taxis operate after dark to help improve the perception of safety within Southend Central Area;
I. In order to promote and reinforce local distinctiveness, ensure all public realm improvement works, including those outlined in the relevant Policy Areas, seek to provide a coordinated palette of materials, facilitate a reduction in street clutter, consider the needs of all users, including
vulnerable and disabled users, the provision of additional seating where appropriate to provide resting places, and have regard to guidance within the Design and Townscape Guide and Streetscape Manual;
m . Encourage visually active frontages through the installation of public art, green walls, well detailed signage, and appropriately placed windows and entranceways to enliven blank frontages, as defined on the Policies Map;
n. Improve road safety and the quality of the environment by introducing traffic calming and related measures within predominantly residential areas as appropriate
2. In order to support the vitality and viability of the SCAAP area the Council will:
a. Maintain parking capacity* within Southend Central Area at a level that supports vitality and viability and does not undermine the Central Area's ability to accommodate visitor trips, whilst enabling the delivery of relevant opportunity sites;
b. Ensure that there is no net loss in car parking to the south of the Southend Central Area;
c. Seek to rebalance the discrepancies of parking supply within Southend Central Area by acting on the outcome of the Parking Study and work with private car park owners and operators to ensure maximum usage of car park capacity;
d. Assess the costs and benefits of an extension to the existing VMS scheme, or updated technology to enable real-time direction of drivers to the most appropriate car park for their destination based on proximity and available capacity, avoiding unnecessary circulating traffic, and by giving consideration to the management of the road network and access points to car parks;
e. Improve the information available about the range of parking and sustainable travel options for visitors to Southend, including improvements to the Council website and through working with local businesses;
f. Seek to relieve the pressure on the more well-used car parks at peak times and encourage use of less occupied car parks through a combination of dynamic signage, competitive pricing and pre-journey information;
g. Ensure pedestrian routes to and from public car parks, railway stations and other public transport interchanges are direct, well-lit and signposted, benefiting from a high quality public realm that links well with main areas of interest;
h. Ensure new and existing car parks add to the overall aesthetic quality of an area through such measures as landscaping, green walls, pubic art, pedestrian walkways and pedestrian permeability, as well incorporating innovative layouts to reduce visual impact and effect on key views within and to Southend Central Area.

* Parking capacity includes provision for cars, motorcycles, taxis, bicycle and Blue Badge holder provision

3. The Council will work in partnership with key stakeholders to improve transport infrastructure and access in Southend Central Area, and to secure funding for transport and public realm improvements.'
5) Policy PA7 Tylers Avenue (see page 107 of the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan)

Amend section 3 ii) to read as follows:
'ii) Any development of the Opportunity Site should address a need for replacement car parking provision in line with Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm, identifying how any displaced parking needs are to be met on the site or in this part of the town centre and explore the potential for relocating the travel centre on the northern extent of the site where applicable to provide for enhanced passenger transport facilities and improved pedestrian connectivity to the town centre and central railway station;'
6) Policy CS1 Central Seafront Area (see page 113 of the Preferred Approach Version of the Southend Central Area Action Plan)

Amend section 3 I . to read as follows:
'I. improve traffic management with the aid of VMS and improved signage, parking, including taxi rank and coach drop off provision, and walking and cycling, including SUSTRANS route'

Re-word 4.ii) Opportunity site (CS1.2) Seaways to incorporate;
'd addressing the need for replacement car parking provision in line with Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm;

## Appendix 4: Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach (2015) - Detailed Summary of Workshop Comments Held on 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ January 2016

During the workshop sessions 'discussion stations' were made available, which included material to depict and summarise each proposed Policy Area of the Southend Central Area Plan (SCAAP). Participants were able to comment on each Policy Area and were asked to do so under the following themes: 'Support/ like'; 'What is missing'; 'What can be improved'; 'Other issues'. The matters raised during the workshops, together with response, are provided below.

Note: that any reference made in this document to changes to specific text or sections of the Southend central Area Action Plan will be in relation to the December 2015 version i.e. the Preferred Approach

| Support/ Like |
| :--- |
| What is Missing |
| What can be Improved? |
| Other Issues |


| PA1 - High Street Policy Area | Response |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1PUBLIC <br> Improve quality of shops | Noted; although the Council cannot control the 'quality' or type of shop within the <br> Town Centre, the SCAAP seeks to support retail in the High Street Policy Area. <br> Further, Policy PAl seeks to enhance the public realm of the High Street, thereby <br> adding to the appeal of the area, which may attract additional retail providers. In <br> addition the Policy seeks to conserve and restore historical shopfronts. |  |
|  |  | Also, in respect of shop frontages, the SCAAP in Policy DS <br> Prosperous Retail Centre seeks to ensure that all new frontages will be of a high <br> standard of design that is compatible with the architectural style and character of the <br> building and surrounding area. |
| H2 | Victoria circus public events space | Noted. Policy PA1: High Street Policy Area Development Principles seeks to transform |


|  |  | and enhance the existing public space at Victoria Circus, enabling use for public events. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H3 | High street lighting very good | Noted. |
| H4 | Vibrancy | Noted. |
| H5 | Public events space very attractive to young people - helps attract more footfall \& possible expenditure | Noted. See response to H2. |
| H6 | Piazza idea sounds good | Noted. See response to H2. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| H7 | Broadening the High Street to create vibrant segments | The SCAAP seeks to support the broadening of the High Street through the provision of quality pedestrian links and the allocation of sites for development that may help create 'retail circuits'. |
| H8 | Connections between seafront \& town centre | Noted. The desire to improve linkages between the seafront and the High Street are highlighted in the Central Seafront Area, the High Street, Tylers and Clifftown Development Principles. |
| H9 | Pedestrianisation of High Street and London Road | Noted. Policy PA2: London Road encourages this on a new pedestrianised section which also includes provision for a street market. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| H1O | Must include provision of new toilet block, which needs to be central | There are a number of public conveniences within the Town Centre and located close to the High Street. It is not considered necessary for the SCAAP to deal with such a detailed issue, which will be addressed by other teams within the Council or during the design stage of a planning proposal. |
| H11 | Under-deeping - skate board park needed in town centre | The Deeping provides service access to the Victoria's shopping centre. |
| H12 | Create a large open multi-purpose space linking High Street to Forum Piazza (remove buildings to give large central space) | There is no accompanying evidence that such a measure would be deliverable or viable during the SCAAP's plan period and therefore no reference is made. |
| H13 | There is no point in building on car parks if shopping areas are to be viable. Out of town shopping will be more attractive | The SCAAP seeks to improve the quality of access to parking so that it is convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure. Further the SCAAP will seek to maintain capacity at a level that supports the vitality and viability of the town centre and enables the delivery of relevant opportunity sites. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |


| H14 | More trees and landscaping | All Policy Area's include provision to enhance urban greening through landscaping or tree planting. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H15 | Café culture on High Street | Policy DS1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre sets out an approach for managing town centre frontages. In recognising the changing role of shopping patterns and the positive contribution of non-Al retail units, particularly 'cafes', the SCAAP sets a lower threshold for A1 retail use within Town Centre Primary Shopping Frontage, thereby allowing the potential provision of more cafes along the High Street. |
| H16 | Using empty shop fronts for community projects, such as Slack Space project in Colchester | Policy DS 1 seeks to encourage the landowner/landlord to display local art within the windows of empty shops to create visual interest from the public realm. <br> Slack Space is not an element of the Development Plan in Colchester. Rather it is a project that is not covered by planning policy. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| H17 | Provision of public toilets at OS2 | Opportunity Site 2 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. |
| H18 | Make it clear we support residential on upper floors above shops | Policy PA1 : High Street Policy Area Development Principles provides support for a net increase in dwellings above commercial development. Furthermore Policy DS1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre sets out support for a range of uses, including residential, above town centre shopping frontages. |
| H19 | Signage at the top and bottom of high street for directions to seafront with distances | Noted. Additional provision will be made in Policy PA1: High Street Policy Area Development Principles to link the town centre and the central seafront through improved signage and public art. The aim of improving linkages between the seafront and the High Street are highlighted in the Central Seafront Area, the High Street, Tylers and Clifftown Development Principles Policies. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| H2O | Central glass roof with panels to provide cover and seating for restaurants | There is no accompanying evidence that such a measure would be deliverable or |


|  |  | viable during the SCAAP's plan period and therefore no reference is made. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H21 | Allow traffic down the high street | Allowing traffic down the High Street would adversely impact the setting and public realm and hinder pedestrian flows between shops and services. No change proposed. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| H22 | Victoria Circus has limited potential as a public event space as it's not flat | Noted, although it is considered that the existing public space at Victoria Circus could be enhanced and a suitable area provided for a range of public events despite the gradient in floor level and this is acknowledged in the Policy for the High Street PA1: High Street Policy Area Development Principles. |
| H23 | Consider motor cycle parking in the town centre as much has been lost at The Forum and if Alexandra street might go | The SCAAP seeks to promote a positive approach to public car parking provision that provides levels that support the vitality of the town centre and access to the seafront by encouraging improvements to the quality of access to parking so that it is convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure. <br> It is considered that reference to a range of parking types, including for motorcycle's, should be made within Policy DS5. <br> The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| H24 | Like the idea of extra public space away from the high street, e.g. pier entrance | Noted. |
| H25 | If the top end of the High Street is developed for 'eating out' ensure there is a close drop off for taxis for the elderly and disabled | Noted. The SCAAP seeks to provide provision for the relocation of taxi facilities close to the top end of the High Street, west of College Way on London Road, its specific location and facilities to be determined in consultation with taxi providers. |
| H26 | Abandon out of town developments - Garon park and Fossetts Farm are wrong | These areas are outside of the SCAAP boundary and are not covered by its policy. However, Policy DS1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre does refer to the Southend adopted Core Strategy, which establishes the town centre as the first preference for retail and town centre development within the Borough. Within Policy DS1, further reference will also be made to the National Planning Policy Framework, |


|  |  | which reinforces the town centre first approach for locating retail and town centre uses. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H27 | Street furniture - current dazzles in the sunlight and the pavement is a trip hazard | Noted. The Council has now adopted a Streetscape Manual Supplementary Planning Document that provides guidance to ensure a coordinated, high quality, user friendly streetscape is sustainably achieved within the Borough, including any improvements to the High Street such as new street furniture and paving. |
| H28 | Create some nice features in the High Street, as was there previously wooded seating and surrounding flower beds | Noted. The SCAAP seeks to maintain and improve the High Street as public space for pedestrians, addressing the principles of the Southend Streetscape Manual and by providing quality landscapes including urban greening and tree planting. The Streetscape Manual also includes a palette of agreed materials. |
| H29 | There needs to be additional parking provision | The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| H30 | Improve access/ view of High Street from Vic. Circus - remove part of New Look for fantastic views down the high street | Noted, provision included within Policy PA2 that promotes improved pedestrian access and legibility from Victoria Gateway to the High Street. If circumstances were to arise the Council would always explore with developers/owners ways to improve the aesthetics and functioning of the High Street and Central Area. |
| H31 | Narrow alley way from Victoria Gateway to the High Street is horrible | Noted, provision included within Policy PA2 that promotes improved pedestrian access and legibility from Victoria Gateway to the High Street. If circumstances were to arise the Council would always explore with developers/owners ways to improve the aesthetics and functioning of the High Street and Central Area. |
| H32 | Need to get a better visual aspect of the Forum from the High Street - even if it means redevelopment of some current buildings | The Forum is identified as a Landmark Building in Policy DS3: Landmarks and Landmark Buildings and, therefore, policy seeks to enhance the setting and views of the building from new development and via public realm improvements. <br> Further reference to landmark buildings and Policy DS3: Landmarks and Landmark Buildings will be made to Policy PA3. |
| H33 | Turn the former subterranean toilets, opposite old Mothercare) into a coffee shop - like "The Attendant" in London | The subterranean toilets in the High Street were shut and covered over many years ago. It has not been considered environmentally appropriate or economically viable to try and re-open such facilities at the present time. This does not preclude the |


| H34 | More independent shops |
| :--- | :--- |
| H35 | Tramway in the High Street <br> H36Allow taxi down the High Street in the evening would make it feel safer and <br> less desolate |
| H37 | More trees and greenery <br> H38Need to improve the appearance of some of the buildings, e.g. BHS |
| H39 | Vic Circus - Public spaces need to be provided at varying levels and must <br> be accessible |

Council considering something in the future if it was considered to meet the criteria above and someone made an approach.
Although the SCAAP can manage Use Classes (under the Use Class Order) from a planning perspective, it cannot control the type of premises that come under the same umbrella use class. For instance, Policy DS1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre seeks to control the proportion of A1 retail within designated Town Centre Primary Frontages, however, the SCAAP cannot be specific on the type of A1 use, including whether a shop is 'independent' or not.
There is inadequate evidence that a tramway would be deliverable or viable during the SCAAP's plan period and therefore no specific policy reference is made in the document. However, Policy DS5 seeks to improve public transport. Innovative schemes such as tram provision may be considered as part of wider traffic management proposals having regard to economic feasibility.
This is not considered a viable proposal given the facilities that would need to be located in the pedestrianised High Street and that taxis would need to drive on this surface. Taxi's are able to drop off and pick up close to the High Street in the adjoining side roads, and can also gain access to the vehicular service area in the southern end of the High Street.
All Policy Area's include provision to enhance urban greening through landscaping or tree planting.
The Council has limited control in improving the appearance of private buildings. However, the SCAAP makes extensive policy reference for improving the public realm in the town centre and central area. If a building was considered for redevelopment in the future, there may be opportunity to address the exterior appearance as part of a planning application.

Further, Policy DMI - Design Quality of the Development Management Document, outlines that the Council will support good quality, innovative design that contributes positively to the creation of successful places, and development proposals should add to the overall quality of an area.
Noted. Policy PAI: High Street Policy Area Development Principles seeks to transfer and enhance the existing public space at Victoria Circus, enabling use for public

|  |  | events. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H40 | Need to maintain side roads to high street for disabled parking \& access to buses and provide public toilets - don't want High Street at varying levels | The side roads already provide facilities for disabled parking. Plans to pedestrianise some of the stub end roads will take into account the provision of all users, including vulnerable users and disabled parking needs, at the design stage. The town centre also benefits from good transport links and further enhancement of these are proposed in the document. However, it is proposed that reference to the provision of disabled parking be included within Policy DS5. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| H41 | Public events space needs levelling and flexible usage and street furniture needs careful consideration | Noted, it is considered that the existing public space at Victoria Circus could be enhanced and provides a suitable area for a range of public events despite the gradient in floor level. The Council will have regard to the adopted Streetscape Manual Supplementary Planning Document in terms of potential future street furniture provision. <br> Policy PA1: High Street Policy Area Development Principles seeks to transform and enhance the existing public space at Victoria Circus, enabling use for public events. Reference to flexibility of the design and layout of the public event space at Victoria Circus will be made in Policy PA1. |
| H42 | With regards to Southend Airport - develop sites that would encourage visitors to the town centre | Noted. The SCAAP includes an objective to encourage new development, including visitor accommodation that enhances the leisure and tourism offer in the Plan area, having particular regard to the assets offered by the Central Seafront Area. |
| H43 | Improve quality of shop near Vic. Station to encourage visitors into the High Street | Policy DS 1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre seeks to improve the retail offer throughout the Southend Central Area. |
| H44 | Shield the service area - looks terrible from Forum | Policy PA3: Elmer Square Policy Area Development Principles OS 3 identifies the detrimental visual impact of the service area, servicing High Street uses, has on the Forum development scheme. It seeks to promote environmental improvements as part of any development scheme for the site. |
| H45 | Needs consultation and buy in from local business | Extensive public consultation has been carried out throughout the Plan making process, including with local businesses |
| H46 | Central square needed in High Street, but not near the railway | There is no accompanying evidence that such a measure would be deliverable or viable during the SCAAP's plan period and therefore no reference is made. However, opportunities for enhancing the existing public space around the railway bridge will |


| H47 | Business concerned that large events space at Vic. Circus will go | be made. <br> Policy PA1: High Street Policy Area Development Principles seeks to transform and <br> enhance the existing public space at Victoria Circus. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H48 | COUNCILLOR |  |
| Cycle path down centre of High Street | Noted. This may be considered as part of the cycling strategy for improving <br> accessibility in and around the town centre. The needs of cyclist would need to be <br> considered and balanced with those of pedestrians, to ensure there wouldn't be any <br> safety concerns that would need to be addressed. |  |
| It is considered that the proposed development at Queensway (OS4) and Tylers |  |  |
| Avenue (OS6), together with widespread public realm improvements and |  |  |
| pedestrianisation at London Road, Queensway and the High Street Stub-end raads |  |  |
| encourage more lateral footfall across the High Street and help establish viable retail |  |  |
| circuits. |  |  |


|  |  | Street. Further policy support for A3 cafes will be included in Policy DS 1. <br> The opening times of businesses within the town centre will be determined through the Licensing regime of premises. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H55 | Improve public experience by removing metal benches, burn in summer, freeze in winter | Any future installation of street furniture along the High Street will have regard to the adopted Streetscape Manual Supplementary Planning Document. |
| H56 | Improve lighting | Noted, reference to improved lighting has been incorporated into Policy PA1. |
| H57 | Livework units down side of High Street | Noted, it is proposed that policy reference that supports the provision of live-work units above existing or new commercial development will be included in Policy PA1. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| H58 | If businesses are to trade with customers, then they need to come and go in cars. There must be car parking provision - not build on them all | The SCAAP seeks to promote a positive approach to public car parking provision that provides public car parking levels that support the vitality of the town centre and access to the seafront by encouraging improvements to the quality of access to parking so that it is convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure. <br> The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| H59 | Could park and ride be considered | Park and Ride schemes have been considered a number of times in recent years but have not been considered feasible given the limited land available and linear peninsula geography of the town. Even so, the provision of Park and Ride would only be feasible outside the SCAAP boundaries. Such options will be kept under review as part of the Local Transport Plan and development of the Southend Local Plan. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| H60 | Shops close too early | The SCAAP is not the appropriate document to set out opening times of businesses in the Town Centre. This is determined through the licensing regime of premises. |
| H61 | No to café culture | Noted, however it is considered that cafes are a town centre use and can add to the vitality of a centre and enhance the experience of visitors. |
| H62 | Too much cycling | The SCAAP seeks to provide for a range of sustainable transport improvements and options as an alternative to the car, which includes cycling. |


| PA2 | - London Road Policy Area | Council Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| L1 | Tree Planting | Noted. Delivering tree planting and urban greening is included in the Policy Areas. |
| L2 | Public Art | Noted. The provision of public art is included in the Policy Areas. |
| L3 | Public art \& signage consideration | Noted. See above (L2) and improved signage is included in Policy. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| L4 | Market Space | Noted. Policy PA2: London Road Policy Area Development Principles includes provision for a street market. |
| L5 | Street dining/ café space | Noted. |
| L6 | Needs revamping as intended | Noted. |
| L7 | Potential to lift aspirations of the area - quality market street food | Noted. Policy PA2: London Road Policy Area Development Principles includes provision for a street market. |
| L8 | COUNCILLOR |  |
| L9 | Support Pedestrianisation | Noted. |
| L10 | Pedestrianisation | Noted. |
| L11 | Pedestrianisation | Noted. |
| L12 | Open Market | Noted. |
| L13 | Open Market | Noted. |
| L14 | Victoria Gateway space good as mutli purpose, including skateboarding etc. | Noted. |
| L15 | Victoria Gateway junction improvements | Noted. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| L16 | Issue around safety of bus lane at Vic Gateway | Victoria Gateway shared surface will be monitored by the appropriate Council department to ensure that it is functioning safely. However how it operates is outside the scope of this Plan. |
| L17 | BUSINESS |  |
| L18 | Electric and water provision for market | Noted, this level of detail will be considered during the implementation stage rather than be set out in the SCAAP document itself. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| L19 | Continue with LED lighting | Noted. |
| L20 | Better signage to toilets at Victoria Shopping Centre | It is considered that this level of detail is not required in the SCAAP. Further, the toilets provided in the Victoria Shopping Centre are not managed by the Council. |

## PUBLIC

L21 If pedestrianized then taxi drop off should be provided in Queens Road

L22 Mixed-mode route to Elmer should not give cycle preference over pedestrians - each should have separate designated area

L23 Traffic signal phasing to be looked at, particularly Victoria Gateway

L24 If pedestrianised then need to have dedicated pedestrian walkways not shared with cyclists

L25 Rethink of Victoria Gateway required to speed up traffic flow, e.g. two lanes west and filter lane north/ east

L26 Make statement outside of Vic Station. A big fountain would look good and more impressive than the small existing statue
L27 'Soften' this area - Cherry Blossom or small Silver Birch trees
L28 Potential re-evaluation of Victoria junction with lanes to Vic Avenue and towards Sainsburys
L29 Improve Vic Gateway to improve traffic movements
L30 Cars + taxis leaving Vic Station should be made aware of buses coming down Vic Ave needed space to turn into bus stops outside station
L31 Vic. Gateway share space a disaster. Unsafe, should be a crossing not having to dodge traffic including buses.

L32 Review and tweek road layout at Vic. Gateway to speed up traffic.
L33 London Road pedestrainisation should link to Queens Road and the Forum, create a gap mid-way along London Road

The preferred option for the relocation of the taxi rank as identified in the SCAAP and depicted on the Policies Map is west of College Way on the London Road, however, the final location will be determined in consultation with taxi providers.
The intention is that the mixed mode route would prioritise pedestrians and cyclists in a way that is safe and in accordance with best practice and guidance. The specific detail of the scheme will be considered during the implementation stage to ensure that the needs of both users are addressed fully.
Traffic light phasing and timings are reviewed as part of wider traffic management proposals. This issue cannot be addressed by this Plan, and is a matter for other Council functions.
Noted, If it is a mixed mode route that accommodates both pedestrians and cyclists it will be implemented in accordance with best practice and guidance. The specific detail of any scheme would be considered during the implementation stage to ensure that the needs of both users are addressed fully.
Victoria Gateway shared surface will be monitored by the appropriate Group at the Council to ensure that it is functioning safely. However how it operates is outside the scope of this Plan.
The SCAAP in Policy PA2 includes provision for public art at this location
Policy PA2 includes provision for tree planting and landscaping at this location
This may be a matter for the Local Transport Plan to consider when it is reviewed.

This may be a matter for the Local Transport Plan to consider when it is reviewed.
This issue cannot be addressed by this Plan, and is a matter for other Council functions.
Victoria Gateway shared surface will be monitored by the appropriate Group at the Council to ensure that it is functioning safely. However how it operates is outside the scope of this Plan.
This may be a matter for the Local Transport Plan to consider when it is reviewed.
Noted, this proposal is unlikely to be deliverable within the SCAAP plan period, by 2021, and therefore cannot be included in the Plan. Proposals that are likely to be

|  |  | delivered after 2021 will be considered during the preparation of the Southend Local Plan. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L34 | Taxi rank is needed for elderly and disabled to access shops and cinema. It needs to be retained here not moved further away. | The preferred option for the relocation of the taxi rank as identified in the SCAAP and on the Policies Map is west of College Way on the London Road, however, the location will be determined in consultation with taxi providers. This would allow for the pedestrianisation of the London Road which will improve pedestrian circulation and access in the area for all. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| L35 | Keep the road network of the Deeping | The SCAAP does not include any proposals for the Deeping under Victoria Shopping Centre. |
| L36 | COUNCILLOR |  |
| L37 | Any cycle route should be colour coded not lipped | Noted. Detailed consideration of cycle routes will be addressed at implementation stage and will take account of best practice and guidance. |
| L38 | Bench for elderly people near the roundabout | Noted. However the SCAAP does not deal with the specific siting of street furniture. |
| L39 | Public Toilets | Noted. However the SCAAP does not deal with the siting of toilets. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| L40 | No loss of taxi rank | The SCAAP and its Policies Map sets out provision for the pedestrianisation of the London Road and the possible relocation the existing taxi rank west of College Way. The exact location of the taxi rank will be considered during the implementation stage and subject to consultation |
| L41 | Open up walkway from station at New Look | Noted, this proposal is unlikely to be deliverable within the SCAAP plan period, by 2021, and therefore cannot be included in the Plan. Proposals that are likely to be delivered after 2021 will be considered during the preparation of the Southend Local Plan. |
| L42 | Need regular and reliable bus service, including evenings, weekends and bank holidays | The SCAAP sets out measures to improve the bus service in the town centre, including within the Transport, Access and Public Realm Strategy set out in Appendix 5. However, determination a detailed bus service will be considered outside of the SCAAP in consultation with bus operators. |
| L43 | Clearer marking of road boundaries is needed outside Vic station - clearer left and right road markings | Victoria Gateway shared surface will be monitored by the appropriate Group at the Council to ensure that it is functioning appropriately. However, operational matters including road markings are outside the scope of this Plan. |

## COUNCILLOR

L44 Via BID money more trees can be planted at London Road, Queensway and The SCAAP includes provision for tree planting and landscaping at these locations. other residential areas including Milton

PA3 - Elmer Square Policy Area

## PUBLIC

E1 Love the area that has been created where the Forum is - this could really be a hub with new bars/ cafes with outside seating \& patio heaters
E2 A good space a good library
E3 College looks good - surrounding area should compliment

E4 Great Library
E5 Great Signposting
E6 The Forum \& Elmer Square has proved to be successful enterprise attracting young people - really nice and modern
E7 Support OS3 opening up the High Street
E8 Support OS3: Elmer Phase 2
PUBLIC
E9 Improve the surrounding landscape
E10 Improve signage links with High Street
E1 1 Open Forum piazza to High Street by removal of buildings, creating large focal point to High Street and multi-use space

E12 Should High Street buildings east of Forum Plaza be included in this Policy Area? They are relevant to the public space and link with Policy Area 1

Council Response

Noted.

## Noted.

Noted, the SCAAP includes provision to improve the public realm and the visual appearance of buildings in this location as well as development of the Elmer Square Phase 2.
Noted.
Noted.
Noted.

Noted.
Noted.
Reference will be included in Policy PA3 in respect to improved landscaping.
Specific reference to enhanced signage to the High Street will be incorporated within Policy PA3.
Noted, however this proposal is unlikely to be deliverable within the SCAAP deliverability period, by 2021, and therefore will not be included in the Plan. Proposals that may delivered after 2021 will be considered during the preparation of the Southend Local Plan.
Noted, Policy PA3 includes provision to enhance the visual appearance to the rear of buildings on the High Street that front onto the public space. However, it is considered that these buildings have a stronger relationship with the High Street and are therefore included within the High Street Policy Area.
E13 Maintain area outside of the Forum as open

|  |  | proposals for education and supporting uses. PA3 also includes reference to 'public space' and 'public realm enhancements' in this location. Further reference to retaining outside high quality public space public space will be considered. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E14 | Seating on the green space | The SCAAP does not set out the specific siting of seating or other street furniture. The specific layout and proposals for OS3 will be considered during the implementation stage and be subject to consultation. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| E15 | Seating is not necessarily in the best locations | Noted, the SCAAP does not set out the specific siting of seating or other street furniture. The specific layout and proposals for OS3 will be considered during the implementation stage and be subject to consultation. |
| E16 | Improve this green area for kids, perhaps play area | Noted, the SCAAP includes more general criteria for development in this location, including new educational and support facilities and public realm improvements. It is not considered necessary for the SCAAP to define the exact nature of these improvements. The specific layout and proposals for OS3 will be considered during the implementation stage and be subject to consultation. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| El7 | More student accommodation in high rise building bounding Elmer Square and the High Street - rather than private flats | Noted, Policy PA3 seeks to ensure new student accommodation has a positive impact on the surrounding area. Policy PA3 does not contain any specific proposals for further residential development in this area. <br> All planning applications will be considered on their merits and assessed against planning policy, including relevant policies contained with the SCAAP |
| E18 | Route linking up to college | Noted. |
| E19 | Bring the prudential building back into use - e.g. residential or commercial business use. This will help tackle anti-social behaviour | The Prudential Buildings has had a prior approval granted for change of use from office to residential. The scheme is for 72 flats. Under prior approval the Council only has control over certain aspects of the development and planning policy that would be applied under a planning application is not possible. |
| E20 | Why include residential homes (West of college) \& terrace houses (West of Forum) in this Policy Area? | It is considered necessary to include these residential properties within the plan to ensure any impact on their amenity from future development proposals identified for this area is taken into account. |

## BUSINESS

| E21 | This area if OK other than Prudential and other big buildings block access to <br> High Street | Noted. The Prudential Buildings has had a prior approval granted for change of use <br> from office to residential. The scheme is for 72 flats. Under prior approval the <br> Council only has control over certain aspects of the development and planning policy <br> that would be applied under a planning application is not possible. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E22COUNCILLOR |  |  |
| E23 | Additional green space to support new developments |  |
| PUBLIC | The SCAP seeks to designate the frontages along a section of Elmer Approach and <br> Queens Road as Secondary Shopping Frontage, and thereby maintain active <br> frontages and ensure new frontages are of a high standard of design. |  |
| The Prudential Buildings has had a prior approval granted for change of use from |  |  |
| office to residential. The scheme is for 72 flats. Under prior approval the Council only |  |  |
| has control over certain aspects of the development and planning policy that would |  |  |
| be applied under a planning application is not possible. |  |  |

PA4 - Queensway Policy Area
Council Response

|  | PUBLIC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q1 | Improving views across the Borough | Noted. |
| Q2 | Wholesale regeneration of tower blocks - start again | Noted. |


| Q3 | More greenery,, tree planting - encourage wildlife | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q4 | Provision of new open space with CCTV designed to limit anti-social behaviour | Noted, it is not proposed to include reference to CCTV within the SCAAP. This may be considered separately during the implementation stage and subsequent management of the properties/ public areas by other Council functions. |
| Q5 | New housing should provide a mix of types | Noted, the mixed of housing types will be assessed against adopted policy, including the Core Strategy and Development Management Document. Policy PA4 seeks to ensure that redevelopment does not result in a net loss of affordable housing in the area. |
| Q6 | Need accessibility of dual carriageway by foot and bike | Noted. |
| Q7 | Public art | Noted. |
| Q8 | Tree planting | Policy PA4 promotes urban greening in the area, which may include tree planting. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| Q9 | Strong supporter of better pedestrian access here | Noted. |
| Q10 | OS4 Queensway - strongly support plans - do it ASAP | Noted. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| Q11 | Muli-functional open space for all | Noted. |
| Q12 | Support open space provision, including children's play area with play equipment and provision for ball games | Noted. Policy PA4 promotes public realm improvements, including the provision of new public open space. The specific type of open space and public facilities provided will be considered during implementation and will be subject to consultation. |
| Q13 | Good for comprehensive re-development - move away from existing high rise | Noted, however it is considered that a range of building heights would be suitable in this location. The specific detail of the scheme, including building height, will be considered during implementation and will be subject to consultation |
| Q14 | Public realm | Noted. |
| Q15 | Access | Noted. |
| Q16 | Green lung | Noted. |
| Q17 | Create central park with good access to communities and Warrior Square Policy Area | Noted, Policy PA4 seeks to create an urban park and improve links to a number of policy areas, including Warrior Square. |
| Q18 | Queensway/ Sutton roundabout needs to be redeveloped to allow 'street level' crossing - underpasses are not elderly/ disabled friendly and so need level crossing. | Policy PA4 seeks to create an improved crossing at Queensway/ Sutton Road junction. The precise detail and layout of this will be determined during the implementation phase of the Better Queensway project, which will be subject to public consultation |


| Q19 | Must keep under-road at Queensway |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q20 | Make more use of historic buildings - mark prominence |  |
| Q21 | No mention of community facilities, e.g. doctors, dentists, rehabilitation <br> services |  |
| Q22 | Is there enough green space? <br> Q23 | Provision for community hall/ buildings (mixed-use) <br> Q24 |
| QubLIC | Continue to maintain Queensway dual carriage way to keep traffic flows |  |
| Q25 | Safe pedestrian access, not by shared surface |  |
| Q26 | Consideration of railings to stop cars \& vehicles stopping along pavement | Quality finish required and value for money |
| Qedestrian crossings should be at surface level (Porters roundabout) |  |  |

Policy PA4 seeks to improve access and permeability at various junctions along the Queensway dual carriageway. The precise layout will be determined during the implementation phase of the Better Queensway project, which will be subject to public consultation
Policy PA4 includes provision to enhance the setting of Porters and All Saints Church. Policy DS3: Landmarks and Landmark Buildings seeks to conserve this type of building and structure.
Policy DM5 of the Development Management Document seeks to conserve and enhance heritage assets, including listed and locally listed buildings.
Policy PA4 supports the provision of community infrastructure, which may include facilities such as community centres, doctor and dental surgeries, and children's nurseries.

Policy PA4 includes provision for urban greening and an urban park. In addition, there is provision for the creation of green space in other areas within the SCAAP which will help provide a holistic approach to green space provision in the central area.
Policy PA4 supports the provision of community infrastructure, which may include facilities such as community centres and clubs.

Noted. Policy PA4 promotes a number of access and public realm improvements. The precise layout will be considered during implementation of Better Queensway and associated projects, which will be subject to public consultation.
Noted. The SCAAP seeks to enhance access for pedestrians and cyclists, including a 'mixed mode - shared priority' route. Any scheme will be designed and implemented in line with current best practice and appropriate guidance.
Noted, however this level of detailed is not considered to be required in the SCAAP, but may be considered by other functions at the Council and Better Queensway.
Noted.
Noted. The SCAAP sets out guiding principles that should be addressed during development of the area. The precise layout will be considered during

|  |  | implementation of Better Queensway and associated projects, which will be subject to public consultation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q29 | If cars are allowed to turn South into Chichester Road from Queensway they should not be allowed to turn right into Victoria Shopping Centre car park. | This is not a matter for the SCAAP but may be considered by other functions at the Council. Detailed traffic movements will be considered as part of wider traffic management proposals. |
| Q30 | G.P. facilities must be maintained or improved | Noted. Policy PA4 supports the provision of community infrastructure, which may include facilities such as doctor surgeries. |
| Q31 | Ensure road underpass remains. Filing it in would be disastrous for Thorpe Bay | Noted. Policy PA4 promotes a number of access and public realm improvements. The precise layout will be considered during implementation of Better Queensway and associated projects, which will be subject to public consultation. |
| Q32 | Accessible services should include day centre for disabled people | Noted. Policy PA4 supports the provision of social and community infrastructure. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| Q33 | Do we really need an underpass here? | Noted. Policy PA4 promotes a number of access and public realm improvements. The precise layout will be considered during implementation of Better Queensway and associated projects, which will be subject to public consultation. |
| Q34 | The underpass needs filling in to compliment the church and porters | Noted. The precise road layout will be considered during implementation of Better Queensway and associated projects, which will be subject to public consultation. Policy PA4 seeks to enhance the setting of Porters and All Saints Church. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| Q35 | Top of Queensway underpass should be opened up and decked (no shelters). For open air games - skateboarding/ basketball | Noted. Policy PA4 promotes a number of access and public realm improvements. The precise layout will be considered during implementation of Better Queensway and associated projects, which will be subject to public consultation. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| Q36 | Better design of buildings will raise moral for local residents and promote cleanliness overall | Policy DM1 - Design Quality of the Development Management Document, supports good quality, innovative design in new development, which adds to the overall quality of an area. Repetition of this policy is not required within the SCAAP. Nevertheless, included in the Queensway Policy Area is the aim for development to be an exemplar of successful design-led estate regeneration. |
| Q37 | Cleaning and lighting at underpass is infrequent | The SCAAP is not the appropriate document to set out lighting and cleansing arrangements for the underpass, but it may be considered by other functions at the Council. |


| Q38 | Clearer signage for direction to Victoria station | Noted, it is proposed that reference will be included to improve legibility to aid way finding to Victoria Station from the Queensway policy area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q39 | All pedestrian crossings should have audible signals and have tactile surfaces. | This issue and level of detail is not a matter for the SCAAP. Detailed design of road crossings will be considered at the design stage, having regard to the needs of all road users and will be implemented by other Council functions. |
| PA5 - Warrior Square Policy Area |  | Council Response |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| W1 | Good provision of green space | Noted. |
| W2 | The continuation of the idea that this should be an area that coincides with conservation and preserving green landscapes | Noted. |
| W3 | Great existing green footprint - add to this by offering something to attract people in the evening | Noted. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| W4 | OS5 Warrior Square - Support the plan for redevelopment - do it ASAP | Noted. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| W5 | Seating on the green space | Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area Development Principles provisions seek to improve public open space and related facilities. The SCAAP does not set out the specific siting of seating or other street furniture. The specific layout of proposals will be considered during the implementation stage of schemes and be subject to consultation. |
| W6 | Healthcare as Queensway is already over-burdened | Policy PA4: Queensway Policy Area Development Principles seeks to provide for additional/enhanced community facilities. |
| W7 | Attractive areas for young people and the community - the green space for the park as a focal point with activities, e.g. book sales | The Policy Area provisions seek to improve public open space and related facilities. It will be for the community in conjunction with the Council to explore ways that the space can be flexibly used, if appropriate. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| W8 | Prefer segregated cycle/ walking routes for safety | Noted, detailed consideration of cycle/ walking routes will be considered at implementation stage and will take account of best practice and guidance. |
| W9 | Better link between Warrior Sq. and High Street | Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area Development Principles 5b seeks to achieve |


| W10 | Ensure any new development has adequate parking - either basement or <br> high rise | All development proposals are subject to adopted car parking standards as set out in <br> the Development Management Document. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W11 | Entrance from Queensway into Whitegate Road, and Warrior Sq., should <br> be allowed | Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area Development Principles seeks to provide for a <br> package of measures to improve connectivity for pedestrians and cyclists. Changes to <br> the junction design or function would need to be considered by other Council <br> functions. It is not for the SCAAP to determine. |
| W12 | Improve character and promote use - at the moment only drunks use the <br> area and is therefore not family friendly | Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area Development Principles seeks to maintain the <br> environmental and design quality of Warrior Square Gardens and promote future <br> public realm improvements that respect and engage with the Gardens. |
| W13 | Have a square in Warrior Square, rather than additional housing | Opportunity Site 5 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is <br> insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the <br> SCAAP's plan period. |
| W14 | Move the market to Warrior Sq or have special summer events <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the |  |
| Wouthend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. |  |  |


|  | pool | However, Opportunity Site 5 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W20 | Improve profile of the conservation area | Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this. |
| W21 | Improve natural surveillance to reduce potential for anti-social behaviour | Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this by promoting residential development facing the square. |
| W22 | Protect green space for sport usage - 5 aside football pitch or running track round the edge or picnic area, or a maze or a fountain | The use of the potential provision of additional green open space will be considered at the design stage. |
| W23 | Lighting scheme to promote a safer environment, but important not to negatively impact residents | Lighting has already been improved in the square as part of the implementation of a previous regeneration scheme for the site. Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to maintain lighting provision in the Central Area. |
| W24 | OS5 Warrior Square - can support higher density | Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area Development Principles promotes development that is compatible with and respects the character and amenities of the adjacent Conservation Area. Opportunity Site 5 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. <br> Comments in relation to the site will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| W25 | Buildings should all be accessible for disabled people | Provision of facilities for vulnerable users would be considered at the design stage of schemes. Provisions in the Development Management Document assist with this in respect of Space Standards and the new Government National Space Standards and associated documentation. |
| W26 | Needs area for guide dogs to do their toilet | Provision of facilities for vulnerable users would be considered at the design stage of schemes. |


| PA6 | Clifftown Policy Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | Support |

Council Response
Noted.
Noted.
Noted.
Noted.
Noted. It is proposed to add reference to improved signage to Policy PA6.
Noted. Esplanade public house has planning permission to demolish existing building, and erect a 5 storey building comprising 23 self-contained flats with ground floor restaurant and basement parking, layout amenity area, refuse and cycle storage and landscaping, form new vehicular access onto Western Esplanade.

Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.

Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seek to regenerate the site of the Empire Theatre.

It is considered that reference to a range of parking types, including for motorcycle's, should be made within Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm and it is proposed that the SCAAP will be updated to reflect this.

Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to regenerate the site of the Empire Theatre.
Policy CS 1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles Opportunity Site 9 seeks to achieve this with the initial work carried out on the development of a new museum. Policy DM14 of the Development Management Document sets out policy regarding land instability.
Plans to regenerate the forecourt of the Central Railway Station will take into account the provision of bus stops to provide an interchange, and the needs of vulnerable

|  | COUNCILLOR |
| :---: | :---: |
| C13 | Make sure a multi storey car park is provided on Tylers, before other car parks are developed |
| C14 | Improve derelict Royal Terrace properties |
| C15 | More trees and landscaping near car parks |
|  | PUBLIC |
| C16 | Area of anti-social behaviour - need better public space |
| Cl 7 | Bring back the bandstand \& tea room on the green |
| C18 | Suggest we should protect front doors + consider listing front elevation of Clifftown Area, with respect to boiler flues etc |
| C19 | Reinstate some of the street furniture around the bowling green \& surrounding roads |
| C20 | Encourage bakers, butchers, florists in Alexandra St. |
| C21 | Empire Theatre, potential for it to become developed like Clements Arcade in Leigh-on-Sea |
| C22 | Southend Central forecourt - needs to have a taxi rank on both sides and facilities going in both directions |
| C23 | Empire theatre development should be accessible \& have a bus route |
| C24 | Alexandra and Clarence Car Parks - if they go other public spaces should be provided not just for residents |

road users at the design stage.

Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles Opportunity Site 6 seeks to address the need for replacement car parking provision.
Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to improve and enhance the townscape of the Conservation Area.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm 2d. seeks to achieve this.
Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to improve the public open spaces within the area.
Such a proposal may be considered investigated as part of future proposals for the area.
The conservation of buildings is subject to strict controls under planning laws and supplemented by the Policy for the specific Policy Area and other planning policy documents.
Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to provide for public realm improvements, including street furniture, in the area.
Policy DS1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre seeks to provide for a mix of retail units in the centre.
Policy PA6: Clifffown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to regenerate the site of the Empire Theatre with uses that contribute to the Policy Area's aim.
Taxi rank facilities are regularly reviewed as part of wider traffic management measures. Locations for proposed and existing taxi ranks are shown on the Policies Map. The SCAAP also highlights the need for appropriate lighting around taxi ranks and parking for taxis.
Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to regenerate the site of the Empire Theatre with uses that contribute to the Policy Area's aim.
Noted. Policy PA5: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this. However, Opportunity Site 16 \& 17 will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the sites will come forward before

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | COUNCILLOR |
| C25 | Improve healthy opportunities such as, walking circuits, new public square |
| C26 | OS16 \& OS 17 - should be in the plan pre-2021 |
| C27 | Phase car park release to see how they come forward and if they are a success |
|  | PUBLIC |
| C28 | More motorcycle bays |
| C29 | Royal Mews onto Alexandra Street should be right turn only |
| C30 | No coach parking or dropping off on Clifton Parade for purposes of the proposed museum |

## 2021 , the end of the SCAAP's plan period.

Comments in relation to the sites will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021.

The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.

Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this.
Opportunity Sites $16 \& 17$ will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence that the sites will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period.

Comments in relation to the sites will be considered during preparation of the Southend Local Plan, which will consider the delivery of sites post 2021.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.

It is considered that reference to a range of parking types, including for motorcycle's, should be made within Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm.
Detailed road improvements will be considered as part of wider traffic management proposals and are not a specific matter for the SCAAP to address.
Detailed road improvements will be considered as part of the detailed design stage of the new museum and wider traffic management proposals. However Policy CS1.13.3 outlines that the design of new development will need to retain the 'open feel' of the area. Policy DM1 of the Development Management Document and the Design and Townscape Guide provides additional design related guidance. In addition, the conservation area designation will be a material consideration.


| T15 | Support relocation of bus station + decked parking, look to also provide retail if possible | Noted. Tylers Avenue Opportunity Site includes reference for addressing replacement parking and the provision of retail at ground floor. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T16 | OS6 - support development if there are clear benefits to the local community | Noted. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| T17 | Increase in housing will require additional doctors, dentists and school places | The SCAAP recognises this and makes appropriate provision for community facilities as part of development, where required. |
| T18 | Regarding the proposals for a new cinema on Seaways and a new travel centre - there is no provision for a safe route between the two for pedestrians | Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles 4d seeks to achieve this. |
| T19 | More trees, parks and landscaping | Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles 4eseeks to achieve this. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| T20 | OS6 Tylers - needs to provide replacement number of parking and whatever the development yields | Noted. Tylers Avenue Opportunity Site includes reference for addressing replacement parking. Development proposals will have regard to the parking standards set out in the Development Management Document. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| T21 | Shared space would not be welcome by the elderly nor would we want to see further introduction of cycle + pedestrians sharing space | Noted. Detailed consideration of mixed-mode pedestrian and cycle routes will be considered at implementation stage and will take account of best practice and guidance. |
| T22 | Do not like using existing travel centre, and new one will need to be welcoming and focussed around a square | Noted. |
| T23 | Provide more trees and landscaping | Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles 4e seeks to achieve this. |
| T24 | Seating uncomfortable and metal does not work | The provision of seating would be considered at the design stage, having regard to the Southend Streetscape Manual SPD. |
| T25 | Improve bus station with green area, trees, landscaping and sitting areas | Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this. |

## with cycle parking

T26 Turn the area where the existing travel centre is into green space, with trees to encourage footfall between OS6 \& High Street

T27 Replace existing bus station as it does not work well. Reprovide on OS6 with multi-storey parking behind

Separate cyclists and pedestrians

Improve bus access to all routes

T30 Travel centre is in a good location as it is close to shops, but should be redesigned so it is all undercover

## BUSINESS

OS6 Tylers - if redeveloped where will the existing parking be re-provided?

Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles includes reference to the potential relocation of the travel centre, and green space provision and tree planting would be considered as part of any development on the former site. However, the detailed layout of a scheme will be considered and consulted upon at the design stage.
Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles include reference to the potential relocation of the travel centre and Tylers Avenue Opportunity Site includes reference for addressing replacement parking.

The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. Detailed consideration of mixed-mode pedestrian and cycle routes will be considered at implementation stage and will take account of best practice and guidance to ensure the needs of all users are met.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to improve traffic accessibility including appropriate provision for public transport. Bus routes are considered as part of partnership working with bus operators.
Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles Opportunity Site 6 makes provision for the potential relocation of the bus station in the interests of providing improved facilities.

Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles Opportunity Site 6 outlines that development of the area should address replacement car parking provision, identifying how any displaced parking needs are to be met on the site or in this part of the town centre.

The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in

|  |  | the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T32 | Need to be clear on what we want to arrive at Queensway / York Road junction | Detailed access arrangements will be considered at the design and implementation stage in association with the Council's Local Transport Plan and wider transport management services. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| T33 | Tree planting and home zoning for Baltic/ Quebec/ Heygate/ Portland \& York Rd or resident parking | Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles 4c. seeks to achieve this. |
| T34 | Proper travel centre facilities relocated to OS6 Tylers. Bus pick up points on Chancellor Rd are to short and not fit for purpose. | Noted. Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles include reference to the potential relocation of the travel centre. Bus pick-up points would be considered at the design stage of any relocation proposals. |
|  | BUSINESS |  |
| T35 | Single access onto Queensway and low level housing with better access needed | Noted. Detailed traffic measures will be considered as part of wider traffic management proposals. |
|  |  |  |
| CS1 - Central Seafront Policy Area |  | Council Response |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| CS 1 | City Beach looks great - extend it further | Policy CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this. |
| CS2 | City Beach phase 2 east of Marine Parade | Policy CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this. |
| CS3 | Redevelopment of cliff face | Policy CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this in Opportunity Site 9 New Southend Museum. |
| CS4 | OS8 Seaway Cinema | Noted. |
| CS5 | OS8 Seaways - Regeneration is supported | Noted. |
| CS6 | Delivery of a Lido | Noted. |
| CS7 | Any regeneration is welcome | Noted. |
| CS8 | Water fountains | Noted. |
| CS9 | Cliff lift | Noted. |
| CS10 | City Beach lighting columns | Noted. |
| CS11 | Marine Plaza will uplift end of seafront - deliver ASAP | Noted. |
| CS12 | Spanish steps creating better links | Noted. |


|  | BUSINESS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CS13 | OS8 Seaways could be benefit of adjacent area - e.g. High Street/ Royals |
| CS14 | OS8 Seaways can provide more restaurant development |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}OS8 Seaways - support opening up the site and punching through to the <br>

seafront and creating views of the seafront\end{array}\right|\)

## Noted.

Noted.
Noted.

Noted.
Noted.
The Plan seeks to achieve this.
The Plan seeks to achieve this.

## Noted

Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. The provision of future bus routes will be reviewed in partnership with bus operators. Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm provides for a range of transport and access improvements.
Noted.
Residents permit parking schemes are reviewed as part of wider traffic management measures.
Residents parking schemes are reviewed as part of wider traffic management measures.

Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the

| CS28 | OS8 Seaways - only 11 coach spaces being provided, 30 spaces are <br> required |
| :--- | :--- |
| CS29 | OS8 Seaways - Toilets being removed, which everyone needs after 2 hour <br> journey |
| CS30 | OS8 Seaways - at least 1000+ parking spaces needed to give the new <br> development a fighting chance with existing business |
| CS31 | OS9 Museum - not a good idea, why not create something similar to a <br> bandstand here <br> More parking on City Beach |
| CS33 | Car parking is not sufficient. Should be at least 3 times current spaces. <br> CS34Car parking spaces - want to see council data from their car parks to <br> confirm they are not at capacity in peak times |
| CS35 | Shelter for disabled and elderly to sit (sun shelters) <br> CS36 |
| Something needs to be put at the end of the pier to give tourists a good |  |
| experience |  |
| COUNCILLOR |  |
| No taxi space/ bay on seafront |  |

car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. Reference to Seaways coach drop off and parking provision included within CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles. The precise quantum of coach spaces will be decided at the design and implementation stage of the development.
Noted. Toilets and related facilities will be considered at the design stage of any redevelopment scheme.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Such a proposal could possibly be investigated as part of the proposals to provide for a new museum (OS9).
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. Such facilities will be considered at the design stage of any redevelopment scheme.
Policy CS 1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles Opportunity Site 7 seeks to achieve this.

Transport, Access and Public Realm Strategy (Appendix 5), seeks to improve the

|  | PUBLIC |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS38 | OS8 Seaways - can more parking be created through layout + extra floors of multi-storey |
| CS39 | OS8 Seaways - against development unless better access roads are provided + measures against congestion |
| CS39 | OS8 Seaways - any development should incorporate houses/ low rise fronting Herbert Grove |
| CS40 | OS8 Seaways - include multi-storey + retail and leisure |
| CS4 1 | OS8 Seaways - Cinema not needed |
| CS42 | OS7 Pier -should be free and more uses should be created, including rides, restaurants, pubs, boat trips, make better use of cultural centre |
| CS43 | OS7 Pier - more at the end needed |
| CS44 | OS7 Pier - ample opportunity to make more fun/ interesting place to visit. Amenities needed along its length and at the end |
| CS45 | OS7 Pier to long and more attractions required |
| CS46 | OS7 Pier - should be free to walk on and have more facilities |

provision of taxis at key locations throughout the Central Area. The Policies Map identifies a new taxi rank on Eastern Esplanade. Further reference to the provision of taxis is to be included to Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm and CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles.

Noted. The detailed layout of the scheme will be considered and consulted upon during the design and implementation stage. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Policy seeks to achieve this, including policies CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles and DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm.
Opportunity Site 8 seeks to encourage residential development as part of a mixed use scheme. Any design matters would be addressed at a detailed planning application stage.
Opportunity Site 8 seeks to provide for leisure, cultural and tourism facilities. Further reference will be made to the re-provision of parking.

The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
A cinema is considered to be an appropriate use in this locality. It will be for a developer to determine whether it is a viable enterprise.
Opportunity Site 7 seeks to achieve a mix of cultural and leisure uses on the Pier.
Opportunity Site 7 seeks to achieve a mix of cultural and leisure uses on the Pier. Opportunity Site 7 seeks to achieve a mix of cultural and leisure uses on the Pier.

Opportunity Site 7 seeks to achieve a mix of cultural and leisure uses on the Pier. Charging regimes are reviewed as part of the wider tourism strategy for the Borough. It is not for the SCAAP to address this matter.

| CS47 | The vision for the Policy Area seems vague |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS48 | The Marine Parade to Chancellor Road walk way doesn't offer a good link into the town centre - need to accommodate this and public transport |
| CS49 | Can Marine Parade be traffic free - pedestrians only |
| CS50 | Drainage \& flood protection |
| CS5 1 | Shared space a disaster - pedestrianise |
| CS52 | Install another fountain |
| CS53 | More green space - this does not seem to have been considered |
| CS54 | Use the Kursaal as an exhibition centre for the saxon king/ London wreck |
| CS55 | Setup a tram system along the seafront |
| CS56 | Join up the seafront |
| CS57 | Refuse collection and general upkeep, including road surfaces and pathways, street lighting |
| CS58 | The pier lift is usually broken or at least one of them |
| CS59 | Flood risk was in the 2010 plan for the seafront and yet shared space allowed water to run into businesses. Therefore, no more shared space and improve drains. |
| CS60 | Summer bus routes along the seafront - regular service |
| CS6 1 | Drainage systems need improving |
|  | BUSINESS |
| CS62 | OS8 Seaways - Any development should provide as much parking as there already |

The aims are considered to be clear in their objectives and appropriate for this prime leisure and tourism area.
Policy PA7 seeks to achieve this.
Marine Parade is a key traffic route and provides 'shared space' for users.
Policy DS4: Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage provides appropriate policy on this issue.
Marine Parade is a key traffic route and provides 'shared space' for users.
This will be considered as part of wider regeneration proposals.
This is considered throughout the Plans provisions.
Opportunity Site 9: the new Southend Museum seeks to provide for such a facility.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to improve public transport.
Innovative schemes such as tram provision would be considered as part of wider traffic management proposals having regard to economic feasibility.
Noted.
This is not a direct matter for the SCAAP. Refuse collection is considered as part of the Borough Council's service provision whilst road servicing funding forms part of the Local Transport Plan provisions.
This is not a direct matter for the SCAAP. Maintenance issues are considered as part of the Borough Council's service provision.
Policy DS4: Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage provides appropriate policy.

Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to improve traffic accessibility including appropriate provision for public transport. Bus routes are considered as part of partnership working with bus operators.
Policy DS4: Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage provides appropriate policy.

Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included

| CS63 | OS9 Museum - get on a provide the additional parking |
| :--- | :--- |
| CS64 | How will the car parking study and survey capture the additional capacity in <br> the road? |
| CS65 | Need more car parking, residential development and A3 restaurants |
| CS66 | Significant improvements to the transport infrastructure |
| CS67 | Transport network cannot cope |
| CS68 | Replace dedicated cycle lane with parking |
| CS69 | Make more accident proof with the cycle lane |
| CS70 | Replace existing parking with chevron parking along the Esplanade |
| CS71 | Get on and develop |
| CS72 | Full bus service along the seafront - Thorpe Bay to Chalkwell |
| CS73 | Traffic flow and congestion signage |
| CS74 | Pedestranisation of Pier Hill, creating an open area with designer lighting |
| CS75 | Need to improve access to sea front from the bus station and between High <br> Street and Seafront. <br> COUNCILLOR <br> CS76 |

in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. Opportunity Site 9 the New Southend Museum makes provision for public car parking.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. The Plan seeks to achieve more residential development together with A3 uses.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm in combination with other Council initiatives seeks to achieve this.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to achieve appropriate improvements to the transport network.
Cycle lanes are an integral part of the transport strategy for improving sustainable transport links in line with national planning policy.
This is not a direct matter for the SCAAP to address. Safety issues are considered as part of wider on-going traffic management proposals.
This is not a direct matter for the SCAAP to address. Parking provision design is reviewed periodically as part of wider traffic management proposals.
Noted.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to improve traffic accessibility including appropriate provision for public transport.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm provides for such issues.
Policy CS 1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles seeks to regenerate the seafront areas including the provision of Phase 2 of the City Beach scheme.
Policies PA7 and CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles seek to achieve this.

Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent

|  | residents and commercial need |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CS77 | City Beach Phase 2 - replacement of the car parking within the same area |  |
| CS78 | Creative uplighting and more trees |  |
| CS79 | Ensure coach parking provision is maintained in the Central Seafront area |  |
| CS80 | OS7 Pier - creative lighting scheme for the pier |  |
| CS81 | Post of parking during the day is expensive. Basildon and Lakeside is free <br> CS82 | Bigger and more bins outside cafes and Wimpy. <br> CS83 <br> With new buildings taking car parking where will they go? Approx 6 million <br> trippers over the summer period. <br> CS85 |
| Individual rubbish bins for each house will mean less bags on the streets |  |  |

car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Noted. Detailed consideration of the scheme will be considered and consulted upon during the design stage.
The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Policy CS 1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this.
Noted. Additional wording is proposed to Policy CS 1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles OS8 to take into account coach parking.
Policy CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles Opportunity Site 7 seeks to achieve appropriate improvements to the Pier, including the provision of creative lighting. Development Principles for the central seafront area also includes provision for creative lighting.

Car parking charges are reviewed as part of wider traffic management proposals. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Refuse collection is considered as part of the Borough Council's service provision and is not a direct matter for the SCAAP to address.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Refuse collection is considered as part of the Borough Council's service provision and is not a direct matter for the SCAAP to address.
Specific elements of street furniture would be considered at the design stage of any

| CS86 | City Beach shared space needs to be re-designated with proper pavements <br> and audible crossings - current crossings are not legal | scheme in accordance with the Council' adopted Streetscape Manual SPD3. <br> This is not a direct matter for the SCAAP to address. Safety issues are considered as <br> part of wider on-going traffic management and maintenance proposals. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CS87 | Bus service from OS8, via Kursaal, to Chalkwell required | Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to improve traffic accessibility <br> including appropriate provision for public transport. Bus routes are considered as <br> part of partnership working with bus operators. |
| CS88 | OS9 - needs a proper bus service and segregated cycle/ pedestrian routes | Such issues will be considered at the detailed design stage. |
| CS89 | BUSINESS | Coach \& car parking for conference facilities | | Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent |
| :--- |
| car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the |
| car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included |
| in the submission version of the SCAAP. |


| PA8 | Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area | Council Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V1 | SUBLIC | Noted. |
| V2 | Support aims of the conservation area preserving important buildings <br> housing | Noted. |
| V3 | OSI 1-Support residential development on existing empty office blocks, but <br> do not build on car parks on Baxter Avenue | Noted. |
| V4 | OS11 - Support open space at Victoria Avenue. Also need CCTV to limit <br> anti-social behaviour | Noted. |
| V5 | Development good standards of design for buildings | Policy PA8: Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area Development Principles <br> seeks to achieve this in combination with other adopted planning policy, notably <br> Policy DM1 - Design Quality of the Development Management Document. |
| V6 | Making the area more aesthetically pleasing | Policy PA8: Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area Development Principles <br> seeks to achieve this. |
| V7 | Enticing businesses | Business promotion is pursued under wider economic development proposals. |


$\left.$| V8 | BUSINESS | Policy PA8: Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area Development Principles <br> seeks to achieve this. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V9 | Re-development of Vic Avenue office blocks | PUBLIC | | V10 |
| :--- | | Churchill Gardens feels unsafe to walk through - improvements may uplift |
| :--- |
| area and make it feel safer | | Improvements to existing public parks are considered as part of wider parks serving |
| :--- |
| and maintenance provision. |
| Reference to cultural faculties, which could include artist workspace is included in the |
| policy. |
| Policy PA8: Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area Development Principles |
| seeks to achieve this within the Policy Area or as part of the wider SCAAP |
| regeneration. | \right\rvert\, | The Plan seeks to protect and enhance the areas Conservation Areas, listed and |
| :--- |
| locally listed buildings and other heritage assets. |


| V21 | OS13 - redevelop football stadium where it is | The Football Club have long-term proposals to relocate to Fossetts Farm. The Core <br> Strategy supports the relocation of the Football Club. However, Opportunity Site 13 <br> will not be included in the final version of the SCAAP as there is insufficient evidence <br> that the site will come forward before 2021, the end of the SCAAP's plan period. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V22 | OS11 - if residential where will the cars be located and stored | Car parking provision will be considered at the design stage in accordance with <br> adopted car parking standards in the Development Management Document. |
| V23 | Need to ensure good quality design of buildings that is distinctive to Vic. <br> Avenue | Policy PA8 seeks to achieve this. |


| V34 | Urgent that PA8.1 Heath and Carby and PA8.8 Victoria House are redeveloped | Noted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V35 | Walking access from rail station for people with luggage | The Victoria Gateway junction improvements have greatly enhanced and improved pedestrian linkages to the town centre. |
| V36 | Public space needs to be more active and animated, including Vic. Circus | Policy PA1: High Street Policy Area Development Principles and PA2: London Road Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this. |
| V37 | Better signage to High Street and Seafront | It is proposed that further wording is incorporated into Policy PA2: London Road Policy Area Development Principles related to improving legibility and pedestrian access, alongside improvements to the public realm. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| V38 | Potential for use in this area | Noted. |
| V39 | Zoning of car parking in the town centre | Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| V40 | Potential for a viaduct at this junction | Noted. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| V41 | When museum is relocated to cliffs, what will happen to the existing one? | The building will be preserved and its setting enhanced as part of Policy in the SCAAP. This matter for Council's wider cultural strategy provisions rather than directly related to the SCAAP. |
| V42 | Bus service should be accessible and reliable and available evenings and weekends | Bus services and routes are reviewed as part of partnership working with the bus operators. |
| V43 | BUSINESS |  |
| V44 | Free parking after 6pm | Car parking charges/regime are reviewed as part of the Council's wider traffic management proposals. <br> The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| V45 | Road signage for airport from Southend Central | Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to improve road signage. |
| V46 | Traffic flows and way finding and issue with parking costs | Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to improve traffic management |

## COUNCILLOR

Timings on traffic lights can be problematic
/road signage. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.

Traffic timings on traffic lights are reviewed as part of wider traffic management proposals.

| PA9 | Sutton Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area | Council Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| S1 | Agree with brownfield sites being developed first | Noted. |
| S2 | OS12 - support more housing - flats and apartments to create density | Noted. |
|  | PUBLIC |  |
| S3 | Total lack of planning for floods - no more shared space | Policy DS4: Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Drainage provides for appropriate measures for flood risk management and sustainable drainage. |
| S4 | Need to ensure cycle land is appropriate for the road size - dedicated doesn't work everywhere | Noted. |
| S5 | Keep the area as commercial not residential | Policy PA9: Sutton Road Policy Area Development Principles seeks to maintain and promote Grainger Road and Short Street as employment growth areas. It is considered that there are planning merits of allowing a number of existing employment uses along Sutton Road to be redeveloped for additional housing, as evidenced by the Employment Land Review supporting document. |
| S6 | Separate pedestrian and cycle routes and better paths | Noted, detailed consideration of pedestrian and cycle routes will be considered at implementation stage and will take account of best practice and guidance. |
| S7 | Public toilets needed | Noted. Toilets and related facilities will be considered at the design stage of any redevelopment scheme. |
|  | COUNCILLOR |  |
| S8 | OS14 - consider height of new residential, too high may affect existing residents | The height of buildings will be considered at the design stage of any development scheme. Policy DM4 of the Development Management Document sets out the Council's approach for managing tall and large buildings. |
| S9 | Op | Policy PA9: Sutton Road Policy Area Development Principles |


| S10 | Improve aspects of the public realm. Ensure connectivity with other areas with good highway and pedestrian links. |
| :---: | :---: |
| S 11 | Open space for all ages, including ball games etc |
| S12 | Include children's play area |
| S13 | Please consider existing residents - houses not flats |

enhanced pedestrian/cycle route along Short Street.
Policy PA9: Sutton Road Policy Area Development Principles and other related aspects of the Plan seek to achieve this.
Noted.
Recreation provision within open spaces and parks is considered at the design stage of new proposals and reviewed as appropriate part of the Council's wider recreation provision.
The Plan seeks to achieve a variety of residential development and tenures appropriate to its location and setting to meet housing needs in lined with local policy. Policy DM7 of the Development Management Document sets out the Council's approach regarding dwelling mix, size and type.

## Overall or other Issues

All Sites - Support regeneration of sites - caveats maintain parking, provide parking for development, increases legibility, enhances public realm, provides more critical mass

Residents Parking in Milton Place
More trees everywhere
Vision statement should include opportunity sites ( $6,3,4,11,8$ etc.) Policy Area black lines are unhelpful in this sense (Overall - Map 2)
Where are the toilets?
Concerned about the context - need to explain clearly how all the proposals inter-relate and their impact on traffic movements and car parking
Support the provision of more social housing. People are being priced out of the area
Essential to get the document in place to make BIDs for government funding

Council Response
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.

This is not a direct matter for the SCAAP to address. Residents Parking Schemes are reviewed as part of wider traffic management proposals.
The Plan seeks to provide for improved landscaping, tree planting and 'urban greening' as appropriate.
The vision is an overarching aim and it is not appropriate to refer to specific opportunity sites.
Noted. This is not a direct matter for the SCAAP to address. Toilets and related facilities will be considered at the design stage of any redevelopment scheme.
It is considered that the Plan and the Policies Map clearly explains its context and how its policy provisions interrelate.
Noted. The adopted Core Strategy provides provision for affordable housing.
Noted.
for transport and infrastructure improvements
Ensuring long term use of retail spaces to be creative. If empty then fill them with smaller units in a market style, like Stratford has in the old shopping centre
A large retail anchor is needed with lots of quality small units

## Please consider safer parking schemes

Council needs to be pro-active to stop vehicles parking on the pavement
Elmer Sq. project funds in Council budget 2016/17 - what impact does this have

Improve legibility in the town centre, advertise where shops are (for pedestrians and vehicle users)
Further taxi ranks to help the elderly and disabled and more blue badge spaces

Insufficient road infrastructure coming into the town is killing business and events

Any undercroft parking must be safe and useable
Car parking desperately needs to be considered in more detailed and a balance achieved

All policy areas should maintain green, open and public space and create new ones
Cost of car parking is too high - differentiated parking costs need to be reasonable

Noted.

Policy DS1: A Prosperous Retail Centre seeks to improve the retail offer in the town centre.
Safety is an integral consideration in the design of any road scheme.
The Council actively pursues traffic enforcement.
The Implementation Section Tables will be updated including details of any allocated funding. Elmer Square phase 2 is outlined in PA3: Elmer Square Policy Area Development Principles.
Noted. The Plan and wider tourism publicity seeks to achieve this.
Noted. Taxi rank and disabled parking provision is considered as part of wider traffic management proposals for the town and location of proposed and existing are shown on the Policies Map.
The Council is actively seeking to improve road accessibility into the town through its Local Transport Plan provisions and partnership working/bidding for appropriate funding for infrastructure improvements.

## Noted.

Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
The Plan actively seeks to achieve this.
Car parking charges are considered as part of wider traffic management proposals. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
Maintaining \& improving the East-West transport \& access through the The Plan seeks to achieve this.
SCAAP area

Parking spaces in the height of season is a major problem

Create a forum or lists of contacts for professionals with similar interests to come together
Complaints from customers staff attitude at the pier museum
Spending money on the library car park won't assist town centre \& central seafront
Issue of cliff slip at former yacht club
Create links between vacant parking and transport in town
Throughout the document the importance of public toilets, accessibility and outdoor seating should be made
Bus stops need to be located closer to shops
Encourage more industrial space in the Borough for industry and pharmaceutical science-based firms
Improved signage for heavy vehicles
More public art everywhere! "Leake Street" in London Waterloo
Do not signpost new stadium and in particular retail/cinema/town centre uses - being built outside the SCAAP area i.e. Fossetts Farm would result in further decline of Prittlewell and town centre
How does this differ from the "Renaissance project"??

Is the plan for Victoria Avenue to knock down the existing buildings? Or Renovate

The Plan seeks to achieve this.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
The Council actively promotes a Business Partnership and other partnership working.
Noted.
Noted. Car parks repairs and management are considered as part of wider traffic management proposals.
Noted. Policy DM14 of the Development Management Document sets out the approach for managing development close to land instability.
The Plan actively seeks to improve connectivity. The VSM system outlined in the SCAAP will assist this and part of the Transport, Access and Public Realm Strategy.
Toilets and related facilities will be considered at the design stage of any redevelopment scheme.
Bus stops and routes are considered in partnership with the bus operators.
The Plan seeks to improve employment provision within the Central Area. New employment space is also being created at the new airport business park.
The Plan seeks to achieve this in Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm
The Plan seeks to achieve this.
Noted. Any development proposed as Fossetts Farm that included retail development would need to provide an impact assessment if over the qualifying threshold.

The Masterplan for the Central Area has been incorporated into the development of the SCAAP. The SCAAP will be a Council planning policy document which will include proposals for development as well as guide any prospective planning applications.
A mixed use residential led scheme is proposed in Policy PA8: Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area Development Principles. It plans for comprehensive redevelopment but there may be some retention of existing buildings owing to the new Government Prior Approval process.

Improvement to the bus station to allow easier access
No mention of people anywhere - effect of vision on all ages should be stated
Street lighting - improve above standard regulations - make street feel safe and encourage people walking
Public transport needs serious improvements. Currently very poor and bus companies need to work together/co-ordinate.

Loss of parking facilities could cause problems. Please consider distance, disabled management of all car parks, public transport and coach drop off zones

Stronger links to transport improvements around the Borough
Maintain and enhance Southchurch Road and Woodgrange Drive

Advertising transport links to the Hospital Clearly, Shuttle bus service from town centre to hospital
Will the roads from the east of the borough be affected by the proposals including the construction phase?
All these potential developments of the High Street and environs would be negatively affected by the threatened environmentally destructive development of Fossetts Farm which as Basildon Council leader said with reference to the effects of out of town "retail parks" have had on his area "suck the life out of the town centre". Build up the High Street, seafront etc. Government leave the Fossetts Farm Green Belt alone!
Graffiti at first and second floor on high street and on the new bridge - can BID do something about it?
Site 10 Woodgrange Estate will require full input on BREEAM and Secure by Design
Summer holiday park and ride outside town

Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area Development Principles seeks to achieve this
The Plan is designed to be fully inclusive of all persons.

Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to maintain an appropriate level of street lighting.
Policy DS5: Transport, Access and Public Realm seeks to provide for improved sustainable transport provision. The Council works in partnership with bus operators with the objective of improving facilities.
Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP.
The Plan seeks to improve connectivity.
Policies PA4: Queensway Policy Area Development Principles and Policy CS 1: Central Seafront Policy Area Development Principles seek to achieve this.
The Hospital is outside the SCAAP area. The SCAAP seeks to improve and enhance public transport and signage.
Proposals will not affect east/west links by road.

The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy and require a retail impact assessment.
The Council actively pursues a programme of removing graffiti. It is something that the BID may be able to address.
Noted.
Park and Ride schemes have been considered a number of times in recent years but have not been considered feasible given the limited land available and linear peninsula geography of the town. Even so, the provision of Park and Ride would only be feasible

|  | outside the SCAAP boundaries. Such options will be kept under review as part of the Local Transport Plan and development of the Southend Local Plan. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Provision of motorcycle parking with shelter and secure | The SCAAP seeks to promote a positive approach to public car parking provision that provides public car parking levels that support the vitality of the town centre and access to the seafront by encouraging improvements to the quality of access to parking so that it is convenient, well-signposted, safe and secure. <br> It is considered that reference to a range of parking types, including for motorcycle's, should be made within Policy DS5. <br> The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| All areas - car parking is a key issue, need to provide enough parking for new development and public spaces | Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| How will the proposed Fossetts Farm Retail Development affect this, Is there room for both? | The Fossetts Farm proposals are located outside the SCAAP boundaries. Planning permission for retail development at Fossetts Farm has been previously granted and its potential impact taken into consideration in the preparation of the Core Strategy and SCAAP (see also Southend Retail Study). Any new proposal at Fossetts Farm will require planning permission, be subject to planning policy and require a retail impact assessment. |
| Parking development on seafront needed | Noted. The approach to car park management will be informed by an independent car parking Study which will investigate and present findings on the capacity of the car parks that service the town centre and central seafront area. This will be included in the submission version of the SCAAP. |
| Better connected roads around the town, too much one way or disconnected from one another | Policy DS5: seeks to improve traffic management in the Central Area. |
| What is the scope for additional new development outside of the main town/urban area? | This is an issue for the new Local Plan. |

Making spaces available for community projects/group (such as empty shops/buildings)

A number of policy areas seek to promote the provision of social and community infrastructure. Policy DS 1 seeks to encourage the landowner/landlord to display local art within the windows of empty shops to create visual interest from the public realm.

Reference to marketing will be included for vacant units. In respect to Policy DS 1 vacant units could include units occupied for temporary or 'flexible' uses, permitted through a temporary planning permission or under permitted development rights.
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## Appendix 5: Summary of the Sustainability Appraisal for the Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach (December 2015)

7.1 The following paragraphs provide a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal comments made to each issue raised in the Southend Central Area Action Plan Preferred Approach (December 2015).

## Policy DS 1: Maintaining a Prosperous Retail Centre

Comment: This policy should help to retain the retail core of the Central Area as an attractive place to visit. The policy should help protect those areas of primary importance for shopping from alternative uses. Focused retail development may be required to protect the viability of shopping areas. The policy also specifies the type of uses that would be suitable in the area to maintain an active frontage on ground floor level, as well as allowing a mix of uses on upper stories, including a stronger residential element.
The policy also allows for the use of upper floors of existing retail areas to be used for other uses, such as residential development. This should help improve maintain and improve the vibrancy of the area, although it will be important to ensure that new residential uses are compatible with the location. This is important to protect the amenity of new and existing residents, but also to protect existing businesses and facilities in the Central Area. For instance, new residential development given permission for development in inappropriate locations or with insufficient noise mitigation in their design can lead to existing uses in town centres having to close or change the way they operation e.g. bars, restaurants or music venues as well as shops with early morning or late night deliveries.
There is a strong emphasis on protection of the public realm through the standard of design expected of new buildings, as well as protecting the loss of traditional shopfronts. The policy also includes a criterion to help enhance the visual appearance of vacant retail uses through inclusion of art. Currently, the High Street has very limited green space or any planting and therefore the recognition urban greening in the policy may help deliver an enhanced public realm The promotion of new street markets is likely to have beneficial effects, many towns and cities have thriving new retail markets that add vibrancy to towns and can support other sustainability objectives, such as access to healthy food, supporting small business and selling local goods.
Option A: In primary shopping centres Use Class A1 loss will be resisted. Change of use from A1 to other A uses will not result in the remaining A1 retail use falling below $70 \%$ in the primary frontage. Exceptions will be made where long-term vacant. Alternative uses must maintain footfall, have active frontage and not detrimental to amenity.
Option B: In primary frontages Use Class A1 loss will be resisted. Non-A1 uses will be permitted only if in A2-A3 use, not lead to more than two consecutive non-A1 use, the primary shopping frontage remains predominantly retail A1. If A1 is already less than $50 \%$ on a frontage length no further loss will be permitted, exception will be made where longterm vacant, have an active frontage and not detrimental to amenity.
Option C: In primary frontages Use Class A1 loss will be resisted. Non-A1 use will be considered at ground floor if in it is in A2 to A5, no more than two consecutive non-A1 uses, includes an active frontage and not detrimental to amenity.
Recommendation: This policy is likely to have a positive impact on achieving sustainable
development. This is as long as the retail offer remains appropriate to the size of the town and other built environment improvements are achieved. An alternative may be to seek to monitor the Primary Retail Frontage and further reduce its total frontage, replacing Primary with Secondary retail as needed. This could maintain a retail 'core' where protection for Al uses could be set at high levels (e.g. 70\% or more).
Iteration of Policy: Policy updated to include a criteria on displaying art in vacant shop unit windows to help reduce the impact on the quality of the built environment.

## Policy DS2: Key Views

Comment: The policy should help in protecting the character of the area. However, every application should be judged on its merit, particularly where new development makes innovative use of views or provide other sustainability benefits.
Recommendation: The policy is likely to have a positive impact on sustainable development.
Iteration of Policy: No change.

## Policy DS3: Landmarks and Landmark Buildings

Comment: The policy is compatible with achieving sustainable development. Landmark buildings in the Borough should be kept under review to reflect any newly constructed or restored buildings that may become a landmark feature e.g. a new museum or tall buildings.
Recommendation: The policy is likely to have a positive impact on sustainable development.
Iteration of Policy: No relevant changes.

## Policy DS4: Flood Risk Management and Sustainable Development

Comment: The policy is compatible with achieving sustainable development. However, it could include some changes to ensure that flood risk and drainage are considered where appropriate in all development.
Recommendation: The policy is likely to have a positive impact on sustainable development. Development should be designed to ensure floor levels account the 1 in 100 year flood risk plus climate change for fluvial flooding and 1 in 200 year taking into account sea level risk for tidal flooding.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has been updated to clarify that drainage techniques on new impermeable areas apply to all sites.

## Policy DS5: Transport, Access and the Public Realm

Comment: The policy is compatible with achieving sustainable development. There are likely to be beneficial effects in relation to air quality from reducing car use and encouraging more sustainable travel. Improvements that encourage more walking and cycling can also have health benefits from 'Active Travel'. There may be also be built environment benefits from enhancing the public realm to improve walking routes.
The policy will also help ensure equitable access to services and facilities in the Central Area as it will maintain and improve access for those who do not drive, for whatever reason. The cross reference to the implementation of sustainable access measures in Appendix 5 and development management policies relating to sustainable transport will support a modal shift away from car use. The reference to a freight management plan
may also help reduce the adverse effects on local highways and congestion from the delivery of goods.
The policy criteria related to the importance of ensuring new car parks contribute to the character of the built environment can also have benefits for this sustainability objective, with possible associated benefits for enhancing biodiversity.
Recommendation: The policy is likely to have a positive impact on sustainable development in helping to encourage more sustainable travel choices.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has been updated to clarify the need for various types of transport management plan, including freight management and travel plans.
New criteria have been added to help make sure that new and existing car parks are designed so as to contribute to the built environment.
The policy map now shows mixed-mode routes, clarifying the locations that these should be delivered, which may help enhance the likelihood of their delivery.

## Policy PA1: High Street Policy Area

Comment: The High Street is the core of the Central Area, providing a range of shopping and leisure uses. This policy should help to make sure the High Street is an attractive place to visit. The policy also specifies the type of uses that would be suitable in the area to maintain an active frontage on ground floor level, as well as allowing a mix of uses on upper stories, including a stronger residential element.
There is a strong emphasis on improving the public realm to enhance the character and the quality of the High Street, with potential for belter use of Victoria Circus as a public space for events.

## Opportunity Site 1: Whitegate Road

Comment: The policy will support the mixed use of the site helping it form part of the vibrant High Street area, as well as meeting wider needs of the Borough, for instance in terms of office space or residential development.
Opportunity Site 2: Pitman's Close
Comment: The policy will support the mixed use of the site helping it form part of the vibrant High Street area, as well as meeting wider needs of the Borough, for instance in terms of office space or residential development.

Recommendation: The policy is likely to help achieve beneficial sustainability impacts for the Central Area and wider Borough.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has been updated to include a criteria on urban greening. Additional detail has been included in the policy about existing planning permissions and the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area.

## Policy PA2: London Road Policy Area

Comment: The policy should help improve the character of the area, while retaining some of the existing uses such as car parking. Much of the improvements relate to better links across the site and to other parts of the Central Area for cyclists and pedestrians. This will help make the site more accessible including links across Queensway to Victoria Rail Station.
The principles for development also contain some other positive aspects, such as promoting urban greening, the potential for a street market, potential for higher/further education use, permitting a mix of uses including residential and the potential for

## decentralised and low carbon energy.

The policy also includes the potential for the Sainsbury's site to be redeveloped should this site no longer be required for its current use, in such a situation a development brief would need to be developed to help deliver development in a way that is compatible with the SCAAP objectives.

## Opportunity Site 15: Sainsbury's and Adjacent Buildings

Comment: This OS could help see the regeneration of this part of the Central Area, with uses proposed for residential and office development. However, it is noted that this site is unlikely to come forward until after the plan period for the SCAAP.

Recommendation: The policy is likely to help achieve beneficial sustainability impacts for the Central Area and wider Borough.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has updated to include additional reference to urban greening and planting.
Additional detail has been included in the policy about the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area.

## Policy PA3: Elmer Square Policy Area

Comment: The policy clearly sets that educational uses will be favoured in this part of the Central Area with further improvements to the public realm and cycling and walking routes. There is the potential for benefits to the built environment that will have a positive impact on the image of the Central Area. The results of improvements are likely to have economic benefits for Southend through improvements to the image and attractiveness of the Central Area, and links to a modern university.
Access upgrades will help encourage walking and cycling access, this will be part of securing a mode shift away from car use, with benefits for the wider Central Area. The policy includes principles of ensure that development delivery other enhancements such as urban greening and consideration of more efficient energy use.
The policy requires that consideration is given a long term management and maintenance plan for any new student accommodation. Given the transient populations occupying these blocks they can have the potential to cause detrimental effects on the local environment, including poor refuse storage and disposal, lack of maintenance of planted areas and out of term time can result in loss of activity around the block. This can impact on the image of areas with possible economic impacts and adverse impacts on local residents. Therefore, this policy inclusion should help protect the local environment from potential harm from this type of development.

## Opportunity Site 3: Elmer Square Phase 2

Comment: The opportunity sites policy is quite simple and relates to Phase 2 of the Elmer Square development, specifying that educational and supporting uses will be suitable in this location. This should help to enhance Elmer Square and the 'Education Quarter' of the Central Area.

Recommendation: The policy should help deliver more sustainable development in this area.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has been updated to include an additional policy criterion to help ensure new student accommodation is managed so as not to cause determinant to the urban environment.

Policy PA4: Queensway Policy Area and Opportunity Site 4: Queensway
Comment: This area is to be redeveloped to provide new housing, office and secondary retail space, with Queensway House already cleared for redevelopment. The area is identified as one parts of the Central Area that has the potential to deliver a range of new residential development, replacing the existing stock with a better range of types and improved design. This will help meet objectives for the Central Area of providing development to meet the needs of existing and future residents, as well as encouraging economic growth in the area.
Changes in access are promoted through the policy. This should help overcome the barrier created by Queensway. There is also potential for new mixed mode - shared priority access from this area to other parts of the Central Area.
New open space provision will have benefits for residents, providing space for outdoor leisure and relaxation. Design of these spaces should make them useable by residents, visitors and local workers and not simply be grassed areas as the setting for buildings. Well landscaped areas should also enhance the character of this area. New open space should have 'soff' landscaping wherever possible as this can have greater sustainability benefits than hard landscaped areas, for instance for wildlife and to help absorb water and heat. Improvements to Queensway for pedestrians and cyclists will also bring benefits to the area.
All of these changes could improve the setting of the listed buildings at Porters and All Saints Church. There has been a loss of community facilities at the site, so it is essential that there is some re-provision of community services either on this site or nearby, with the same level of accessibility for local users. The importance of the provision of services is set out in policy and the need for these to help contribute with any planning vision for the area.
There is also the potential for a large amount of the affordable housing to be lost through development. Therefore, the policy includes a criterion to ensure no net loss of affordable housing provision at the site. Affordable housing should be provided in a range of tenure types and in a range of housing sizes, compatible with the policies of the Development Plan Document and Core Strategy.
A masterplan for the new urban park, including links to Warrior Square, should help achieve a coordinated delivery of this space. Linking spaces can have significant benefits for biodiversity, as well as providing attractive walking and cycling routes encouraging active travel.
For the residential tower blocks that are not demolished refurbishment may be possible improve their quality and retain the landmark features. Re-use may also be preferable in terms of making best use of available resources than demolition and re-building.
The policy recognises the surface water flood potential in this area.
Recommendation: The policy should help deliver more sustainable development in this area.
The preparation of a site masterplan, as set out in policy, is essential in helping deliver a coordinated scheme for the area. This will help maximise the benefits for sustainable development, as this large site that has the potential to deliver significant change, such as in road layout, a large amount of new housing, community and other uses and therefore needs a unified approach to development.
The existing site includes a large amount of affordable housing. Therefore, the inclusion in the policy of a requirement to ensure no net loss should help protect housing in this location to meet the needs of local residents and the wider needs of Southend. In
delivering new affordable housing consideration may need to be given to the type (rented or shared ownership), although this may depend on national policy definitions.
Any plans for a new urban park should include details of suitable types planting that will help support urban wildlife, for instance use of flower and fruiting species to support bees and other invertebrates, birds and bats
Future development of the site, as well as following versions of the SCAAP, will need to take into consideration the emerging proposals of the 'Better Queensway' group, as noted in supporting text.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has included some important updates and clarifications. This has included the need to prepare development briefs or masterplans for the site, no net loss in affordable housing, provision of new community facilities to meet vision for the area and the importance of biodiversity considerations as part the urban park.
Additional detail has been included in the policy about the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area.

## Policy PA5: Warrior Square Policy Area

Comment: The policy sets the principles for delivering development in this location with a focus on residential led mixed use development, other suitable uses are listed in the policy and include commercial and community use (although the mix of these uses could be clearer in policy).
The principles of the policy are compatible with more sustainable transport access and also are likely to help deliver nature conservation benefits through implementation of improvement's to the urban greening.
The principles of the policy also make clear the benefits the redevelopment of this area much bring to the quality of the built environment, this includes the setting of the Conservation Area, relationships with the Warrior Square gardens and use of public art.
The specification of soft planting is also positive in terms of securing sustainable development as it will have greater benefits for sustainability than hard landscape, for instance biodiversity, surface water drainage and reducing urban heating.
The policy includes the need to ensure the more efficient use of energy in new development in the town centre.

## Opportunity Site 5: Warrior Square

Comment: The Opportunity Site (OS) is promoted for residential led development, with other town centre uses also permitted such as office space and community uses. This should be beneficial in securing new housing the Central Area in order to meet Southend's identified need.
Specific elements of the policy should help to bring enhancements to the area including reduction in crime through improving natural surveillance. The policy also identifies the need for part of the site to be delivered as a green space and this should be connected to the existing Warrior Square green. Provision of new space is needed in the town centre that currently lacks formal areas of soft planting.

Recommendation: The policy should help deliver more sustainable development in this area.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has been updated with examples of the type of community services that could be incorporated into OS5.
Additional detail has been included in the policy about existing planning permissions and the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area.

## Policy PA6: Clifftown Policy Area

Comment: The policy supports the future role of Clifftown as an area of strong cultural identity. The policy includes criteria to make sure that the historic and heritage character of the area is taken into account in new development. There is also the need to restore areas that have become degraded.
The policy also gives some detail of what uses may be suitable on the Alexandra Street and Clarence Road car park site, and that if the sites were to come forward for development a 'Development Brief' would be required to guide development.
Other improvements are to the retail circuits in the area, linking to the Central Station, Cliff Gardens and Pier Hill. This will help support the economy through tourism and visitor spend. Shops in this area are more likely to be independent and therefore these retail circuits will aid the local economy especially.
Regenerating the Empire Theatre will also add to the cultural character of the area, including as set out in policy for uses such as art galleries or performance spaces. Central House is identified for redevelopment, for more information it could be included as a separate allocation, especially as this is identified as the site of a possible tall building.
The policy includes requirements for urban greening, with potential benefits for biodiversity and the quality of the built environment.

## Opportunity Site 16: Clarence and Opportunity Site 17: Alexander

Comment: These two sites have the long-term potential for development (post 2021). Both sites are currently car parks within Clifftown. The sites have the potential for development to improve the role of this area as a cultural quarter for Southend and attract visitors.

Recommendation: The policy should help deliver more sustainable development in this area.
Central House and Empire Theatre could be identified as a site specific Opportunity Sites as similar sites in the Central Area have been identified in this way. In particular, Central House could benefit from specific policy proposals as the location of a potential new 'landmark building'.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has been updated to include additional reference to urban greening and planting and efficient energy supply. Additional detail has been included in the policy about the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area.

## Policy PA7: Tylers Policy Area

Comment: The policy sets out the desired changes to the area that would help enhance the built environment quality of this area and make more efficient use of land. There is not a specific re-use for the area detailed in policy, although it does state the need to enhance the area active uses and ground floor will be essential.
The development of the Opportunity Site to include a travel centre could also result in the site of the existing Travel Centre being a new opens space, with benefits for health of community and possibly biodiversity if delivered.
Of particular importance will be bringing built environment and road safety enhancements to Chichester Avenue that currently provides an unattractive link route to the east of the High Street. Also, improved crossing points over Queensway will help improve the connectivity of the area to the wider residential areas to the east.
The policy sets out a number of road improvement schemes that may be dependent on
the car park being redeveloped, such as creation of 'home-zones' or mixed-mode routes.. This will help make the area more attractive for visitors and residents.
Specific schemes have the potential to deliver economic benefits of to the area, including expansion of retail units at the south of the High Street. Design will need to be of a high quality to complement the existing area and the importance of the location for attracting visitors and tourists.
The intention is to see the area made much more permeable to the public. This change will help enhance connectivity in the area, providing clearer links to the seafront and development proposals as part of the 'Central Seafront' quarter.

## Opportunity Site 6: Tylers Avenue

Comment: There is an intention to secure the re-use of the Tylers car park site. However, all car parking would need to be re-provided either on or off site, with implications for the use of other sites. The policy may have benefits for the economy not only from improved built environment quality but also from the provision of new office and retail development.

Recommendation: The policy should help deliver more sustainable development in this area.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has updated to include additional reference to efficient energy supply on site.
Additional detail has been included in the policy about the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area.

## Policy CS1: Central Seafront Policy Area

Comment: The policies address many issues of importance to delivering sustainable development. This includes improving the quality of the built environment, protection heritage and biodiversity, improving non-car access and enhancing the potential for the tourism economy.
The policies set out what is anticipated from development in the Central Seafront area. The principles set general aspirations for development, with some generic statements of what is desired from development in the area, and some more specific criteria - such as where new access routes are required and defines development sites.
Principles in the policy set positive steps for the future of the area that need to be implemented by the Council or other public / community groups and development partner. This includes increasing links to the 'green-grid', improving the Sustrans Route, ongoing City Beach, as well as with the potential to work with the owners of Adventure Island to increase permeability to the seafront.
The policy should have positive effects on the economy through helping encouraging tourism and enhancing the character of the area. The importance of maintaining and enhancing the built environment character is identified through several policy principles, including the importance of sea views and the need for new development to respect local Conservation Areas and Listed Buildings.
The importance of the seafront as an open space is also identified and principles put in place to protect it as part of the tourism asset, but also recognising the nature conservation importance and connections to the
'green-grid'.
Requirements for consideration of decentralised energy in this location may help in reducing carbon emissions from development. In particular solar or wind energy may be well suited to the location.

## Opportunity Site 7: Southend Pier

Comment: This policy sets the principles for the continued enhancement of the Pier. This is an important feature that characterises the town and is an important tourist attraction.
The policy principles set out measures to bring general improvements to the offer for visitors at the Pier, although much will need to be funded through working with partners and private business. The changes proposed are likely to be relatively minor and many may not require planning permission.
As the Pier extends into the internationally protected nature conservation areas it is essential that changes do not conflict with their nature conservation objectives. New developments, including minor changes, are likely to require appropriate assessment to demonstrate no significant harm. It is very unlikely that development here would be permitted if harm is identified, as it will not fit the 'overriding' need criteria.
Opportunity Site 8: Seaway Car Park and Marine Parade
Comment: This site links well with The Royals, High Street and Tylers Avenue area to the north. There is significant potential for redevelopment of this site to be a major asset for tourism in the own. It should also help ensure the good use of land and contribute to improved connectivity between the seafront and the rest of the Central Area.
As set out in the policy, the redevelopment has great potential to make use of the elevation and the views available of the seafront in this area to encourage visitors to walk up through the site and onward linking to the High Street.
The policy makes clear that proposed development should be mixed-use, this can include residential so long as it is part of a scheme that contributes to the visitor economy and cultural value of the area.
New buildings on the site, especially new tall buildings, will be highly visible and therefore it essential that they are delivered of a high visual quality and to provide a legacy building for the future. The policy stipulates a 'palette of good quality materials' and this will support sustainability objectives relating to a good quality built environment.
The measures proposed in the policy are likely to increase pedestrian movements in this area. This will have benefits as part of a strategy to reduce car use and also is an important part of encouraging more healthy lifestyles. North south pedestrian links will help improve access from the seafront to the town centre and encourage more visitors to move between the two, with benefits for the wider town centre.
New open space will also benefit the area especially if it has good access to the residential neighbourhoods to the north.
Consideration should be given to the above issues in the preparation of the design brief for this site, as required through policy.

## Opportunity Site 9: New Southend Museum

Comment: A new museum of high architectural quality could have benefits for the whole of the Borough through increasing visitors to the town. Any building would need to be of exemplary quality, both in its design and with the potential to also in sustainability construction, as is set out in policy. The policy also includes the importance of high quality new planting in the area to support biodiversity, although it may be beneficial to include the importance of providing flowering and fruiting species to enhance the nature conservation value, not only native species.

## Opportunity Site 10: Woodgrange Drive (Kursaal) Estate

Comment: There is one redevelopment site identified in the area and this is the Woodgrange Drive (Kursaal) Estate.
This is a general policy that seeks the improvement of the area, which could have benefits
for the community, built environment and sustainable use of resources. However, there is no implementation plan yet in place so at the present time achieving these objectives may be a longer term aspiration.
The requirement for a design brief could help ensure the delivery of this area in a coordinated way.

Recommendation: This policy should have a largely beneficial impact on securing sustainable development in the Central Seafront.
The policy sets out measures to enhance the visitor economy through public realm, built environment and connectivity improvements in this part of the Central Area. This includes policy for improved visitor provision through the development of new museum and improvements to the pier. The Seaway Car Park and Marine Parade improvements also have the potential to significantly improve this area and policy.
Iteration of Policy: The policy CS1 has been updated to include additional reference to urban greening and planting and efficient energy supply.
To aid implementation OS8 Seaway Car Park now refers to the need for a development brief to be prepared for the area. The importance of urban greening is also included in criteria for this Policy Area, as well as the need for development to take advantage of the changing levels over this site.
More detail has been added to the OS9 New Southend Museum about the importance of delivering landscaping that can add to the biodiversity of this area.
The need for a development brief to be prepared for OS10 Kursaal Estate has now been added to the policy.
Additional detail has been included in the policy about the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area

## Policy CS2: Nature Conservation and Biodiversity

Comment: The policy should help in protecting the high quality nature conservation assets in this area, identifying those locations where greening is particularly important and supported by the policies map.
The policy allows for new development that would aid people's understanding of the nature conservation value of the area. Improved understanding can help in protecting the area and aid visitors' recognition of how they can help avoid adverse impacts - although all development will need to be subject to assessment and the same tests apply.
Recommendation: The policy is compatible with sustainable development and the need to protect the high quality nature conservation assets of the area.
The policy repeats some aspects of national policy and the Habitats Regulations and this may be unnecessary.
Iteration of Policy: Some clarification to the policy was provided to help differentiate between the different types of designation and the level of protection that should be given to each.

## Policy CS3: The Waterfront

Comment: The waterfront area is a community and economic asset for Southend, although it also is internationally important for its nature conservation value. Enhancing the quality of the public realm and peoples' enjoyment of the area can have substantial sustainability benefits for the town. There is much in this policy that should help improve the area, including development of allocated sites and other programmes that will need to
be implemented alongside development.
Development must ensure it does not harm the biodiversity assets of the foreshore, as set out in the policy. Especially where development gives rise to an increase in visitor pressure in this area, for example new jetties and slipways.
Recommendation: This policy should help to achieve more sustainable development in the waterfront area.
Much of this policy will be reliant on the plans and strategies of other parts of the Council and other groups and organisations. For instance through new tourism strategies for the area and investment by private businesses.
Iteration of Policy: No change.

## Policy PA8: The Victoria Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area

Comment: The policy contains many principles that should have beneficial effects for sustainable development. There are specific proposals to bring enhancements to the built and historic environment, such as redevelopment of the water board and stadium sites.
The policy also contains provisions to improve the transport and travel access through the area, including making use of Victoria Avenue as a transport corridor and improve pedestrian and cycle links.
The role of new open space in this area to help mitigate visitor pressure on the foreshore is referred to in policy, including links with the 'green-grid', this should have a positive relationship with sustainable development objectives relating to biodiversity.
The policy includes the need to deliver more community facilities in the area, with examples of the suitable types set out in policy.
As well providing employment as part of the neighbourhood redevelopment in this location could have benefits to the provision of new modern offices in other parts of the centre. This may be achieved by removing a poor quality office stock that hinders the office development market.

## Opportunity Site 11: Victoria Avenue Office Area

Comment: This is an area allocated for comprehensive renewal. A design brief prepared for the area would help to ensure that it is delivered in a coordinated way.
There are many aspects of the delivery of the site that are compatible with sustainable development. Redevelopment will see this area of under-occupied land in central Southend bought back into use. In Southend making the most of available land is essential as the urbanised area almost reaches Borough boundaries in all directions. The main aim is to create a more sustainable community in this area, integrating residential, offices, community facilities and open space.
At the moment planning applications appear to be more incremental than comprehensive, which raises the risk of disjointed development that does not achieve the improvements needed for the area and loses opportunities for sustainable development. To help overcome this consideration could be given to implementing measures that remove permitted development rights for the area, which allow change of use from office to residential. This could stop the piecemeal conversion and allow a more considered policy led approach to the form and type of development, which is needed to help deliver development as a cohesive whole with a joined up approach to issues such as open space and visual appearance.
There is a need to ensure that the supply of office space in Southend, particular in the central area meets demand. The central area is a more sustainable location for this type
of high trip generating use, as more commuting trips can be made by sustainable transport modes. Peripheral office development and business parks are much more likely to increase car use and congestion on local roads, as many employees will be reliant on driving to work due to lack of public transport services and further distances to walk or cycle.

## Opportunity Site 12: Former Essex and Suffolk Water Board Site

Comment: This is a small development site that is allocated for cultural facilities and creative uses and some residential use. This type of reuse of the site will have economic benefits for the area and could provide a community resource. The policy requires that development capitalises on merits of the existing building that will protect this heritage resource. This is a growth sector in central Southend and therefore the policy should support economic objectives.

## Opportunity Site 13: Roots Hall Football Ground and Environs

Comment: The site has planning permission. However, development proposals for this site are reliant on a new site being secured for the football ground. New uses for the site area likely to include housing and associated open space, new foodstore (possible to the relocation of Sainsbury's from London Road), changed access and landscaping.
Redevelopment has the potential to help meet the Borough's housing needs as well as changed road access to help pedestrian safety.

Recommendation: The policy should help deliver more sustainable development in this area.
The identification for OS12 as a site where cultural and creative industries in Southend is welcomed in supporting this growing element of Southend's economy that has its focus in the Central Area.
The policy could specify the type of priority route is being promoted through the policy linking the Central Airport with the Southend. For sustainability benefits this should relate to sustainable transport, including bus priority.
Consideration could be given, in policy or through other mechanisms, to removing permitted development rights at Victoria Avenue to prevent piecemeal change of use undermining a policy led approach to sustainable regeneration.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has included some important updates and clarifications. These include the need for a comprehensive guide as part of a development brief for the OS 11 Victoria Avenue site to ensure coordinated delivery. Other changes clarify that the housing mix must meet requirements of the Development Plan Document, examples of the type of community facilities that could be delivered and the need to ensure that urban greening is incorporated into the 'green-grid' for the wider area. Additional detail has been included in the policy about existing planning permissions and the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area to provide a better understanding of anticipated growth and change in this area over the plan period.

## Policy PA9: The Sutton Gateway Neighbourhood Policy Area

Comment: The policy sets out succinctly the principles that will guide the redevelopment of the area. The majority of changes related to the three allocated sites as well that need to improve access through the area, including changes to Sutton Road and safe walking and cycling routes along Short Street to Queensway.
The site will also need to accommodate some addition open space and this is likely to be in the north of the area at the Sutton Road proposal site and could considerably the local

## environment quality.

## Opportunity Site 14: Sutton Road

Comment: This is a linear allocation that covers the business and industrial units facing onto Sutton Road. Many of these units are dilapidated and / or vacant. The units face onto a largely residential neighbourhood and many of the buildings on the opposite side of the road contain shops.
The policy promotes redevelopment of this area for housing. This will involve the loss of existing employment use, although Employment Land Review has shown that this is surplus to current needs in the Borough, and its loss will not result in the overall loss of jobs.
There is the possibility that redevelopment may result in the loss of some local services in the immediate area.
New housing should respect the context of the area and actively engage with properties on the opposite side of the road. However, new development could be of a higher quality than some of the more recent nearby development as a way of enhancing the built environment character.
New open space in this location could make up for a general shortfall in this quarter of the town.
The delivery of this site as a unified whole could be improved through the development of a masterplan or development brief.

Recommendation: The policy should help deliver more sustainable development in this area.
Any loss of existing employment land should ensure that this will not result in a loss of locally accessible jobs for the resident workforce of the Borough. Similarly, prior to development any economic uses that need a central location should have had suitable new premises secured. The Sutton Road site could include development of new small scale business premises.
As set out in policy the greening of this site could achieve benefits for biodiversity.
Development Briefs will help to ensure development at each of these locations is developed in a coordinated and cohesive way, making the most of opportunities for sustainable development.
There could be an inclusion in the development principles to deliver new green open space, as they may have greater benefit for nature conservation and reducing urban heating effects than hard landscaped areas.
Iteration of Policy: The policy has been updated to include additional details of urban greening and planting and links to the
'green-grid' for the wider area.
Additional detail has been included in the policy about existing planning permissions and the indicative housing yield expected in Policy Area to provide a better understanding of anticipated growth and change in this area over the plan period.

